

# A “crash course” in nonsmooth convex optimization

Zev Woodstock

woodstock(at)zib.de\*

## 1 Introduction

These notes are supplementary material to a “crash course” I am teaching in May of 2023. The topic is *proximity operators and nonsmooth convex optimization*. These notes are not meant to be used as a standalone resource. Please cite peer-reviewed material. As a general reference text, I suggest *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory*, 2nd ed., by Bauschke and Combettes, published by Springer. Virtually all of the results in these notes also apply to real Hilbert spaces; for proofs in full-generality, read the book. If unspecified,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a real finite-dimensional vector space (e.g.,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is fine).

### 1.1 Optimization terminology and the extended real line

**Notation 1.1** We will work with the **extended real line**, i.e.,  $[-\infty, +\infty] := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ . Algebra on this field follows most “natural” rules one could expect (e.g., for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x + \infty = \infty$ ). However, the following quantities are **undefined**:

- Any subtraction of infinities: “ $+\infty - (+\infty)$ ”
- Zero times infinity: “ $0 \cdot (\pm\infty)$ ”
- Any quotient of infinities: “ $\pm\infty / \pm\infty$ ,  $\pm\infty / \mp\infty$ , ...”

As a result, if we work with extended-real-valued functions, we must be sure to avoid anything which is undefined (e.g., the objective function  $f(x) + g(x)$  could be undefined if there exists  $z$  such that  $g(z) = -\infty$  and  $f(z) = \infty$ .)

---

\*Please report typos/errors found in these notes. Homework solutions should be handed in to my office ZIB 3107.

**Definition 1.2** Given a real vector space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a function  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ , and a set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ , consider the following optimization problem.

$$\underset{x \in C}{\text{minimize}} \quad f(x) \quad (1)$$

We call  $f$  the **objective function**. We call  $C$  a **constraint**. For any  $x \in C$ , we say  $x$  is **feasible**. Otherwise, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$ ,  $x$  is infeasible. If a point  $x^* \in C$  satisfies

$$(\forall x \in C) \quad f(x^*) \leq f(x), \quad (2)$$

we call  $x^*$  a **solution** to the optimization problem (1).

For this class, we consider minimization; to maximize  $f$ , just use the objective function  $-f$ .

**Definition 1.3** For  $I \subset [-\infty, +\infty]$ ,  $a \in [-\infty, +\infty]$  is a **lower bound (upper bound)** if, for every  $\xi \in I$ ,  $a \leq \xi$  ( $a \geq \xi$ ). The **greatest lower bound**, or **infimum**, of the set  $I$  is denoted  $\inf I$ . Analogously, the **least upper bound**, or **supremum**, of the set  $I$  is denoted  $\sup I$ . In general,  $\inf I, \sup I \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ . If, additionally,  $\inf I \in I$  ( $\sup I \in I$ ), we call it the **minimum (maximum)**, and denote it  $\min I$  ( $\max I$ ). In these cases, we say the infimum (supremum) is *attained*.

A few things to mention:

- (i) For  $I \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $\inf I \leq \sup I$ . For the empty set,  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$  and  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ .
- (ii) While the  $\inf$  and  $\sup$  are always defined,  $\max$  and  $\min$  may not exist (e.g., consider  $I = (0, 1)$  has  $\inf I = 0$  and  $\sup I = 1$ . However, since  $0, 1 \notin I$ , neither  $\max I$  nor  $\min I$  exist.)
- (iii) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ . We adopt the notation that  $\inf_{x \in C} f(x) = \inf\{f(x) \mid x \in C\}$ .
- (iv) It is common in optimization literature to abuse notation, and use

$$\min_{x \in C} f(x) \quad (3)$$

to describe the optimization problem (1). Technically,  $\min_{x \in C} f(x)$  is not an optimization problem – it is the optimal value of the objective function at a solution, which may or may not exist.<sup>1</sup>

**Definition 1.4** Let  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ . We will use the following terms.

- (i) The **domain** of  $f$  is

$$\text{dom } f = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid f(x) < +\infty\} \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The *Weierstraß Theorem*, loosely stated, guarantees that a solution to (1) exists if  $C$  is compact and  $f$  is lower-semicontinuous. For unconstrained functions, analytic notions of “coercivity” and “recession cones” can also yield existence results; however, they are not included in this class.

(ii) The **epigraph** of  $f$  is

$$\text{epi } f = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) = \xi\} \quad (5)$$

(iii) The function  $f$  is **proper** if  $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$  and it never outputs the value  $-\infty$  (i.e.,  $-\infty \notin f(\mathcal{H})$ ).

(iv) The function  $f$  is **lower semicontinuous** (sometimes abbreviated “lsc”) at  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  if, for every sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , we have  $f(x) \leq \liminf f(x_n)$

For this class, we will predominantly consider proper and lsc functions. A few things to note about the lsc assumption: (1) every continuous function is lsc, and (2) lower semicontinuity basically allows for a jump-discontinuity to occur at  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , but requires that  $f$  takes the lowest possible limiting value at  $x$  (cf. the figures drawn in class, or [here](#)).

## 1.2 Inner product and norms

**Definition 1.5** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real finite-dimensional vector space. A **scalar product** (sometimes called **inner product**) is a function  $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies the following properties.

- (i)  $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}) \quad \langle x \mid x \rangle > 0$
- (ii)  $(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \quad \langle x \mid y \rangle = \langle y \mid x \rangle$
- (iii)  $(\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{H})(\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \langle \alpha x + y \mid z \rangle = \alpha \langle x \mid z \rangle + \langle y \mid z \rangle$

**Exercise 1.6** Let  $0 \in \mathcal{H}$  be the zero element of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Show that, for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\langle 0 \mid x \rangle = 0$ .

**Exercise 1.7** Consider  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . For two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the *dot product* is given by  $\langle x \mid y \rangle = x^\top y$ . Show that the dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a scalar product.

**Exercise 1.8** Consider the vector space of matrices  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . For two matrices  $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  and  $B = (b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ , the *Frobenius inner product* is given by

$$\langle A \mid B \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} b_{i,j} \quad (6)$$

Show (6) is an inner product.

**Proposition 1.9 (Cauchy-Schwarz)** For every  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\langle x \mid y \rangle^2 \leq \langle x \mid x \rangle \langle y \mid y \rangle. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* If  $y = 0$ , (7) holds. Now suppose that  $y \neq 0$ . By Definition 1.5,  $\langle y | y \rangle > 0$ . Set  $\alpha = \langle x | y \rangle / \langle y | y \rangle$ . First, we find

$$0 \leq \langle x - \alpha y | x - \alpha y \rangle \quad (8)$$

$$= \langle x | x \rangle - 2\alpha \langle x | y \rangle + \alpha^2 \langle y | y \rangle \quad (9)$$

$$= \langle x | x \rangle - 2\alpha \langle x | y \rangle + \alpha \langle x | y \rangle \quad (10)$$

$$= \langle x | x \rangle - \alpha \langle x | y \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Rearranging the inequality, we find that

$$\frac{\langle x | y \rangle^2}{\langle y | y \rangle} = \alpha \langle x | y \rangle \leq \langle x | x \rangle \quad (12)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle x | y \rangle^2 \leq \langle y | y \rangle \langle x | x \rangle. \quad (13)$$

□

**Definition 1.10** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real finite-dimensional vector space. A function  $\|\cdot\|: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a **norm** if the following hold.

- (i)  $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H}) \quad \|x\| = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$
- (ii)  $(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \quad \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$
- (iii)  $(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$

A norm is a way to measure magnitude of vectors, or the distance from one vector to another  $\|x - y\|$ .

**Exercise 1.11** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real finite-dimensional vector space, and let  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  be a scalar product on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Show that the norm defined by

$$\|\cdot\|: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: x \mapsto \sqrt{\langle x | x \rangle} \quad (14)$$

satisfies the properties in Definition 1.10.

The **Euclidean norm** on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , given by  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \mapsto \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2}$ , arises from the dot product. Exercise 1.11 yields the following formulation of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}) \quad \langle x | y \rangle \leq \|x\| \|y\|. \quad (\text{C-S})$$

**Exercise 1.12** Let  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Show that

$$2x_1 - x_2^4 + 6x_3 \leq 4\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^8 + 9x_3^2}. \quad (15)$$

Can the coefficient 4 in (15) be reduced?

The following theorem is referenced a few times in the notes, so I will provide its statement here. Regretfully, this class does not have enough time to detail the topics of compact/closed/lsc. The following theorem is often used as a tool to ensure that a solution to an optimization problem exists.

**Theorem 1.13 (Weierstraß)** *Let  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be lower semicontinuous and let  $C$  be a compact subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that  $C \cap \text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $f$  achieves its infimum over  $C$ .*

## 2 Convexity

**Definition 2.1** A set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  is **convex** if, for every  $x, y \in C$

$$(\forall \alpha \in ]0, 1[) \quad \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in C. \quad (16)$$

A function  $f$  is **convex** if  $\text{epi } f$  is convex.

**Proposition 2.2**  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  is convex if and only if

$$(\forall x, y \in \text{dom } f) \quad (\forall \alpha \in ]0, 1[) \quad f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y). \quad (17)$$

*Proof.* First, we note that if  $f$  is identically  $+\infty$ , then  $\text{dom } f = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\text{epi } f = \emptyset$ , so (17) is vacuously true. Now assume that  $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(x, \xi)$  and  $(y, \eta)$  be in  $\text{epi } f$  and let  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ .  
( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $\text{epi } f$  is convex. Then

$$\alpha(x, \xi) + (1 - \alpha)(y, \eta) = (\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta) \in \text{epi } f. \quad (18)$$

Therefore,  $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta$ . Taking the limit as  $\xi \searrow f(x)$  and  $\eta \searrow f(y)$  yields (17).  
( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that (17) holds. By definition,  $f(x) \leq \xi$  and  $f(y) \leq \eta$ . So, by (17),

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) \quad (19)$$

$$\leq \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta. \quad (20)$$

Therefore,  $(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta) \in \text{epi } f$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3** Let  $\rho > 0$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . A **closed ball** of radius  $\rho$  is  $B(x; \rho) = \{z \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|x - z\| \leq \rho\}$ .

**Definition 2.4** Let  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $x$  is a **local minimizer** of  $f$  if there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$(\forall z \in \mathcal{H} \cap B(x; \rho)) \quad f(x) \leq f(z). \quad (21)$$

$x$  is a **global minimizer** of  $f$  if

$$(\forall z \in \mathcal{H}) \quad f(x) \leq f(z). \quad (22)$$

**Fact 2.5** Let  $f$  be a convex and proper function. Then every local minimizer is a global minimizer.

*Proof.* This is left as an exercise (easier to prove after we learn about convex subdifferentials).  $\square$

**Definition 2.6** Let  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  be nonempty.

(i) The **indicator function** of  $C$  is

$$\iota_C: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty] : x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in C \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

(ii) Suppose that  $C$  is also closed. A **projection** of  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  onto  $C$  is a solution to the minimization problem

$$\underset{z \in C}{\text{minimize}} \quad \|x - z\|. \quad (24)$$

A solution to (24) is a “closest” point to  $x$  which resides in  $C$ .

**Fact 2.7** Let  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(i) Without loss of generality, constrained optimization can be rephrased as unconstrained optimization via changing the objective function:

$$\inf_{x \in C} f(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x) + \iota_C(x). \quad (25)$$

The objective function  $f + \iota_C$  on the righthand side, although a bit fancier, allows us to rephrase the constraint on the lefthand side.

(ii)  $C$  is convex if and only if its indicator function  $\iota_C$  is convex.

(iii)  $C$  is closed if and only if its indicator function  $\iota_C$  is lsc.

(iv) Suppose that  $C$  is closed. Then a solution to (24) exists.

(v) Suppose that  $C$  is convex. If a solution to (24) exists, it is guaranteed to be unique.

The proofs of (ii) and (iii) follow from the fact that  $\text{epi } C = C \times [0, +\infty[$ . Loosely speaking, the proof of (iv) follows from the Weierstraß theorem (compactness is achieved by intersecting  $C$  with  $\{y \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|x - y\| \leq \eta\}$  for  $\eta > 0$ ) and (v) follows from the fact that the norm is *strictly convex* – (a notion we have not yet defined, but the interested student could research).

**Definition 2.8** Let  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  be nonempty, closed, and convex. In view of Fact 2.7(iv)–(v), for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  there is a unique point,  $\text{Proj}_C(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ , which solves (24). This implicitly defines the **projection operator** of  $C$ .

$$\text{Proj}_C: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} : x \mapsto \text{Proj}_C(x) \quad (\text{solution to (24)}) \quad (26)$$

Note: if  $x \in C$ , then  $\text{Proj}_C x = x$ .

For all of the algorithms in this course, we will focus on functions from the following class

$$\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}) = \{f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty] \mid f \text{ is proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex}\}. \quad (27)$$

The following functions live in  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$ :

- (i) Exponentials:  $e^x$
- (ii) Log-barriers  $f(x) = \begin{cases} -\ln(x) & \text{if } x > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (iii) Any norm:  $\|\cdot\|$  (e.g.,  $\|\cdot\|_1$  which promotes sparsity,  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{nuclear}}$  which promotes low-rank)
- (iv) Hinge-Loss, ReLU, KL-Divergence, ...
- (v) Given a collection of functions  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$ , we can remain in  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$  via the following operations.
  - (a)  $\max\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$
  - (b) Positive linear combinations:  $\lambda_1 f_1 + \dots + \lambda_m f_m$ , where  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  are positive.
  - (c) Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be two finite-dimensional real vector spaces. Let  $b \in \mathcal{H}_2$  and let  $A: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  be a linear operator (e.g., a matrix from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ). If  $f_1 \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_2)$ , then  $g(x) = f_1(Ax + b) \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_1)$ .

**Exercise 2.9** The **Minkowski sum** of two subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}. \quad (28)$$

Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are convex. Prove that  $A + B$  is convex.

**Exercise 2.10** Show that the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is convex using Definition 1.10.