

## RELATION EQUATIONS IN RESIDUATED LATTICES

ANTONIO DI NOLA - ADA LETTIERI

In questa nota si affronta il problema della risoluzione di equazioni matriciali del tipo  $AX = B$ , dove  $A$  e  $B$  sono matrici a valori su un reticolo distributivo residuato rispetto a una moltiplicazione. In particolare, si individua la più grande soluzione di una tale equazione e si danno condizioni relative alle soluzioni minimali.

### 1. Introduction.

The study of equations over lattice-valued relations is a generalization of the classical theory of boolean equations, [1] [2] [3] [4]. In this note we shall be concerned with the problem of solving relation equations when the relations are valued on a lattice which is right-residuated under an isotone binary multiplication.

We extend in this framework the results stated by Luce.

In [2] he solved the equation  $AX = B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are boolean matrices and  $X$  is unknown. In [3] D. Rudeanu stressed that analogous results can be stated in a Brouwerian lattice. In this case also the greatest solution is found by E. Sanchez (see [4]).

In this note we also consider the set of solutions of the above equation.

## 2. Lattice relation equations.

Let  $L$  be a complete lattice. Assume  $L$  is equipped with a binary (multiplication) operation satisfying the following conditions: order-preservation i.e.

$$(1) \quad a \leq b \Rightarrow xa \leq xb \quad \text{and} \quad ax \leq bx \quad \text{for every } a, b, x \in L;$$

and right-residuation under this multiplication, i.e. for  $a, b \in L$  there exists a largest  $x$  such that

$$(2) \quad ax \leq b;$$

we shall denote such  $x$  by  $a * b$ .

If  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $F(X) = \{A : X \rightarrow L\}$  is the set of  $L$ -sets (lattice valued sets) and if  $Y$  is another nonempty set, we define  $L$ -relation every element of  $F(X \times Y)$ .

Let  $R(L)$  be the set of  $L$ -relations. Then in  $R(L)$  we define a partial order and two partial multiplications as follows:

$$(3) \quad \text{for } A, B \in F(X \times Y) \quad A \leq B \Leftrightarrow A(x, y) \leq B(x, y) \\ \text{for every } (x, y) \in X \times Y;$$

$$(4) \quad AB = C \Leftrightarrow C(x, z) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)B(y, z)) \\ (A \odot B) = D \Leftrightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (A(x, y) * B(y, z))$$

where  $A \in F(X \times Y)$ ,  $B \in F(Y \times Z)$ .

Lastly for  $A \in F(X \times Y)$  the  $L$ -relation  $A^{-1}$ , inverse of  $A$ , is defined by  $A^{-1} \in F(Y \times X)$  and  $A^{-1}(y, x) = A(x, y)$ . From (1) and (4) it follows:

LEMMA 1. *Let  $A \in F(X \times Y)$  and  $B \in F(X \times Y)$   $A \leq B$ . Then  $AR \leq BR$  for every  $R \in F(Y \times Z)$ , and  $R'A \leq R'B$  for every  $R' \in F(Z \times X)$ .  $\square$*

Let

$$(5) \quad AH \leq B$$

and

$$(6) \quad AH = B$$

be an  $L$ -relation inequation and an  $L$ -relation equation respectively, where  $A \in F(X \times Y)$ ,  $B \in F(X \times Z)$  and  $H$  is unknown.

Let now  $I(A, B)$  and  $S(A, B)$  be the set of solutions of (5) and (6), namely

$$I(A, B) = \{R \in F(Y \times Z) / AR \leq B\}$$

and

$$S(A, B) = \{R \in F(Y \times Z) / AR = B\}.$$

PROPOSITION 1.  $I(A, B)$  is nonempty and has a largest element.

*Proof.* Let  $M = A^{-1} * B$ , we will show that  $M \in I(A, B)$ . For  $(y, z) \in Y \times Z$ :

$$M(y, z) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x, y) * (B(x, z))).$$

Hence from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} (AM)(x, z) &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)M(y, z)) \leq \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)(A(x, y) * B(x, z))) \leq \\ &\leq \bigvee_{y \in Y} B(x, z) \quad \text{for every } (x, z) \in X \times Z. \end{aligned}$$

If  $R \in I(A, B)$ , then  $AR \leq B$ , therefore

$$(A(x, y)R(y, z)) \leq B(x, z) \quad \text{for every } x \in X, y \in Y \text{ and } z \in Z.$$

From (2) it follows that

$$R(y, z) \leq A(x, y) * B(x, z), \quad \text{for every } x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z.$$

Then

$$R(y, z) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x, y) * B(x, z)) = M(y, z). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 1.  $S(A, B)$  is nonempty iff  $M = A^{-1} * B \in S(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S(A, B) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $R \in S(A, B) \subseteq I(A, B)$ , implies  $R \leq M$ . From Lemma 1 it follows that

$$(7) \quad B = AR \leq AM.$$

From (7) and Proposition 1 it follows  $M \in S(A, B)$ .

The converse is obvious.  $\square$

COROLLARY If  $S(A, B)$  is nonempty then  $A^{-1} * B = \max S(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Trivial.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $L$  be a complete lattice equipped with a binary multiplication satisfying (1) and (2). Then

$$(8) \quad a \bigvee_{t \in T} x_t = \bigvee_{t \in T} (ax_t).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{x} = \bigvee_{t \in T} (ax_t)$ . Then  $ax_t \leq \bar{x}$ , and hence  $x_t \leq a * \bar{x}$  for all  $t \in T$  and  $\bigvee_{t \in T} x_t \leq a * \bar{x}$ . From (1) we get

$$(9) \quad a \left( \bigvee_{t \in T} x_t \right) \leq a(a * \bar{x}) \leq \bar{x} = \bigvee_{t \in T} (ax_t).$$

We also have  $ax_t \leq a \left( \bigvee_{t \in T} x_t \right)$  for all  $t \in T$  and this implies

$$(10) \quad \bigvee_{t \in T} (ax_t) \leq a \bigvee_{t \in T} x_t.$$

From (9) and (10) the required identity (8) easily follows.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.  $S(A, B)$  is a join semilattice.

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $R, R' \in S(A, B)$ . Then for every  $(x, z) \in X \times Z$  we have

$$(11) \quad \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)R(y, z)) = B(x, z).$$

Further,

$$(12) \quad \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)R'(y, z)) = B(x, z).$$

From (11) and (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)R(y, z)) \right) \vee \left( \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)R'(y, z)) \right) = \\ & = \bigvee_{y \in Y} ((A(x, y)R(y, z)) \vee (A(x, y)R'(y, z))) = B(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2 we have

$$\bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x, y)(R(y, z) \vee R'(y, z))) = B(x, z)$$

which is equivalent to  $R \vee R' \in S(A, B)$ . □

PROPOSITION 4. If  $R', R'' \in S(A, B)$  and  $R' \leq R \leq R''$  then  $R \in S(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Trivial from Lemma 1. □

### 3. Equations over finite relations.

From now on we suppose that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$   $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$   $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_p\}$  are arbitrary finite sets. Let  $A \in F(X \times Y)$ ,

$R \in F(Y \times Z)$ ,  $B \in F(X \times Z)$  be  $L$ -relations and  $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For the sake of brevity we write  $A(x_i, y_i) = a_{ij}$ ,  $R(y_j, z_k) = r_{jk}$ ,  $B(x_i, z_k) = b_{ik}$  for every  $i \in I_n$ ,  $j \in I_m$ ,  $k \in I_p$ .

Moreover for any  $h \in I_p$  we denote by  $R_h$  and  $B_h$  the  $h^{th}$  column of  $R$  and  $B$  respectively. It is evident that  $R_h \in F(Y \times \{z_h\})$  and  $B_h \in F(X \times \{z_h\})$ .

The problem of solving equation (6) is turned into the problem of solving  $p$  many equations of type

$$(13) \quad AH = B_h.$$

Then we can safely restrict to the case  $p = 1$ .

Every  $R \in F(Y \times \{z\})$  will be denoted by  $(r_j)_{j \in I_m}$  and every  $B \in F(X \times \{z\})$  by  $(b_i)_{i \in I_n}$ .

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$   $B = (b_i)$ ,  $i \in I_n$ ,  $j \in I_m$ . For every matrix  $w = (w_{ij})$  over  $L$  such that

$$(14) \quad \bigvee_{j \in I_m} w_{ij} = b_i \quad i \in I_n$$

we consider

$$H_{ij}^w = \{x / a_{ij}x = w_{ij}\}$$

and

$$H_j^w = \bigcap_{i \in I_n} H_{ij}^w.$$

**THEOREM 2.**  $S(A, B)$  is nonempty iff there exists a matrix  $(w_{ij})$  satisfying (14) and such that  $H_j^w \neq \emptyset$  for every  $j \in I_m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S(A, B) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in S(A, B)$ . Then  $\bigvee_{j \in I_m} a_{ij}r_j = b_i$  for every  $i \in I_n$ . Set  $w_{ij} = a_{ij}r_j$ , the matrix  $(w_{ij})$  satisfies (14), hence  $r_j \in H_j^w$ .

Conversely, let  $w = (w_{ij})$  be a matrix satisfying (14) with  $H_j^w \neq \emptyset$  for every  $j \in I_m$ . Then any  $L$ -relation  $R(r_1, \dots, r_m)$ , where  $r_j \in H_j^w$  is obviously a solution of Equation (6).  $\square$

If we denote by  $W(A, B)$  the set of the matrices  $w = (w_{ij})$  satisfying (14) with  $H_j^w \neq \emptyset$  for every  $j \in I_m$ , then the Theorem 2 characterizes the set of solutions of Equation (6) as

$$S(A, B) = \bigcup_{w \in W(A, B)} (H_1^w \times \dots \times H_n^w).$$

Whenever  $S(A, B)$  is nonempty, it has a largest element given by  $A^{-1} * B$ .

Observe that if  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \bigcup_{w \in W(A, B)} (H_1^w \times \dots \times H_m^w)$ , then there exists a matrix  $w$  satisfying (14) such that  $a_{ij}r_j = w_{ij} \leq b_i$  for every  $i \in I_n$  and  $j \in I_m$ . It follows that  $r_j \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} (a_{ij} * b_i)$ , for every  $j \in I_m$ , hence  $R \leq (A^{-1} * B)$ . We have still to prove that  $(A^{-1} * B)$  belongs to  $\bigcup_{w \in W(A, B)} (H_1^w \times \dots \times H_m^w)$ . To increase the readability we write  $C_j = \bigwedge_{i \in I_n} (a_{ij} * b_i)$  for every  $j \in I_m$ .

Letting  $w_{ij} = a_{ij}C_j$  and  $R \in S(A, B)$ , we have

$$(15) \quad \bigvee_{j \in I_m} a_{ij}C_j \geq \bigvee_{j \in I_m} a_{ij}r_j = b_i.$$

On the other hand

$$(16) \quad \bigvee_{j \in I_m} a_{ij}C_j \leq \bigvee_{j \in I_m} a_{ij}(a_{ij} * b_i) \leq \bigvee_{j \in I_m} b_i = b_i.$$

From (15) and (16) we obtain

$$\bigvee_{j \in I_m} w_{ij} = \bigvee_{j \in I_m} a_{ij}C_j = b_i$$

and, by definition of  $(w_{ij})$ , we finally obtain  $C_j \in H_j^w$ , for each  $j \in I_m$ .

Theorem 2 allows us to reduce the study of solutions of Equation (6) to the study of the set  $S^w(A, B) = H_1^w \times \dots \times H_m^w$ , for every  $w \in W(A, B)$ .

Let us observe that if  $S(A, B) \neq \emptyset$  then for every  $j \in I_m$   $H_j^w$  has the greatest element  $m_j^w = \bigwedge_{i \in I_n} (a_{ij} * w_{ij})$  and hence  $m^w = (m_j^w)_{j \in I_m}$  is the greatest element of  $S^w(A, B)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.  $S^w(A, B)$  is a join-semilattice, for every  $w \in W(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Trivial from Proposition 2. □

PROPOSITION 5. For every  $w \in W(A, B)$   $S^w(A, B)$  is a convex set.

*Proof.* Let  $P = (p_j)_{j \in I_m}$ ,  $Q = (q_j)_{j \in I_m}$ ,  $P \leq R = (r_j)_{j \in I_m} \leq Q$  and  $P, Q \in S^w(A, B)$ . Then for every  $i \in I_n$ ,  $j \in I_m$  we have the following chain of implications:

$$a_{ij}p_j \leq a_{ij}r_j \leq a_{ij}q_j \Rightarrow w_{ij} \leq a_{ij}r_j \leq w_{ij} \Rightarrow$$

$$a_{ij}r_j = w_{ij} \Leftrightarrow R \in S^w(A, B). \quad \square$$

Let  $w^* = (w_{ij}^*)$  where  $w_{ij}^* = a_{ij}m_j$  for every  $i \in I_n$  and  $j \in I_m$ .

COROLLARY Let  $R' \in S(A, B)$ ,  $R \in S^{w^*}(A, B)$  and  $R \leq R'$ . Then  $R' \in S^{w^*}(A, B)$ . □

PROPOSITION 6. If for every  $a, x, y \in L$   $a(x \wedge y) = ax \wedge ay$ , then  $S^w(A, B)$  is a lattice, for each  $w \in W(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P = (p_j)_{j \in I_m}$ ,  $Q = (q_j)_{j \in I_m}$  and  $P, Q \in S^w(A, B)$  then for every  $i \in I_n$

$$\bigvee_j a_{ij}(p_j \wedge q_j) = \bigvee_j (a_{ij}p_j \wedge a_{ij}q_j) = \bigvee_j w_{ij} = b_i,$$

so  $(P \wedge Q) \in S^w(A, B)$ .

From the above identity together with Proposition 4, we obtain the desired conclusion.

Let us remark that if  $L$  is a Brouwerian lattice, where  $xy$  is defined as  $x \wedge y$  then for every  $w \in W(A, B)$ ,  $S^w(A, B)$  is a lattice.



#### 4. Minimal solutions.

Let us observe that if the set  $S(A, B)$  has minimal solutions, each of them is a minimal solution in the respective set  $S^w(A, B)$  to which it belongs. So, the minimal solutions of  $S(A, B)$ , if they exist, are to be looked for among the minimal elements of the sets  $S^w(A, B)$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $(e_j^w)_{j \in I_m}$  be a minimal element of  $S^w(A, B)$  and  $(w_{ij})$  a minimal element of  $W(A, B)$ . Then  $(e_j^w)_{j \in I_m}$  is a minimal element of  $S(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in S(A, B)$  and  $r_j \leq e_j^w$  for every  $j \in I_m$ . If  $R \in S^w(A, B)$ , then from (1) it is  $w'_{ii} \leq w_{ij}$  for every  $i \in I_n$  and  $j \in I_m$ . Because  $(w_{ij})$  is a minimal element of  $W(A, B)$  it follows that  $w'_{ij} = w_{ij}$  for every  $i \in I_n$  and  $j \in I_m$ . Then  $R \in S^w$  and  $r_j = e_j^w$  for any  $j \in I_m$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY** *Let  $(w_{ij})$  be a minimal element of  $W(A, B)$ . Then  $(e_j^w)_{j \in I_m}$  is a minimal element of  $S^w(A, B)$  if and only if it is a minimal element of  $S(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* Trivial.  $\square$

One can wonder if the minimal solutions given by Proposition 7 and its Corollary exhaust the set of all minimal solutions of  $S(A, B)$ . Under the considered hypotheses we have no answer to this question. However, it is possible to give an affirmative answer under the additional assumption that multiplication distributes over  $\wedge$  operation, i.e. for every  $a, x, y \in L$

$$(17) \quad a(x \wedge y) = ax \wedge ay.$$

Assuming (17) we shall prove

**PROPOSITION 8.** *If  $(e_j^w)_{j \in I_m}$  is a minimal element of  $S(A, B)$ , then it is a minimal element of  $S^w(A, B)$  and  $w = (w_{ij})$  is a minimal element of  $W(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* The first part of the thesis is obvious, let us prove the second one. Let  $w' = (w'_{ij}) \in W(A, B)$  and  $w'_{ij} \leq w_{ij}$  for every  $i \in I_n$

and  $j \in I_m$ . Let  $j_0$  a fixed element of  $I_m$ . Then we have

$$b_i = \bigvee_{j \in I_m} w'_{ij} \leq w'_{ij_0} \vee \bigvee_{j \in I_m - \{j_0\}} w_{ij} \leq \bigvee_{j \in I_m} w_{ij} = b_i$$

for every  $i \in I_n$ , and

$$w'_{ij_0} \vee \bigvee_{j \in I_m - \{j_0\}} w_{ij} = b_i \quad \text{for every } i \in I_n.$$

So the matrix  $w'' = (w''_{ij})$  defined by

$$w''_{ij} = \begin{cases} w_{ij} & \text{if } j \in I_m - \{j_0\} \\ w'_{ij} & \text{if } j = j_0 \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $W(A, B)$ .  $H_j^{w''} = H_j^w$  if  $j \in I_m - \{j_0\}$  and  $H_{j_0}^{w''} = H_{j_0}^{w'}$ .

Furthermore,  $x \in H_{j_0}^w$  and  $y \in H_{j_0}^{w'}$  imply

$$a_{ij_0}(x \wedge y) = a_{ij_0}x \wedge a_{ij_0}y = w_{ij_0} \wedge w'_{ij_0} = w'_{ij_0} \quad i \in I_n,$$

so  $e_{j_0}^w \wedge r_{j_0} \in H_{j_0}^{w'}$  for every  $r_{j_0} \in H_{j_0}^{w'}$ . Then the relation  $\bar{R} = (\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_m)$  defined as follows:

$$\bar{r}_j = \begin{cases} e_j^w & \text{if } j \in I_m - \{j_0\} \\ e_j^w \wedge r_{j_0} & \text{if } j = j_0 \text{ and } r_{j_0} \in H_{j_0}^{w'} \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $S^{w''}(A, B) \subseteq S(A, B)$ . It follows that  $(\bar{r}_j) = (e_j^w)$  because  $(\bar{r}_j) \leq (e_j^w)$  and  $(e_j^w)$  is a minimal element of  $S(A, B)$ . Thus we have  $e_{j_0}^w \leq r_{j_0}$  and  $w_{ij_0} \leq w'_{ij_0}$  i.e.  $w_{ij_0} = w'_{ij_0}$  for every  $i \in I_n$ . Since  $j_0$  is arbitrary, we immediately obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**COROLLARY** *Let  $L$  be a complete lattice satisfying (1), (2) and (17),  $R = (e_1^w, \dots, e_m^w) \in S^w(A, B)$ . Then  $R$  is a minimal element of  $S(A, B)$  if and only if it is a minimal element of  $S^w(A, B)$  and  $w = (w_{ij})$  is a minimal element of  $W(A, B)$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Blyth T. S., *Matrices over ordered algebraic structures*, J. London Math. Soc., **39** (1964), 427-432.
- [2] Luce R. D., *A note on Boolean matrix theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **3** (1952), 382-388.
- [3] Rudeanu S., *Boolean functions and equation*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [4] Sanchez E., *Resolution of composite fuzzy relation equations*, Inform. and Control, **30** (1976), 38-48.

Pervenuto il 19 luglio 1988,  
in forma modificata il 26 ottobre 1988.

*Istituto di Matematica  
Facoltà di Architettura  
Università di Napoli  
Via Monteoliveto, 3  
80134 Napoli (Italy)*