

## 2 Convexity

**Definition 2.1** A set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  is **convex** if, for every  $x, y \in C$

$$(\forall \alpha \in ]0, 1[) \quad \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in C. \quad (16)$$

A function  $f$  is **convex** if  $\text{epi } f$  is convex.

**Proposition 2.2**  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  is convex if and only if

$$(\forall x, y \in \text{dom } f) \quad (\forall \alpha \in ]0, 1[) \quad f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y). \quad (17)$$

*Proof.* First, we note that if  $f$  is identically  $+\infty$ , then  $\text{dom } f = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\text{epi } f = \emptyset$ , so (17) is vacuously true. Now assume that  $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(x, \xi)$  and  $(y, \eta)$  be in  $\text{epi } f$  and let  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $\text{epi } f$  is convex. Then

$$\alpha(x, \xi) + (1 - \alpha)(y, \eta) = (\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta) \in \text{epi } f. \quad (18)$$

Therefore,  $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta$ . Taking the limit as  $\xi \searrow f(x)$  and  $\eta \searrow f(y)$  yields (17).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that (17) holds. By definition,  $f(x) \leq \xi$  and  $f(y) \leq \eta$ . So, by (17),

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) \quad (19)$$

$$\leq \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta. \quad (20)$$

Therefore,  $(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, \alpha \xi + (1 - \alpha)\eta) \in \text{epi } f$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3** Let  $\rho > 0$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . A **closed ball** of radius  $\rho$  is  $B(x; \rho) = \{z \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|x - z\| \leq \rho\}$ .

**Definition 2.4** Let  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $x$  is a **local minimizer** of  $f$  if there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$(\forall z \in \mathcal{H} \cap B(x; \rho)) \quad f(x) \leq f(z). \quad (21)$$

$x$  is a **global minimizer** of  $f$  if

$$(\forall z \in \mathcal{H}) \quad f(x) \leq f(z). \quad (22)$$

**Fact 2.5** Let  $f$  be a convex and proper function. Then every local minimizer is a global minimizer.

*Proof.* This is left as an exercise (easier to prove after we learn about convex subdifferentials).  $\square$

**Definition 2.6** Let  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  be nonempty.

(i) The **indicator function** of  $C$  is

$$\iota_C: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty] : x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in C \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

- (ii) Suppose that  $C$  is also closed. A **projection** of  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  onto  $C$  is a solution to the minimization problem

$$\underset{z \in C}{\text{minimize}} \quad \|x - z\|. \quad (24)$$

A solution to (24) is a “closest” point to  $x$  which resides in  $C$ .

**Fact 2.7** Let  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

- (i) Without loss of generality, constrained optimization can be rephrased as unconstrained optimization via changing the objective function:

$$\inf_{x \in C} f(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x) + \iota_C(x). \quad (25)$$

The objective function  $f + \iota_C$  on the righthand side, although a bit fancier, allows us to rephrase the constraint on the lefthand side.

- (ii)  $C$  is convex if and only if its indicator function  $\iota_C$  is convex.
- (iii)  $C$  is closed if and only if its indicator function  $\iota_C$  is lsc.
- (iv) Suppose that  $C$  is closed. Then a solution to (24) exists.
- (v) Suppose that  $C$  is convex. If a solution to (24) exists, it is guaranteed to be unique.

The proofs of (ii) and (iii) follow from the fact that  $\text{epi } C = C \times [0, +\infty[$ . Loosely speaking, the proof of (iv) follows from the Weierstraß theorem (compactness is achieved by intersecting  $C$  with  $\{y \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|x - y\| \leq \eta\}$  for  $\eta > 0$ ) and (v) follows from the fact that the norm is *strictly convex* – (a notion we have not yet defined, but the interested student could research).

**Definition 2.8** Let  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  be nonempty, closed, and convex. In view of Fact 2.7(iv)–(v), for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  there is a unique point,  $\text{Proj}_C(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ , which solves (24). This implicitly defines the **projection operator** of  $C$ .

$$\text{Proj}_C: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}: x \mapsto \text{Proj}_C(x) \quad (\text{solution to (24)}) \quad (26)$$

Note: if  $x \in C$ , then  $\text{Proj}_C x = x$ .

For all of the algorithms in this course, we will focus on functions from the following class

$$\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}) = \{f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty] \mid f \text{ is proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex}\}. \quad (27)$$

The following functions live in  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$ :

- (i) Exponentials:  $e^x$

- (ii) Log-barriers  $f(x) = \begin{cases} -\ln(x) & \text{if } x > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (iii) Any norm:  $\|\cdot\|$  (e.g.,  $\|\cdot\|_1$  which promotes sparsity,  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{nuclear}}$  which promotes low-rank)
- (iv) Hinge-Loss, ReLU, KL-Divergence, ...
- (v) Given a collection of functions  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$ , we can remain in  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$  via the following operations.
  - (a)  $\max\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$
  - (b) Positive linear combinations:  $\lambda_1 f_1 + \dots + \lambda_m f_m$ , where  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  are positive.
  - (c) Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be two finite-dimensional real vector spaces. Let  $b \in \mathcal{H}_2$  and let  $A: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  be a linear operator (e.g., a matrix from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ). If  $f_1 \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_2)$ , then  $g(x) = f_1(Ax + b) \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_1)$ .

**Exercise 2.9** The **Minkowski sum** of two subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}. \quad (28)$$

Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are convex. Prove that  $A + B$  is convex.

**Exercise 2.10** Show that the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is convex using Definition 1.10.