

Problem1

1.1.(a)

struct Node

```
{
    int data;
    struct Node* children[]
};
weight[N]={}; // indexed from 1 to N
```

//record weight in array

```
int find_weight(Node* root){
    if(root==NULL) return 0;
    weight[root->data]= 1;
    if(is_leaf(root)) return 1;
    for each_child in children:
        weight[root->data]+=find_weight(each_child);
    return weight[root->data];
}
```

1.1.(b)

weight[N]; // indexed from 1 to N

```
int find_centroid(Node* root){
    int all_weight=find_weight(root);
    Node* centroid=root;
    Node* temp=root;
    Node* largest_child;
    int temp_max = all_weight; // finding max tree weight
    while(all_weight-weight[temp] >= weight[temp]){//離開 while的前個temp即為centroid
        centroid = temp;
        temp_max=0;

        // find and goto largest subtree
        for i in temp->children:
            if(weight[i->data]>temp_max){
                temp_max = weight[i->data];
                largest_child = i;
            }
            //有兩個同樣重的子樹->不會再往下走
            else if(weight[i->data]==temp_max) break;
        temp = largest_child;
    }
    return centroid->data;
}
```

1.2.(a)

struct Node

```
{
    int data;
```

```

    struct Node* children[]
};
height_array[N]={}; // indexed from 1 to N

//record weight in array
int find_height(Node* root){
    if(root==NULL) return -1;
    height_array[root->data]= 0;
    if(is_leaf(root)) return 0;
    int max_height=0;
    for each_child in children:
        if(find_height(each_child)>=max_height)
            max_height=1+find_height(each_child);
    height_array[root->data]= max_height;
    return max_height;
}

```

1.2.(b)

```

//filling height_array first
int only_to_call_function = find_height(root);
int find_diameter(Node* root){
    if(root==NULL) return -1;
    if(is_leaf(root)) return 0;
    int diameter=0;
    int first_max=0;
    int second_max=0;

    //find largest two height
    for i in children:
        int h=height_array[i];
        if(h>=first_max)
            second_max=first_max;
            first_max=h;
        else if(h>=second_max):
            second_max=h;
    //not necessarily go through root, so go recursion
    //但只需往最長的那條走就可以了
    //assume max=a,second_max=b
    // -> a+b > 2b-2
    // -> 保證其他路不會更長 ( 等同1.3.(a)的證明 )
    int child_path=find_diameter(first_max_child);
    if(child_path>diameter)
        diameter=child_path;
    diameter = (first_max+second_max>diameter)? (first_max+second_max):diameter;
    return diameter;
}

```

1.3.(a)

we can give recursive definition, start from root:
 case(diameter path pass through root):
 choose largest height from two different subtree(diameter path=倒V型)

-> include the farthest node from the root
 case(diameter path does not pass through root):
 choose the subtree which has the largest height
 -> (called recursively) include the farthest node from the root
 <if not>
 let the largest height of the subtree you choose = h,
 the distance between root and the farthest root = h0
 -> $h_0 > h \Rightarrow h_0 + h > 2h$
 -> diameter will be $h + h_0 + 1$
 -> diameter path will pass through root, which lead to a contradiction

1.3.(b)

section A:

previous[u]=father

section B:

midpoint=b

for(i=0; i<distance[b]/2; i++)

midpoint=previous[midpoint]

return midpoint

Problem 2

2.1.(a)

assume that the quicksort is not modified,

in other words, always choose the leftmost one as pivot

instead of pick one randomly. And it aims to sort from small to large.

QUICKSORT(A, p, r)

if $p < r$

q=PARTITION(A, p, r)

QUICKSORT(A, p, q-1)

QUICKSORT(A, q+1, r)

example of size N:

[N N-1 N-2 N-3 3 2 1]

each time it calls QUICKSORT, $q=r$

so QUICKSORT will be called N times,

each time cost $O(N)$ to PARTITION (just throwing all elements to the left side)

-> running time = $O(N^2)$

< actually: $N + (N-1) + (N-2) + \dots + 1 = N \cdot (N+1) / 2 \rightarrow O(N^2)$ >

2.1.(b)

Insertion-Sort(A)

for j = 2 to A.length

key = A[j] // Insert A[j] into the sorted sequence A[1 . . j - 1].

i=j-1

while(i>0 and A[i]>key)

A[i + 1] = A[i]

i=i-1

A[i+1] = key

Merge sort is non-adaptive and has running time $O(N \log N)$

no matter what kind of input is given.

However, Insertion Sort is adaptive, so if we give a input that is already sorted, that is, $A[i]$ always $<$ key it will lead to $O(N)$ running time, since it never enters the while loop.
example of size N : [1 2 3 4 ... $N-1$ N]

2.2

use Counting Sort:

```
int C[];
void CountingSort (int A[], int n, int B[], int k) {
    int i, j;
    for (i=0; i<=k; i++) C[i]=0;           //O(k)
    for (j=1; j<=n; j++) C[A[j]]++;       //O(n)
    for (i=1; i<=k; i++) C[i]+=C[i-1];     //O(k)
    for (j=n; j>=1; j--) {                //O(n)
        B[C[A[j]]]=A[j];
        C[A[j]]--;
    }
    // running time: theta(n+k)

int answer(int a,int b){
    if(a==0) return C[b];
    return C[b]-C[a-1]; //O(1)
}
```

2.3.(a)

list (501, 939, 1137, 2345, 666, 34, 218)

$C[10]$ // from 0 to 9

個位數 : (501, 34, 2345, 666, 1137, 218, 939)

$C=[0,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1]$

十位數 : (501, 218, 34, 1137, 939, 2345, 666)

$C=[1,1,0,3,1,0,1,0,0,0]$

百位數 : (34, 1137, 218, 2345, 501, 666, 939)

$C=[1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1]$

千位數 : (34, 218, 501, 666, 939, 1137, 2345)

$C=[5,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$

2.3.(b)

implement RadixSort with CountingSort: $O(d*(n+k))$, where $(d=\log k / \log r)$

only using CountingSort: $O(n+k)$

in this case,

$(n,k,r)=(7,10,10)$ for implement RadixSort with CountingSort, where $d=4, k$ from 0 to 9

$(7,10000,(\text{dont care}))$ for using CountingSort only, where k from 0 to 9999

$\rightarrow 4*(7+10) = 68 < 10007 = 7+10000$

2.4

sequence [20, 29, 57, 37, 36, 50, 59]

LSD RadixSort using MergeSort

個位數 : [20, 50, 26, 57, 37, 29, 59]

十位數 : [20, 26, 29, 37, 50, 57, 59] (done)

LSD RadixSort using HeapSort

個位數：[20, 36, 50, 37, 29, 57, 59]

十位數：[20, 29, 50, 37, 36, 57, 59] (done)

結果不一樣，因為 heap sort 對每個 subtree，不會比較 children 之間的大小，只取最大的放到 root。而 merge sort 是 non-adaptive，所以兩者的結果不一樣，也可預期與使用 counting sort 來實作的結果會不同。

2.5

bogosort

Problem 3

3.1

(1,4,6), (1,4,7), (1,5,6), (1,4,7),
(2,4,6), (2,4,7), (2,5,6), (2,4,7),
(3,4,6), (3,4,7), (3,5,6), (3,4,7)

3.2

let $C(a,b) = a!/(b!(a-b)!)$ (combination)

use disjoint set.

```
find_tuple_number(E[],N){
    //initialize:make N set, each contain a node
    int number_of_set=N;
    int size[N];
    for(i=1;i<=N;i++){
        size[i]=1;
        Make_Set(i);          //make set initialization: head(group_num)=self
    }
    //let the nodes connected by black edge be one set
    for e in E:
        if(e.color=='b') {
            Union(nodeA, nodeB);
            size[nodeA->head]+=size[nodeB->head];
            size[nodeB]=0;
            number_of_set--;
            delete(e);
        }
    //build another graph, vertex = set, edge = red edge
    // 新作的圖也會是樹，沒有重複連接的問題(各個set之間不會有兩條路)
    // 所以只要任選三個vertice，每個vertex選一個node，即是一組tuple
    rename each group:
        new group_num start from 1 to number_of_set
    int sum=0;
    for(i=3;i<=number_of_set;i++)
        for (j=2;j<i;j++)
            for (k=1;k<j;k++)
                sum+=size[i]*size[j]*size[k];
    return sum;
}
```

in the given example,new graph:

(group1)--(group2)--(group3)

group1:(1,2,3),size=3

group2:(4,5),size=2

group3:(6,7),size=2

sum= $3*2*2=12$.