Exercise 1.3.2.

- a) Let $A = \{0\}$. Then inf $A = \sup A = 0$.
- b) Impossible. Let the set in question be $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and suppose $a_s \ge a_i$ for all i (such an s must exist because the set is finite). Then a_s is the maximum of the set and hence the set has a supremum.
- c) The set $\{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ does the trick.

Exercise 1.3.3.

- a) Since A is bounded below, $B \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, since A is nonempty, B must have an upper bound. By the Axiom of Completeness, sup B exists.
 - Each $a \in A$ must be an upper bound for B since $b \le a$. Hence, by part ii) of the definition, $\sup B \le a$ for each a, establishing $\sup B$ as a lower bound of A. Part i) of the definition says that for all lower bounds $b \in B$, $b \le \sup B$. So, we conclude that $\sup B = \inf A$.
- b) Part a) shows that any nonempty set that is bounded below has an infimum.

Exercise 1.3.4.

a) By the Axiom of Completeness, $\sup A_i$ exists for each i. Let $s_1 = \sup A_2$ and $s_2 = \sup A_2$ and suppose $s_1 \geq s_2$. For each $a_1 \in A_1$ and $a_2 \in A_2$, $s_1 \geq a_1$ and $s_2 \geq a_2$. Hence, $s_1 \geq a_2$ and we conclude that s_1 is an upper bound of $A_1 \cup A_2$. Let b be an upper bound of $A_1 \cup A_2$. Then, $a_1 \leq b$ and $a_2 \leq b$. So, b is also an upper bound of A_1 and A_2 . But $s_1 \leq b$ so $\sup A_1 \cup A_2 = s_1$.

Similarly, if $s_2 \ge s_1$, we have sup $A_1 \cup A_2 = s_2$. We conclude

$$\sup(A_1 \cup A_2) = \max(\sup A_1, \sup A_2)$$

In general,

$$\sup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \max(\sup A_1, \dots, \sup A_n)$$

This can be proved by induction on k, with the base case (k = 1) being trivial to prove and the inductive step following directly from part a) (where you have to use the fact that $\max(\max(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}) = \max(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$).

b) No, because an infinite union of bounded sets can result in an unbounded set, which has no supremum. For example,

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ x \mid x \le k \} = \mathbb{R}$$

Exercise 1.3.7.

Part i) of the definition is satisfied by assumption. For part ii), let b be an upper bound of A. Then, for all $a' \in A$, $a' \le b$ and, crucially, $a \le b$. Hence, $a = \sup A$.

Exercise 1.3.10.

- a) By the Axiom of Completeness, $c = \sup A$ exists. Furthermore, since a < b for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, we have that $c \le b$. But $a \le c$ by definition, so we're done.
- b) First, we need to construct disjoint nonempty sets A, B such that $A \cup B = \mathbb{R}$. Let $B = \{x \mid x > e \text{ for all } e \in E\}$. Since E is nonempty is bounded above by some b, B is nonempty (namely, it must contain b+1). Let $A = B^c = \{x \mid x \le e \text{ for all } e \in E\}$.

Now, for $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $e \in E$, we have $a \le e$ and b > e. Hence, a < b as required. By the Cut Property, there exists $c \in R$ such that $a \le c$ and $c \le b$. From the definition of A, this means that for all $e \in E$, $e \le c$ such that c is an upper bound on E, satisfying part i) of the definition of the supremum. Additionally, suppose d is an upper bound on E. If $d \in A$, then $e \le d$ and $d \notin B$, meaning that d < b. This requires that d = c: if c < d, then $a \not\le c$ for all a. Otherwise, $d \in B$, from which it follows that $c \le d$. We conclude that $c = \sup E$.

c) Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x < \sqrt{2}\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x > \sqrt{2}\}$. Clearly, $A \cup B = \mathbb{Q}$ (since $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$) and a < b for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$. By the Cut Property, there exists $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $a \le c$ and $b \ge c$. Since $A \cup B = \mathbb{Q}$ and A and B are disjoint, either $c \in A$ or $c \in B$. If $c \in A$, then $1 < c < \sqrt{2}$ and

$$c < c + \frac{2 - c^2}{2} < \sqrt{2}$$

But this means that there's a rational number (namely $c + (2 - c^2)/2$) in A larger than c, which is a contradiction. A similar argument can be made if $c \in B$.

Exercise 1.3.11.

- a) True. Since A and B are nonempty and bounded, $\sup A$ and $\sup B$ exist. Since $A \subseteq B$, $a \le \sup B$ for all $a \in A$, i.e., $\sup B$ is an upper bound for A. Additionally, $\sup A \le b$ for all upper bounds b of A. Hence, $\sup A \le \sup B$.
- b) True. Since $\sup A$ and $\inf B$ exist, A and B must be nonempty and bounded. Suppose there does not exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that a < c < b for all $a \in A, b \in B$. Then, there exists $a' \in A$ and $b' \in B$ with $b' \leq a'$. (If a' < b' then a' < a' + (b' a')/2 = (a' + b')/2 < b' so it must be the case that $b' \leq a'$.) But by assumption $a' \leq \sup A < \inf B \leq b'$, which is a contradiction.
- c) False. Let $A = B = \emptyset$, then the assumption trivially holds but neither sup A nor inf B exists.

Exercise 1.4.1.

a) Let a = p/q and b = m/n. Then ab = (pm)/(qn). Since \mathbb{Z} is closed under multiplication, $ab \in \mathbb{Q}$. Similar for a+b, given that \mathbb{Z} is closed under addition.

- b) Suppose $a + t \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then, there exists $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a + t = p/q. Now, since a = m/n for some $m, n\mathbb{Z}$, we have t = p/q m/n = (np mq)/(qn). But this contradicts the irrationality of t, so $a + t \in \mathbb{I}$. Similar argument for $at \in \mathbb{I}$.
- c) Nothing. By part (b), $1-\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{2}/2-1$, $\sqrt{2}/2+1\in\mathbb{I}$. But $(1-\sqrt{2})+\sqrt{2}=1\in\mathbb{Q}$ and $(\sqrt{2}/2-1)+(\sqrt{2}/2+1)=\sqrt{2}\in\mathbb{I}$. Similarly, $\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}\in\mathbb{Q}$ but $(\sqrt{2}+1)\sqrt{2}=2+\sqrt{2}\in\mathbb{I}$. Hence, \mathbb{I} is neither closed under addition nor multiplication.

Exercise 1.4.2. First, we show that s is an upper bound of A. Let $a' \in A$ and suppose a' > s, which implies a' - s > 0. By assumption, $s + 1/n \ge a'$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Archimedean Property, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_0 < a' - s$. But this implies $s + 1/n_0 < a'$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $s \ge a$ for all $a \in A$.

Now, we want to show that s is a least upper bound. Let b be an upper bound for A. By assumption, s-1/n < b for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so 1/n > s-b. Now, suppose s > b. Then s-b > 0 and so, by the Archimedean Property, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1/n_0 < s-b$. But this is a contradiction, so $s \le b$.

Exercise 1.4.3. Suppose there exists $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n)$. Then, 0 < x < 1/n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But, by the Archimedean Property, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_0 < x$. This is a contradiction, so $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n) = \emptyset$.

Exercise 1.4.5. By Theorem 1.4.3, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $a - \sqrt{2} < r < b - \sqrt{2}$. Hence, $a < r + \sqrt{2} < b$. But, by Exercise 1.4.1 part (a), $r + \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{I}$, so we're done.

Exercise 1.4.7. Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that

$$\frac{1}{n_0} < \frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{2\alpha}$$

Then

$$\alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{n_0} > \alpha^2 - (\alpha^2 - 2) = 2.$$

But this contradicts the fact that α is a least upper bound of T. Hence, we conclude that $\alpha^2 = 2$.

Exercise 1.5.1. Let $n_m = \min \left\{ n \in \left(\mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} n_i \right) \mid f(n) \in A \right\}$ and let $g(m) = f(n_m)$. Now suppose $g(s) = f(n_s) = f(n_t) = g(t)$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Because f is 1-1, $n_s = n_t$. But if $n_s = n_t$ then s = t because if s < t then $n_s \notin \left(\mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i \right)$ and a similar argument can be made for t < s. Hence, g is 1-1. Additionally, g is onto: if $a \in A$, there exists some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f(k) \in A$ because f is onto. But there there must be some m' such that $k = n_{m'}$ and hence g(m') = f(k) = a.

Exercise 1.5.2. NIP is only true for closed intervals on \mathbb{R} and not \mathbb{Q} (since the proof relies on AoC).

Exercise 1.5.3.

a) Suppose A_1 and A_2 are countable and let $B_2 = A_2 \setminus A_1$. Since $B_2 \subseteq A_2$, B_2 is countable or finite by Theorem 1.5.7. Additionally, $A_1 \cup A_2 = A_1 \cup B_2$. Let

 $f_1: \mathbb{N} \to A_1$ be 1-1 and onto. If B_2 is finite, then $B_2 = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$ and we define

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} b_n & n \le s \\ f_1(n-s) & n > s \end{cases}$$

g is 1-1: if g(n) = g(m) then either $g(n), g(m) \in B_2$ or $g(n), g(m) \in A_1$ because A_1 and B_2 are disjoint. In the former case, we have $b_n = b_m$ and conclude n = m. In the later case, we have $f_1(n - s) = f_1(m - s)$ and have n = m since f is 1-1.

g is onto: If $x \in B_2$ there is some n with $b_n = x$. Hence, g(n) = x. If $x \in A_1$ then the surjectivity of f gives an n with f(n) = x. But then $g(n + s) = f_1(n) = x$.

If B_2 is countable with bijection $f_2 : \mathbb{N} \to B_2$, define

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} f_1(n/2) & n \text{ even} \\ f_2((n-1)/2) & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

g is 1-1: if g(n) = g(m) and $g(n), g(m) \in A_1$ we have $f_1(n/2) = f_2(m/2)$ and conclude n = m. Otherwise, we have $f_2((n-1)/2) = f_2((m-1)/2)$ and have n = m by the injectivity of f_2 .

g is onto: Suppose $x \in A_1$. By the surjectivity of f_1 , we have $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f_1(n) = x$. Hence, $g(2*n) = f_1(n) = x$. For $x \in B_1$, we have some n with $f_2(n) = x$ and have $g(2*n+1) = f_2(n) = x$ (since 2*n+1 is always odd).

The more general statement follows by induction on m. The inductive step is essentially the proof above.

- b) Infinity isn't a number: induction can only be used to prove $\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} A_n$ is countable for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- c) Let R_1 be the set of integers appearing in the first row of the array, R_2 in the second, and so on. Clearly, these sets are all disjoint and there are an infinite number of them. Additionally, we'll annotate each integer in each R_i with its sequence number via a pairing. For example, $R_1 = \{(1,1), (2,3), (3,6), (4,10), \ldots\}$. Let $f_i : \mathbb{N} \to A_i$ be a bijection for each A_i . We define our bijective function $g : \mathbb{N} \to \bigcup_{n=1}^{\inf} A_n$ as

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} f_1(s) & \text{if } (s,n) \in R_1 \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{N} \\ f_2(s) & \text{if } (s,n) \in R_2 \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{N} \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{cases}$$

g is 1-1: If g(n) = g(m) then both $g(n), g(m) \in A_i$ for some i since the A_i 's are disjoint. Hence, $g(n) = f_i(n) = f_i(m) = g(m)$. But each f_i is 1-1, so n = m.

g is onto: Let $z \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\inf} A_n$. Then there is some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z \in A_i$. But since f_i is onto, there is a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f_i(n) = z$. But since $(n, m) \in R_i$ this means that $g(m) = f_i(n) = z$.

Exercise 1.5.6.

- a) The collection $\{(n-1,n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ works with bijective function f given by f(n) = (n-1,n).
- b) No such collection exists. By Theorem 1.4.3, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < b there is a $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with a < r < b. Hence, in any non-empty interval (a, b) we have $r \in (a, b)$. Since the collection consists of disjoint intervals, it is easy to construct a bijective function from \mathbb{Q} to the collection. But \mathbb{Q} is countable, so by Exercise 1.5.5, the collection must also be countable.

Exercise 1.5.11.

a) Define $h_g: A' \to B'$ as g^{-1} restricted to A'. That is, $h_g(a') = b'$ if g(b') = a'. Such a $b' \in B'$ exists for all $a' \in A$ because g maps B' onto A'. h_g is 1-1: if $h_g(a') = h_g(a'')$ then there are some $b', b'' \in B'$ such that g(b') = a' and g(b'') = a''. Hence, $b' = h_g(a') = h_g(a'') = b''$ and we conclude that a' = a''. h_g is also onto: if $b' \in B'$ then $h_g(g(b')) = b'$. (We're guaranteed $g(b') \in A'$ because g maps B' onto A'.)

Define $h_f:A\to B$ as f restricted to A. h_f is onto and 1-1 by assumption. Finally, define

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} h_g & \text{if } x \in A', \\ h_f & \text{if } x \in A \end{cases}$$

h is clearly onto and 1-1.

b) If $A_1 = \emptyset$, then g is onto and we're done (since $Y \sim X$ implies $X \sim Y$). So, assume $A_1 \neq \emptyset$. We show $A_n \cap A_{n+1} = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n.

Base case (n = 1): We need to show that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. $A_1 = X \setminus g(Y)$ and $A_2 = g(f(A_1)) = g(f(X \setminus g(Y)))$. A_1 consists precisely of all the elements that are *not* in g's range–since $A_2 \subseteq g(Y)$ we conclude that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

Inductive step: Suppose $A_k \cap A_{k+1} = \emptyset$ for all k < n. Note that $f(A_k) \cap f(A_{k+1}) = \emptyset$: if $a_k \in A_k$ and $a_{k+1} \in A_{k+1}$ then $f(a_k) \neq f(a_{k+1})$ because f is 1-1 and A_k , A_{k+1} are disjoint. Similarly, $g(f(A_k)) \cap g(f(A_{k+1})) = \emptyset$ because g is 1-1. But $A_{k+1} = g(f(A_k))$ and $A_{k+2} = g(f(A_{k+1}))$, so we're done.

The fact that the collection $\{f(A_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ follows immediately by the fact that f is 1-1.

c) Observe that

$$A' = X \setminus A = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X \setminus (A_1 \cup (\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n)) = X \setminus ((X \setminus g(Y)) \cup (\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} A_2)) \subseteq g(Y)$$

because $X \setminus (X \setminus g(Y)) = g(Y)$. If $z \in A'$ then there is no $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq}$ such that $(gf)^n(a_1) = z$ for all $a_1 \in A_1$. Since $A' \subseteq g(Y)$, there eixsts $b \in B$ with g(b) = z. What remains to be shown is that $b \in B'$. Suppose $b \notin B'$. Then $b \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f(A_n)$. But $b = f((gf)^n a_1)$ for some $a_1 \in A_1$ and $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq}$, so $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq}$, so $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq}$, which is a contradiction. We conclude that $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq}$ and thus that $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\leq}$ onto $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq}$.

Exercise 1.6.1. Suppose (0,1) is uncountable. We'll show that \mathbb{R} is uncountable by proving the contrapositive: namely, if \mathbb{R} is countable then (0,1) is countable. But

 $(0,1)\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ so, by Theorem 1.5.7, (0,1) is countable. Now suppose \mathbb{R} is uncountable. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{x - 1/2}{x(x - 1)}$$

As in Example 1.5.4, a bit of calculus shows the above takes (0,1) to \mathbb{R} in a 1-1 fashion.

Exercise 1.6.2.

- a) $b_1 \neq a_{11}$ by definition.
- b) $b_2 \neq a_{22}$ by definition. In general, $b_n \neq a_{nn}$ by definition, so $x \neq f(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- c) x cannot appear in the table by part (b), which contradicts that f is bijective.

Exercise 1.6.3.

- a) $b \neq Q$. Every rational number is finite or it repeats: such a b (namely a rational one) cannot differ in every place.
- b) No. The constructed number consists only of 2's and 3's, so this particular point is irrelevant and we can be sure that the table doesn't contain an alternate representation of *b*.

Exercise 1.6.4. Any real number can be encoded as a sequence in S. (An inefficient encoding could just encode each digit as a 10-digit sequence where, e.g., 1=(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0), 2=(1,2,0,0,0,0,0,0), etc.) Let $E:\mathbb{R}\to S$ be such an encoding function (which clearly has inverse E^{-1} and is bijective) and let $f:\mathbb{N}\to S$ be bijective. Then we can construct a bijection $g:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{R}$: $g=E^{-1}\circ f$, which is a contradiction. We conclude that f cannot be bijective.

Exercise 1.6.6.

a)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f(a) & \rightarrow & \{a\} & f(a) & \rightarrow & \varnothing \\ f(b) & \rightarrow & \{b\} & f(b) & \rightarrow & \{b\} \\ f(c) & \rightarrow & \{c\} & f(c) & \rightarrow & \{c\} \end{array}$$

- b) $g(x) = \{x\}$
- c) Because for all sets A, P(A) > A since $2^n > n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq}$.

Exercise 1.6.8.

- a) Suppose $a' \in B$. Since $f(a') = B = \{a \in A \mid a \notin f(a')\}, a' \notin B$.
- b) Suppose $a' \notin B$. Since $f(a') = B = \{a \in A \mid a \notin f(a')\}, a' \in B$.

Exercise 1.6.9. $P(\mathbb{N})$ consists of all subsets of \mathbb{N} . Such subsets may alternatively be interpreted as real numbers in the interval (0,1): the set $\{a_1,a_2,\ldots\}$ corresponds to the decimal number $a_1a_2\ldots$. Such an encoding is clearly bijective, so we're done.