

Project 1

Intercepting Shell Program

Introduction

The project is about implementing a simple command line interpreter which resembles the UNIX shell. The program is an intercepting shell program supporting common various UNIX command together with commands such as `exit` and `help`. The shell program operates in two modes which are normal mode and the tapped mode. Both of these modes works with composed commands which are commands separated with symbol `'|'`.

Running the intercepting shell program (isp)

The shell can run via the following command where $\langle N \rangle$ is the number of bytes read and written from each system call and $\langle mode \rangle$ signifies the pipe mode to used where $mode = 1$ stands for the normal mode and $mode = 2$ stands for the tapped mode.

```
isp <N> <mode>
```

The experiments done using the commands in the following format when the shell is running where $\langle M \rangle$ is the random alphanumerical letters produced.

```
./producer <M> | ./consumer <M>
```

Experiments

I have used the `gettimeofday()` method before and after executing composed commands to display the statistics about the affects of the shell parameter changes on the program execution time. I specifically take the time statistic about `real` execution time since it is total time elapsed from start to finish.

| Read and write count statistics for various values of N (M = 1000000/ tapped mode) | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| N/M(=1000000) | read-count | write-count |
| 1 | 1000001 | 1000000 |
| 256 | 147998 | 147997 |
| 512 | 136791 | 136790 |
| 1024 | 149468 | 149467 |

The table above displays how read count and write count changes as the number of bytes to read and write changes in one system call ($M = 1000000$). The number of read-counts and write-counts do not change much after the N value of 256. One reason might be that read command allocating more bytes than the needed in the first place.

| Time statistics for various N and M values in milliseconds (Tapped mode) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| N/M | 10 | 100 | 10000 | 1000000 |
| 1 | 0.909ms | 1.706ms | 117.297ms | 22529.848ms |
| 256 | 0.869ms | 1.952ms | 123.507ms | 7093.092ms |
| 512 | 0.827ms | 2.123ms | 100.910ms | 6472.611ms |
| 1024 | 0.827ms | 2.336ms | 103.573ms | 7007.026ms |

| Time statistics for various N and M values in seconds (Normal mode) | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|
| N/M | 10 | 100 | 10000 | 1000000 |
| 1 | 0.862ms | 2.170ms | 38.601ms | 4857.360ms |
| 256 | 0.999ms | 1.423ms | 53.287ms | 5173.477ms |
| 512 | 1.619ms | 1.241ms | 81.216ms | 4767.255ms |
| 1024 | 0.831ms | 0.900ms | 29.990ms | 5058.102ms |

The tables above demonstrates the affects of parameters M and N on the shell performance for both normal and tapped modes. As it can be seen from the both tables above as the N value increase the execution time of a composed command decreases in most cases yet there is not strong relationship we can conclude from the experiment alone. On the other hand, as the M value increases we can see that the time elapsed increases as well, it makes sense since we expect more bytes produced and consumed leading up to the more processing time thus more time elapsed in milliseconds. If we compare the results of the normal mode with the tapped mode version of the table above we can see that in general executing in the normal mode takes less time in general. Especially for the larger M values. One reason might be the overhead caused by transferring data first to the main process rather than directly transferring to the other pipe like in the normal mode.

Codes for the producer and consumer programs

I'm providing the codes of the producer and consumer programs below which I used to conduct timing experiments.

producer.c

```

1 // Copyright 2021 by the Zeynep Cankara. All rights reserved.
2 // Program produces M random alphanum letters incrementally.
3
4 // library imports
5 #include <unistd.h>
6 #include <stdlib.h>
7 #include <time.h>
8 #include <stdio.h>
9 #include <string.h>
10

```

```

11 // definition(s)
12 #define FD_OUT 1
13 #define ALPHANUMERIC_LEN 36
14
15 // constants
16 const char ALPHANUM[ALPHANUMERIC_LEN] = {'a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f',
17                                           'g', 'h', 'i', 'j', 'k', 'l',
18                                           'm', 'n', 'o', 'p', 'q', 'r',
19                                           's', 't', 'u', 'v', 'w', 'x',
20                                           'y', 'z', '0', '1', '2', '3',
21                                           '4', '5', '6', '7', '8', '9'};
22
23 // Main function
24 int main(int argc, char *argv[])
25 {
26     int M = 0;
27     unsigned int numberOfChars = 0;
28     if (argc > 1)
29     {
30         // M is the number of bytes for the experiments
31         M = atoi(argv[1]);
32     }
33
34     while (numberOfChars < M)
35     {
36         int randomIndex = ALPHANUMERIC_LEN % rand();
37         char charToWrite = ALPHANUM[randomIndex];
38         numberOfChars += write(FD_OUT, &charToWrite, 1);
39     }
40     return 0;
41 }

```

consumer.c

```

1 // Copyright 2021 by the Zeynep Cankara. All rights reserved.
2 // Program consumes M random alphanum letters incrementally.
3
4 // imports
5 #include <unistd.h>
6 #include <stdlib.h>
7 #include <string.h>
8 #include <stdio.h>
9
10 // definition

```

```

11  #define FD_IN 0
12
13  // Consumer program
14  int main(int argc, char *argv[])
15  {
16      int M = 0;
17      if (argc > 1)
18      {
19          // M is the number of bytes for the experiments
20          M = atoi(argv[1]);
21      }
22      unsigned int numberOfChars = 0;
23      while (numberOfChars < M)
24      {
25          char toRead;
26          numberOfChars += read(FD_IN, &toRead, 1);
27      }
28      return 0;
29  }

```

Conclusion

This assignment helped me to understand how I can implement my own command line interpreter in a simplified way. I believe after completing the project I can see the importance of how various pipe designs affects the performance of an interpreter. Additionally, I learnt a lot about the pipes in Operating Systems and how they enable the *I/O re-direction*.