

# Non parametric estimation

## Motivation: Posterior mean formula

$$t_G(Y) = E(\alpha|Y) = \frac{\int \alpha p(y|\alpha) dG(\alpha)}{\int p(y|\alpha) dG(\alpha)}$$

where the denominator  $f(Y) = \int p(y|\alpha) dG(\alpha)$  is the marginal density of  $y$ .

We can either **directly** estimate  $f(Y)$  and  $f'(Y)$  or estimate the  $G(\alpha)$

1. f-modelling: ignore the monotonicity of  $t_G(Y)$ . can be improved by imposing monotonicity/shape constraint in the kernel density estimation.
2. G-modelling: various ways estimate  $G(\alpha)$ , NPMLE, EM etc.

## Computation

### NPMLE and interior point method

**Formulate the primal problem** Following KieferWolfowitz1956 we define our primal problem as

$$\max_G \left\{ \sum_i \log f(y_i) \right\}$$
$$\max_G \left\{ \sum_i \log \left( \int p(y_i|\alpha) dG(\alpha) \right) \right\}$$

As long as  $p(y|\alpha)$  belongs to the exponential family, a solution  $G^*$  exists and is a discrete probability measure with no more than  $n$  atoms/mass points in the interval  $(\min(Y), \max(Y))$ . The solution is a highly parsimonious distribution.

The above problem is a convex optimization problem (rewrite as a minimization problem)

$$\min_G \left\{ - \sum_i \log g(y_i) \mid g(y_i) = \int p(y_i|\alpha) dG(\alpha), \forall i \right\}$$

Since integral is a **linear** operator. The primal problem can be written explicitly as

$$\min_{f=dG} \left\{ - \sum_i \log g(y_i) \mid g_i = T(f_i), K(f_i) = 1, \forall i \right\}$$

where

$$T(f_i) = \int p(y|\alpha) f_i d\alpha$$

and

$$K(f_i) = \int f_i d\alpha$$

**Discretize** We want to replace the linear operator by matrix multiplication (discretize the integral). Thus, the problem becomes

$$\min_{f=dG} \left\{ - \sum_i \log g(y_i) \mid g = Af, 1^T f = 1 \right\}$$

where

$$A_{ij} = p(y_i|\alpha_j)$$

and

$$f = (f(\alpha_1), f(\alpha_2), \dots, f(\alpha_m))^T$$

where  $\alpha_j$  are the grid points in the interval  $(\min(Y), \max(Y))$ .

**Find the dual problem** See appendix for the derivation:

$$\max_{\lambda, \mu} \left\{ \sum_i \log \lambda_1(i) \middle| A^T \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 1, (\lambda_1 > 0) \right\}$$

**Efrom log spline**

See appendix.

**EM algorithm**

See appendix

## Appendix

### Dualization

Given the primal problem

$$\min_{f=dG} \left\{ - \sum_i \log g(y_i) \middle| g = Af, 1^T f = 1, f > 0 \right\}$$

The Lagrangian is

$$L(f, \lambda, \mu) = - \sum_i \log g(y_i) + \lambda_1^T (g - Af) + \lambda_2 (1^T f - 1) - \mu^T f$$

The primal objective function is  $P(f) = \sup_{\lambda, \mu > 0} L(f, \lambda, \mu)$ . The dual objective function is  $D(\lambda, \mu) = \inf_f L(f, \lambda, \mu)$ .

Take derivative of  $L$  w.r.t.  $f$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} = -A^T \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 1 - \mu = 0$$

Thus,  $A^T \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 1$

Take derivative of  $L$  w.r.t.  $g_i$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial g_i} = -\frac{1}{g_i} + \lambda_1(i) = 0$$

The dual problem can be written as

$$\max_{\lambda, \mu} \left\{ \sum_i \log \lambda_1(i) \middle| A^T \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 1, (\lambda_1 > 0) \right\}$$

> PARFAIT!