

## Problem Set 11, Nov 28, 2019 (Solution to Theory Question)

### 1 Vanishing Gradient

Note that the overall function  $f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})$  is a composition of  $(L+1)$  functions, where the first  $L$  functions correspond to the  $L$  layers of the neural network and the last one corresponds to the output layer. So we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = f^{(L+1)} \circ \dots \circ f^{(2)} \circ f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}).$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}^{(l)} = f^{(l)}(\mathbf{x}^{(l-1)}) = \phi((\mathbf{W}^{(l)})^\top \mathbf{x}^{(l-1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(l)}). \quad (1)$$

As written in the statement of the problem, the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1,1}^{(l)}}$  is the product of the derivatives of these  $L+1$  functions. Now note that our activation functions are sigmoids and those have a maximal derivative of  $\frac{1}{4}$ , i.e.,

$$\max_x \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \right)' = \max_x \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, for each of the  $L$  layers we will get a factor of  $\frac{1}{4}$  or smaller. It remains to bound the inner derivative for each such function. Note that by assumption each weight has magnitude at most 1 and we assumed that we have  $K = 3$ , i.e., we have only three nodes per layer. Therefore, we get at most a factor 3 from the inner derivative. This proves the claim.