# Tail probability

## Known variance

Tail probability

$$v_{\alpha}(Y_i, \sigma_i) = P(\theta_i > \theta_{\alpha} | Y_i, \sigma_i^2) = \frac{\int_{\theta_{\alpha}}^{\infty} f(y_i | \theta_i, \sigma_i^2) dG(\theta_i)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_i | \theta_i, \sigma_i^2) dG(\theta_i)}$$

Capactiy constraint

$$P(v_{\alpha}(Y_i, \sigma_i) > \lambda) < \alpha$$

Since

$$v_{\alpha}(Y_i, \sigma_i) > \lambda \Leftrightarrow Y_i > t(\lambda, \sigma_i)$$

We have

$$P(Y_i > t(\lambda, \sigma_i)) \le \alpha$$

which can be written explicitly as

$$\int \int (1 - \Phi(\frac{t(\lambda, \sigma_i) - \theta_i}{\sigma_i})) dG(\theta_i) dF(\sigma_i) \le \alpha$$

#### FDR constraint

The marginal/local false discovery rate is defined as

mFDR = 
$$P(\theta_i \le \theta_\alpha | \delta_i = 1, \sigma_i) \approx \text{lFDR} = \frac{E[\sum (1 - h_i)\delta_i]}{E[\sum \delta_i]} \le \gamma$$

The left hand side of  $\approx$  can be written as

$$P(\theta_i \le \theta_\alpha | \delta_i = 1, \sigma_i) = \frac{P(\theta_i \le \theta_\alpha, v_\alpha(Y_i, \sigma_i) > \lambda | \sigma_i)}{P(v_\alpha(Y_i, \sigma_i) > \lambda | \sigma_i)} = \frac{P(\theta_i \le \theta_\alpha, Y_i > t(\lambda, \sigma_i) | \sigma_i)}{P(Y_i > t(\lambda, \sigma_i) | \sigma_i)}$$

which is

$$\frac{\int \int_{-\infty}^{\theta_{\alpha}} 1 - \Phi(t(\lambda, \sigma_i) - \theta_i / \sigma_i) dG(\theta_i) dF(\sigma_i)}{\int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 - \Phi(t(\lambda, \sigma_i) - \theta_i / \sigma_i) dG(\theta_i) dF(\sigma_i)} \le \gamma$$

The right hand side of  $\approx$  can be written as

$$\frac{\sum_{i} P(\theta_{i} \leq \theta_{\alpha}, Y_{i} > t(\lambda, \sigma_{i}) | \sigma_{i})}{\sum_{i} P[1\{v_{\alpha}(Y_{i}, \sigma_{i}) > \lambda\}]}$$

It is left to shown that

$$P(\theta_i \le \theta_\alpha, Y_i > t(\lambda, \sigma_i) | \sigma_i) = E[(1 - v_\alpha(Y_i, \sigma_i)) 1\{v_\alpha(Y_i, \sigma_i) > \lambda\}]$$

The LHS can be rewritten as

$$E_{Y}[E[1\{\theta_{i} \leq \theta_{\alpha}, Y_{i} > t(\lambda, \sigma_{i})\}|Y_{i}, \sigma_{i}]]$$

$$= E_{Y}[1\{Y_{i} > t(\lambda, \sigma_{i})\}E[1\{\theta_{i} \leq \theta_{\alpha}|Y_{i}, \sigma_{i}\}]]$$

$$= E_{Y}[1\{Y_{i} > t(\lambda, \sigma_{i})\}(1 - v_{\alpha}(Y_{i}, \sigma_{i}))]$$

$$= E[1\{v_{\alpha}(Y_{i}, \sigma_{i}) > \lambda\}(1 - v_{\alpha}(Y_{i}, \sigma_{i}))]$$

## Unknown variance

## Tail probability

We have

$$Y_{it} = \theta_i + \sigma_i \epsilon_{it}$$

The sufficient statistics for  $\theta_i$  is

$$Y_i = \frac{1}{T_i} \sum_{t=1}^{T_i} Y_{it}$$

and for  $\sigma_i^2$  is

$$S_i = \frac{1}{T_i - 1} \sum_{t=1}^{T_i} (Y_{it} - Y_i)^2$$

Conditional on the true parameter  $(\theta_i, \sigma_i^2)$ , the sufficient statistics follow

$$Y_i|\theta_i,\sigma_i^2 \sim N(\theta_i,\sigma_i^2/T_i)S_i|\theta_i,\sigma_i^2 \sim \Gamma(r_i=(T_i-1)/2,2\sigma_i^2/(T_i-1))$$

Therefore, the tail probability is

$$v_{\alpha}(Y_i, S_i) = P(\theta_i > \theta_{\alpha} | Y_i, S_i) = \frac{\int_{\theta_{\alpha}}^{\infty} \Gamma(s_i | r_i, \sigma_i^2) f(y_i | \theta_i, \sigma_i^2) dG(\theta_i, \sigma_i^2)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(s_i | r_i, \sigma_i^2) f(y_i | \theta_i, \sigma_i^2) dG(\theta_i, \sigma_i^2)}$$

#### Capactiy constraint

$$\int \int P(v_{\alpha}(Y_i, S_i) > \lambda) dG(\theta_i, \sigma_i^2) \le \alpha$$

This is a bit tricky with  $v_{\alpha}(Y_i, S_i)$  since we don't have the monoticity as before (given  $\sigma_i$ ,  $v_{\alpha}(Y_i, \sigma_i)$  is increasing in  $Y_i$ )

The best we can do is to write

$$P(v_{\alpha}(Y_i, S_i) > \lambda) = P((Y_i, S_i) \in \mathcal{S}) \le \alpha$$

If  $v_{\alpha}(Y_i, S_i)$  is increasing in  $Y_i$  for a given  $S_i$ , we can draw *level curve* for  $v_{\alpha}(Y_i, S_i)$  and find the region S that satisfies the capacity constraint. But they are under special cases.

## FDR constraint

lFDR

$$\int \int \frac{E[1\{v_{\alpha}(Y_i,S_i)>\lambda\}(1-v_{\alpha}(Y_i,S_i))]}{E[1\{v_{\alpha}(Y_i,S_i)>\lambda\}]}dG(\theta_i,\sigma_i^2) \leq \gamma$$