

### **Design and Implementation:**

The algorithms used to implement the decision tree and supporting functions come directly from the lecture notes. Using the pseudo-code to implement the functions in LISP required some thought, however. Reading the pseudo-code it is obvious how one might implement the function in a C-like programming language. This C code could have been reworked into a similar looking LISP version, but some thought is required to utilize LISP's full capabilities. Attempting to utilize mapcar and list building functionalities, I opted for a more in-line solution using lambda expressions. This helped me produce concise easy to follow code.

For Part C, I had difficulty finding a data set that I could easily mapover to create examples. The provided data sets include both categorical and real values, creating a problem for my code. Because, by default, the assignment does not require the handling of floating-point values, this limited the data sets I could use. Two solutions to this are to ignore the floating-point attributes or to convert them to a categorical value in terms of their mean. For simplicity, I choose the former to create usable examples. In addition, I used the UCI Machine Learning Repository to get a data set of purely categorical attributes. I choose to utilize the Balloon Data Set, which came in handy when testing the resulting decision-trees.

### **Reflection:**

This project helped me to better understand the functions behind building a decision tree. The impurity functions are straight forward mathematical summations, but the remainder function was a slight mystery going into the project. My confusions included: what are the inputs to the impurity function, and what is the  $X_j$  subset. Implementing the remainder function help me to understand that the impurity function takes the probability of each label in  $X_j$  and the  $X_j$  subset is the set of all samples with the feature value  $j$ .

Implementing the decision tree helped me to better understand the construction and functionality of decision trees. As the pseudo-code indicates, the tree is best built use a recursive method. This an exploit of the recursive nature of decision trees, each subtree is a decision tree. This fact became obvious as I implemented the build-decision-tree function.

### **Analyzing the Results:**

The previously mentioned balloon data set came in handy when analyzing the output decision trees. Provided with each data set was a descriptor for when the label is true (ex. adult-stretch.data Inflated is true if age=adult or act=stretch). This was extremely useful because I could check that my decision trees matched this expected behavior. In essence, I could treat them as unit-tests for my project.

Using the provided function, find-label-for-example, I was also able to test the trees produced to make sure the output labels matched that of the training examples. Similar to Part B but using only one example set, I was able to ensure that for the training set the decision trees always produced the correct label. This test in practice has no realistic purpose, but it is a good test to make sure the decision tree works to some degree.