Exercise 1.2. Let \sim be an equivalence relation on a set S. We need to show that \mathcal{P}_{\sim} is a set of non-empty disjoint sets whose union is S. Non-emptiness is easy: since \sim is an equivalence relation, $a \sim a$ for all $a \in S$ and we conclude $a \in [a]_{\sim}$ by the definition of $[a]_{\sim}$.

Disjointness takes a bit more work. If $S = \emptyset$, then $P_{\sim} = \{\}$ is trivially disjoint. Similarly, if $S = \{a\}$, then $P = \{[a]_{\sim}\} = \{\{a\}\}$ is disjoint.

So, we now assume $|S| \ge 2$ and let $r,s \in S$ be distinct. For a contradiction, suppose that there exists $c \in S$ and distinct $[r]_{\sim}$, $[s]_{\sim} \in \mathcal{P}_{\sim}$ such that $c \in [r]_{\sim}$ and $c \in [s]_{\sim}$. Hence, $c \sim r$ and $c \sim s$. By the symmetry and transitivity of \sim , $r \sim s$ and $s \sim r$. But this means that for any $x \in [r]_{\sim}$ and any $y \in [s]_{\sim}$, we have $x \sim r$ from which it follows by transitivity that $x \sim s$ and, similarly, $y \sim s$, from which it follows that $y \sim r$. We conclude that $[r]_{\sim} = [s]_{\sim}$; by contradiction, $[r]_{\sim}$, $[s]_{\sim}$ must be disjoint.

Finally, suppose there exists $c \in S$ such that $c \notin \mathcal{P}_{\sim}$. But $[c]_{\sim} \in \bigcup_{x \in S} [x]_{\sim}$ and $c \in [c]_{\sim}$ so it must be the case that $\bigcup_{x \in S} [x]_{\sim} \subseteq S$. Now suppose that there exists $c \in \bigcup_{x \in S} [x]_{\sim}$ with $c \notin S$. There then exists a $r \in S$ such that $c \in [r]_{\sim}$. But

$$[r]_{\sim} = \{ s \in S | s \sim r \}$$

so $c \in S$ and $S \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in S} [x]_{\sim}$. We conclude $S = \bigcup_{x \in S} [x]_{\sim} = \bigcup_{T \in P_{\sim}} T$ as required.

Exercise 1.3. Let $a, b \in S$. Define \sim by

$$a \sim b \iff (\exists T \in \mathcal{P}_{\sim}) \ a, b \in T$$

All that's left is to show \sim satisfies the required properties.

- reflexivity: Let $a \in S$. Since the union of the sets of \mathcal{P}_{\sim} is equal to S, there exists $T \in \mathcal{P}_{\sim}$ with $a \in T$. Hence, $a \sim a$.
- symmetry: Let $a,b \in S$ and suppose $a \sim b$. Then $(\exists T \in \mathcal{P}_{\sim})$ $a,b \in T$ from which we conclude $b \sim a$.
- transitivity: Let $a,b,c \in S$ and suppose $a \sim b,b \sim c$. Then, $(\exists T \in \mathcal{P}_{\sim})$ $a,b \in T$ and $(\exists U \in \mathcal{P}_{\sim})$ $b,c \in U$. But since \mathcal{P}_{\sim} consists of disjoint sets, T = U since b is in both of them. Hence, $c \in T$ and we conclude $a \sim c$.