

CS 461 – ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Term Project Proposal

Spring 2021

Group Nick (= Name of the Program)

RIDDLER

Members

(S1) Zeynep Cankara (CONTACT)

(S1) Ege Şahin

(S1) Aybars Altınışik

(S1) Göktuğ Gürbüzürk

(S1) Ahmet Feyzi Halaç

Description

In this project, we'll write a program called RIDDLER. We'll use the Python programming language. Our program will input a 5x5 New York Times mini-puzzle (cf. <https://www.nytimes.com/crosswords/game/mini>) and its solution with an automated browser interaction library. We are planning to use Stanford's Natural Language Processing library to find the possible words corresponding to all clues one by one then together with various heuristics such as semantics and orthographic patterns, our model will decide on the correct one and fill the puzzle. In the end, we will compare our solution with the original solution to evaluate the performance of our algorithm.

Literature

The structure of Crossword puzzles relies heavily on the two aspects of the lexical memory which are clues represented as semantic information and orthographic patterns to constrain the solution space and provide hints for potential answers. The authors developed a computational model for crossword solving via adopting Biologically-Inspired Artificial Intelligence approach which models the human expert crossword play from lexical access routes and solution strategies. The authors demonstrated semantic retrieval fluency is essential for solving crossword puzzles like real life experts. Conventional Artificial Intelligence search algorithms use a trial-and-error approach when generating the correct partial solution whereas the authors algorithms mimics real-life crossword experts when making a response.

Thanasuan, Kejkaew, and Shane T. Mueller. *Crossword Expertise as Recognition Decision Making: An Artificial Intelligence Approach*. 26 Aug. 2014, www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01018/full.



Crossword expertise as recognitional decision making: an artificial intelligence approach

Kejkaew Thanasuan and Shane T. Mueller*

Department of Cognitive and Learning Sciences, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, USA

Edited by:

Eddy J. Davelaar, Birkbeck College, UK

Reviewed by:

Adam Sanborn, University of Warwick, UK

Raymond Stephen Nickerson, Tufts University, USA (retired)

*Correspondence:

Shane T. Mueller, Department of Cognitive and Learning Sciences, Michigan Technological University, 1400 Townsend Drive, Houghton, MI 49931, USA
e-mail: shanem@mtu.edu

The skills required to solve crossword puzzles involve two important aspects of lexical memory: semantic information in the form of clues that indicate the meaning of the answer, and orthographic patterns that constrain the possibilities but may also provide hints to possible answers. Mueller and Thanasuan (2013) proposed a model accounting for the simple memory access processes involved in solving individual crossword clues, but expert solvers also bring additional skills and strategies to bear on solving complete puzzles. In this paper, we developed an computational model of crossword solving that incorporates strategic and other factors, and is capable of solving crossword puzzles in a human-like fashion, in order to understand the complete set of skills needed to solve a crossword puzzle. We compare our models to human expert and novice solvers to investigate how different strategic and structural factors in crossword play impact overall performance. Results reveal that expert crossword solving relies heavily on fluent semantic memory search and retrieval, which appear to allow experts to take better advantage of orthographic-route solutions, and experts employ strategies that enable them to use orthographic information. Furthermore, other processes central to traditional AI models (error correction and backtracking) appear to be of less importance for human players.

Keywords: crossword puzzles, recognitional decision making, AI, expertise, lexical memory search

1. INTRODUCTION

Crossword puzzles were first introduced in 1913, and have become both a popular pastime, mental training aid, and a domain of study for psychological researchers (e.g., Nickerson, 2011), who have long acknowledged the role of memory access in puzzle solving. Previously, Mueller and Thanasuan (2014) we proposed a model of the basic memory search processes involved in solving individual crossword clues, and suggest that the joint access and constraint provided by cues in crossword puzzles make it similar to expert decision making in many domains.

For many of the same reasons that make them engaging puzzles for humans, crossword puzzles also pose an interesting problem for Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems, as solving them requires using many of the fundamental aspects of modern AI: search, heuristics, constraint satisfaction, knowledge representation, optimization, and data mining. Because crossword solving requires searching simultaneously within two distinct spaces (i.e., semantic and orthographic), and easily permits backtracking and recursion, it is also a useful problem for learning and teaching AI (e.g., Ginsberg et al., 1990; Harris et al., 1993; Shazeer et al., 1999; Littman et al., 2002). “Dr. Fill” (Ginsberg, 2011) is currently the best-known and most advanced AI crossword solver, and it typically performs perfectly on nearly all “straight” puzzles, only making mistakes on puzzles with complex or unusual themes or letter arrangements (Lohr, 2012). For example, when competing at the 2012 American Crossword Puzzle Tournament (ACPT), Dr. Fill failed on a puzzle in which many of the answers were required

to be filled in backward, a twist that also challenged many human solvers. Dr. Fill finished the 2012 ACPT 141st of approximately 600 contestants and improved to 92nd place in 2013, and 67th place in 2014. The improvement over time is related not only to broader knowledge corpora being used, but also the incorporation of more rules for handling tricky puzzle themes, which often include puns, rebuses (i.e., letter substitutions), and other wordplay devices.

Although Dr. Fill illustrates that AI can be competitive with the best human players, AI systems typically use very non-human strategies to accomplish this. In arriving at a final answer, they may end up solving a puzzle dozens or hundreds of times, selecting the solution that best fits many constraints. In contrast, human solvers use a different combination of skills, including decision making, pattern recognition (Grady, 2010), lexical memory access (Nickerson, 1977) and motor skills such as typing or moving in a grid. Speed-solvers develop these skills to challenge themselves, to enable solving more puzzles per day (often five or six), and to compete in competitions. They tend not to use backtracking or error correction extensively (at least to the extent that computerized systems do), and they are minimally impacted by difficulty (see Mueller and Thanasuan, 2013). Moreover, they still outperform AI solutions on puzzles that are moderately challenging.

Although AI crossword solvers can complete many puzzles almost perfectly, these systems tend not to be based on human strategies or known human memory structure. In this paper, we