TWO QUBIT GATE H1=4Eq m2-E31 Cos 91 Hz=4Ec2 m2-E32 (08/92 Hc = 4 Ec = M = M2 $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ $+ E_{51} \cos \left[2\pi \frac{\Phi_{1}}{\Phi_{0}}\right] + E_{52} \cos \left[2\pi \frac{\Phi_{2}}{\Phi_{0}}\right]$ We can calculate the conjugate vorables to \$1, \$1: $Q_1 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{c}} = \left[C_1 + C_c\right] \dot{\phi}_1 - C_c \dot{\phi}_2$ $Q_{2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Phi_{2}} = \left[C_{2} + C_{c} \right] \dot{\Phi}_{2} - C_{c} \dot{\Phi}_{1}$

$$\stackrel{=}{\subseteq} \frac{1}{(C_{1}+C_{2})(C_{2}+C_{c})+C_{c}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} C_{2}+C_{c} & C_{c} \\ C_{c} & C_{1}+C_{c} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\vdash} \frac{1}{(C_{1}+C_{c})(C_{2}+C_{c})+C_{c}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} C_{2}+C_{c} & C_{c} \\ C_{c} & C_{1}+C_{c} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\vdash} \frac{1}{(C_{1}+C_{c})(C_{2}+C_{c})+C_{c}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} C_{2}+C_{c} & C_{c} \\ C_{2}+C_{c} & C_{2} \\ C_{2}+C_{c} & C_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\vdash} \frac{1}{(C_{1}+C_{c})(C_{2}+C_{c})(C_{2}+C_{c})}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\vdash} \frac{1}{(C_{2}+C_{c})(C_{2}+C_{c})}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\vdash} \frac{1}{(C_{2}+C_{c})}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{\vdash} \frac{1}{(C_{2$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} Q_L \\ Q_{\Sigma} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_L + C_c & -C_c \\ -C_c & C_2 + C_c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_L \\ \tilde{\Phi}_L \end{bmatrix}$

Now we can promote the classical variables to quantum operators:
$$E_{c_1} = \frac{e^2}{C_1 + C_c + \frac{C_c^2}{C_2 + C_c}}$$

$$E_{c_1} = \frac{e^2}{C_2 + C_c + \frac{C_c^2}{C_4 + C_c}}$$

$$E_{c_1} = \frac{e^2}{C_2 + C_c + \frac{C_c^2}{C_4 + C_c}}$$

$$E_{c_{12}} = \frac{2 C_c e^2}{(C_4 + C_c)(C_2 + C_c) + C_c^2}$$

 $H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{C_1 + C_c + \frac{C_c^2}{C_2 + C_c}} \frac{Q_1^2 + 1}{2} \frac{1}{C_2 + C_c} \frac{Q_2^2 + 1}{C_1 + C_c}$

 $- E_{31} \cos \frac{2\pi}{\overline{\Phi}_{1}} \Phi_{1} - E_{32} \cos \frac{2\pi}{\overline{\Phi}_{1}} \Phi_{2}$

+ (C1+Cc)(C2+Cc)+Cc2 Q1Q2 +

$$H_{c} = 4 E_{c_{12}} m_{1} m_{2} = 4 E_{c_{12}} \sum_{i, j, k, k} \langle a_{j} | m_{1} m_{2} | k_{2} \rangle \langle a_{j} \rangle \langle k_{1} | k_{2} \rangle \langle a_{j} | m_{1} k_{2} \rangle \langle a_{j} | m_{1} k_{2} \rangle \langle a_{j} | m_{2} | k_{2} \rangle \langle a_{j} | m_{2} \rangle \langle a_{j}$$

H1 = 4 Ec, m2 - Es, cos 4 = - 1 to w, de1

H2= 4 E C2 M2 - E32 COS 92 = - 2 to 62 02 032

We are going into the notating frame of the gulits + we will apply the Rotating Wave Approximation U= exp[-iw1t 021/2] & exp[-iw2t 022/2] and remembering $O_{+} = O_{\times} + i O_{y}$; $O_{\bullet} = O_{\times} - i O_{y}$ We get: $\widetilde{H} = -\frac{1}{4} tg \left[e^{-\lambda (\omega_1 - \omega_2)} \sigma_{+1} \sigma_{-2} + e^{+\lambda (\omega_1 - \omega_2)} \sigma_{-1} \sigma_{+2} \right]$ If $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ [ON RESONANCE]

 $\widetilde{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{thg} \left[O_{x_1} O_{x_2} + O_{x_1} O_{x_2} \right]$

5

To generate entanglement between notividual quantum systems it is necessary to engineer an interaction Hamiltonian that connects degrees of freedom in those ndividual systems. We will discuss some physical coupling mechanisms. The Hamiltonian of two coupled systems takes a generic form H= H2 + H2 + Hint, where H2, H2 denote the Hamiltonens of the individual quantum systems. The last term, Hint, is the interaction Hamiltonian which couples the variables of both systems.

In superconducting circuits, the physical form of the caupling energy is either an electric or magnetic field.

(6)

To achieve a capacitor is I_{c_1} I_{c_2} I_{c_2} intersection Hamiltonian Hint = Cg V+ Vz, where Cg is the capping Capacitance and V1 (Vz) is the voltage operator of the corresponding voltage mode being connected Corent quantisation in the limit of Cg << C1, Cz yields H= \(\sum \left[4 \sum \chi \chi \right] \sum \left[\left[\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1} \left[\frac{1}{2} Regardless of its physical realization, the effect of a coupling on system dynamics is determined by its form as represented in the eigenbasis of the individual systems.

Using second quantization, the system Hamiltonian can be expressed as $H = \sum_{i \in L^2} \left[w_i a_i^{\dagger} a_i + \frac{\partial_i}{\partial a_i} a_i^{\dagger} a_i + \frac{\partial_i}{\partial a_i} a_i^{\dagger} a_i - g(a_4 - a_4^{\dagger})(a_2 - a_2^{\dagger}) \right]$ where the expression within brackets represent the Duffing oscillator Hamiltonian for the gulets and g is the coupling energy. Since me define Voca «i (a-at), Ixp« (a+at) the original "n2 m2" term becomes: n2 m2 d (a1-91) (a2-91) Such coupling is called "transverse", because the coupling Hamiltonian has nontero matrix elements only at aff-diagonal positions with respect to both oscillators If we can ignore higher energy levels (K7,2), because of sufficient anharmonicity of the gubit, we may truncate to H= \(\frac{1}{2} \omega i \sigma_{2,i} + g \sigma_{1,1} \sigma_{1,2} \)

This is equivalent at the Hamiltonian of two spins coupled by an exchange interaction. Such a Hamiltonian is most commonly used in contemporary implementations and can generate various types of two-gulat entangling couplings are When both capacitive and inductive present in the system, both ox ox and or of terms will be present. So, the interaction term between two capacitively coupled gulits (in the two-level approximation) is given by Hgg = g Oys & Oyz, where with Cg-g the gulat-gulat $g_{9-9} = \frac{1}{2} N \omega_{91} \omega_{92} \frac{C_{9-9}}{N C_{9-9} + C_L} \sqrt{C_{9-9} + C_2}$ coupling capacitence and Ci ble capacitence af each gulet.

We will assume that a direct capaciture coupling between quelits, which are flux-tunable transmon type wgi -> wgi (\$i). (10)We can rewrite the Hamiltonian as $H_{99} = -9([\alpha + - \sigma -] \otimes [\sigma + - \sigma -]),$ Using the rotating wave approximation, we arrive at Hgg = g (eifwiztg+o-+ e-ifwiztg-o+), where SU12= W92- W92. 14 (G.H.S.) If we now change the flex of gulit 1 to bring it into resonance with gulit 2 (wg2= wg2), then Hg=g(x+a+o-x+)=g(xx+oyg) Suapping of excitation "XX" hetruen the 2 gulits interaction

The unitary time evolution operator corresponding to a XX (suap) interaction is Ugg(t)=exp[-~ig(oxox+ogog)t]= Since the gulits are tunable in frequency, we can now consider the effect of tuning the gulits into resonance for a time "!= T/2g" From this result, we see that a capacitive coupling between gubits turned-on for a time t'a 1/2 leads to implementing a so called "iswAP" gate, which acts to swap an excitation between the two gubits, and add a phase of $i = e^{i\pi/2}$.

(1)

For completencess, we note that for t'= 11/49 the resulting unitary $U_{99}(\frac{11}{49}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv Ni Suap$ is typically referred as the "squareroot - i'SWAP" gete. The Niswap gate can be used to generate Bell-like superposition states, eg. 1947+i110). To elucidate the operating principle behind on iSWAP implementation, we can consider the spectrum of a flix-timable gulet using typical transmon-like parameters. The iswap gate is performed at the avaided crassing where \$= Diswap.

By preparing the gulet (1) in state 11), moving "fast" , noto the avoided crossing, wait there for a time 2, the excitation is swapped back and forth between the two gulits. The excitation oscillates back and forth between (01) and (10) O + TO with the predicted time (t'= T/2g). In turn, the t'= TT/2g". In turn, the frequency of the oscillation can be used to extract the strength of the coupling, 2/t'= g/T. During the flux-tuning process there vill be some single gulent phoses arguired, givenly Oz = | dt (wg-alt). This phoses should be taken coupling via a direct capacitor are 5-60 MHz. (13) (13)

The "SWAP" cannot generate a CMOT gote by itself.

To implement a CMOT gabe, the following sequence will be required (by using LSWAP)

= \[\frac{2-\frac{\pi}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2\frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} = \fr