

I believe, in all aspects of life one should find harmony, including metaphysical and physical reality, social and personal life. Maybe the search for truth as i understand it is also a search for harmony in existence. Maybe because that is what i'm lacking, well to be honest i always thought that all the philosophical questions arise from some needs and the answered found for them are not necessarily phenomena that has a greater, transcending reality from the questions limitations meaning that they do not represent a greater truth in a philosophical perspective but creations of mind just like the questions themselves. A person that has no spouse may try to find a solution to this in a philosophical search but in reality what he needs is just a girl, but one could say that the emergence of philosophical questions from "anywhere" is a door opening into a greater reality.. Well, what is the indicator? How can one decide that what they found in their "artificial", "constructed" reality has its roots in something more than mind. The scientific method has some ways of doing it. It has predictions based on these constructed realities and when they are actually found in "experiments" these theories become acceptable. I like this approach because it has a feedback mechanism but as can be seen in philosophy of science this approach fails on many if not all occasions. It failed for newtonian mechanics when we saw near-light speed objects interacting in a bizarre manner. Thus this is by no means to compare truth. But we can say that we have reached the truth **for that particular experiment**. This is a problem in old school philosophy, can we deduce a universal from a particular? Apparently we can't as can be seen in the black raven paradox. Thus if we are saying that an experimental **truth** has no value out of its vicinity it becomes somewhat "functional" because it would never **engulf** a person with truth but just shine a light on the wall that surround all of us. Therefore we can search for the universal in the **sum** of particulars but then the metaphor for being in a cage comes to mind. It can be stated as follows: "We are in an iron cage inside of a marvelous garden that has **particular** light sources in it, where light touches we can only see the iron bars but if we deduce that everything there is is just the cage we would be wrong because we can't shine light to the garden. Even if we would find a fine tailored and coherent structure of the cage without any flaw or rip we would still see big gaps that has no meaning. We would have no knowledge of the garden or that we are trapped." Now if there was a believer in the science were here he would say that our contemporary knowledge of cosmos and existence reaches far beyond this cage metaphor and the so called gaps are tightening each passing they in an **expected** manner and the image of **garden** is slowly fading away into its predecessors of imaginations. And he was a bit knowledgeable he would go further and say that we have no other way to know anything else, there can be no way to **objectively** and doubtlessly know anything about the garden but this method and because it is the only way we should stick to it and gather as much we can until we run out of things to explore. These are strong arguments and i don't know if my perception for these arguments would give adequate satisfaction but i have a few things to say. In order to conclude about having **no other way** of knowing objectively and doubtlessly we have to understand each word that has been used for the knowledge. There is an argument about reality being surprisingly subjective.