

problem 5

(1)

the amazing hash table stores the node's id, its left and right children

use postorder to traverse the tree

(for each node, first traverse its left children, then right children, then itself)

for each node v,

if (v is in the hash table)

link v's parent to the pointer in the hash table

delete v

else

insert v into the hash table

(2)

In the algo, a node will be insert into the hash table only if there is no node equal to it in the hash table.

That is, all node in the hash table are different(not equal). ..(i)

All other nodes are merged. (ii)

By (i) and (ii), all nodes that are not merged are not equal,

Thus the number of nodes is minimized.

(3)

for each node V

if (v is in the hash table)

=>search:O(1)

link v's parent to the pointer in the hash table => O(1)

delete v

=> O(1)

else

insert v into the hash table

=>O(1)

thus time complexity = $V \cdot O(1) = O(V)$

problem 6

(1)

to connect two disjoint set, we only need to add one edge.

to connect all V vertice, we only need to add V-1 edges,

since adding each edge will reduce the number of disjoint sets by one.

if T is cyclic, then we must be able to cut one of the edges in the cycle, without dividing the graph into two disjoint subgraph.

(2)

the statement is correct only when every vertex in the region can be reached from any other vertex in the region without leaving the region in the MST of the whole graph.

prove by contradiction:

Let $S=(V1,E1)$ be a subtree of the MST of the whole graph.

if S is not the optimal subtree, then

there exists $S'=(V1,E2)$ that is the MST of the subgraph,

for every edge e that is in T but not in S , add e to S' , then the new tree T' will have less weight than T , which forms a contradiction (T is not MST).

(3)

prove by contradiction:

if the result ($T1$) of Alice's algo is not MST ($T2$), then there exist an edge $e1$ that can be replaced by another edge $e2$ with $\text{weight}(e1) > \text{weight}(e2)$ that is,

when encountering $e1$, $T-\{e1\}$ is not connected ..(i)

To maintain the result to be a tree, $e1$ and $e2$ should connect the same two subtree, and $T1+\{e2\}=T2+e\{1\}$ is connected. Also, $T1$ and $T2$ are connected. This forms a contradiction since when (i) happens, $T \subset T2+\{e1\} \Rightarrow T-\{e1\} \subset T2$ is connected.

(4)

in a complete graph, $O(E) = O(V^2)$

Prim's algo:

$T(V) = O(E+V\log V) = O(V^2)$

Kruskal's Algo:

sort the edges: $O(E\log E)$

picking edges: $O(E)$

$T(V) = O(V^2\log V)$

(5)

in a planar graph, $O(E) = O(V)$

Prim's algo:

$T(V) = O(E+V\log V) = O(V\log V)$

Kruskal's Algo:

sort the edges: $O(E\log E)$

picking edges: $O(E)$

$T(V) = O(V\log V)$