Chapter 4 Problems

Problem 1

a) Data destined to host H3 is forwarded through interface 3

Destination Address Link Interface H3 3

b) No, because forwarding rule is only based on destination address.

Problem 2

- a) No, you can only transmit one packet at a time over a shared bus.
- b) No, as discussed in the text, only one memory read/write can be done at a time over the shared system bus.
- c) No, in this case the two packets would have to be sent over the same output bus at the same time, which is not possible.

Problem 3

- a) (n-1)D
- b) (n-1)D
- c) 0

Problem 4

The minimal number of time slots needed is 3. The scheduling is as follows.

Slot 1: send X in top input queue, send Y in middle input queue.

Slot 2: send X in middle input queue, send Y in bottom input queue

Slot 3: send Z in bottom input queue.

Largest number of slots is still 3. Actually, based on the assumption that a non-empty input queue is never idle, we see that the first time slot always consists of sending X in the top input queue and Y in either middle or bottom input queue, and in the second time slot, we can always send two more datagram, and the last datagram can be sent in third time slot.

NOTE: Actually, if the first datagram in the bottom input queue is X, then the worst case would require 4 time slots.

a)

Prefix Match	Link Interface
11100000 00	0
11100000 01000000	1
1110000	2
11100001 1	3
otherwise	3

b) Prefix match for first address is 5th entry: link interface 3
Prefix match for second address is 3nd entry: link interface 2
Prefix match for third address is 4th entry: link interface 3

Problem 6

Destination Address Range	Link Interface
00000000 through	0
00111111	
01000000	
through	1
01011111	
01100000	2
through 01111111	2
10000000	2
through 10111111	2
11000000	
through 1111111	3
11111111	

number of addresses for interface $0 = 2^6 = 64$ number of addresses for interface $1 = 2^5 = 32$ number of addresses for interface $2 = 2^6 + 2^5 = 64 + 32 = 96$ number of addresses for interface $3 = 2^6 = 64$

Destination Address Range	Link Interface
11000000 through (32 addresses) 11011111	0
10000000 through(64 addresses) 10111111	1
11100000 through (32 addresses) 11111111	2
00000000 through (128 addresses) 01111111	3

Problem 8

223.1.17.0/26 223.1.17.128/25 223.1.17.192/28

Problem 9

Destination Address	Link Interface
200.23.16/21	0
200.23.24/24	1
200.23.24/21	2
otherwise	3

Problem 10

Destination Address	Link Interface	
11100000 00 (224.0/10)	0	
11100000 01000000 (224.64/16)	1	
1110000 (224/8)	2	
11100001 1 (225.128/9)	3	
otherwise	3	

Any IP address in range 128.119.40.128 to 128.119.40.191

Four equal size subnets: 128.119.40.64/28, 128.119.40.80/28, 128.119.40.112/28

Problem 12

From 214.97.254/23, possible assignments are

a) Subnet A: 214.97.255/24 (256 addresses)

Subnet B: 214.97.254.0/25 - 214.97.254.0/29 (128-8 = 120 addresses)

Subnet C: 214.97.254.128/25 (128 addresses)

Subnet D: 214.97.254.0/31 (2 addresses) Subnet E: 214.97.254.2/31 (2 addresses) Subnet F: 214.97.254.4/30 (4 addresses)

b) To simplify the solution, assume that no datagrams have router interfaces as ultimate destinations. Also, label D, E, F for the upper-right, bottom, and upper-left interior subnets, respectively.

Router 1

Longest Prefix Match	Outgoing Interface
11010110 01100001 11111111	Subnet A
11010110 01100001 111111110 0000000	Subnet D
11010110 01100001 111111110 000001	Subnet F

Router 2

Longest Prefix Match

	o mogorang
11010110 01100001 11111111 0000000	Subnet D
11010110 01100001 11111110 0	Subnet B
11010110 01100001 111111110 0000001	Subnet E

Router 3

Longest Prefix Match

Outgoing Interface

Outgoing Interface

11010110 01100001 11111111 00000	Subnet F
11010110 01100001 111111110 00000	Subnet E
11010110 01100001 11111110 1	Subnet C

The IP address blocks of Polytechnic Institute of New York University are:

NetRange: 128.238.0.0 - 128.238.255.255

CIDR: 128.238.0.0/16

The IP address blocks Stanford University are:

NetRange: 171.64.0.0 - 171.67.255.255

CIDR: 171.64.0.0/14

The IP address blocks University of Washington are:

NetRange: 140.142.0.0 - 140.142.255.255

CIDR: 140.142.0.0/16

No, the whois services cannot be used to determine with certainty the geographical location of a specific IP address.

<u>www.maxmind.com</u> is used to determine the locations of the Web servers at Polytechnic Institute of New York University, Stanford University and University of Washington.

Locations of the Web server at Polytechnic Institute of New York University is

Hostname Countr	y Country Name	Region	Region Name	City	Postal Code	l Latitude Longitude	ISP	Organization	Metro Code	Area Code
128.238.24.30 US	United States	NY	New York	Brooklyn	11201	40.6944 -73.9906	Polytechnic University	Polytechnic University	501	718

Locations of the Web server Stanford University is

Hostname Country Code	y Country Name	egion	Region Name	City	Postal Code	e Longitude	ISP	Organization	Metro Code	Area Code
171.64.13.26 US	United CA States	Α (California Sta	anford	94305 37.4178	-122.1720	Stanford University	Stanford University	807	650

Locations of the Web server at University of Massachusetts is

Hostname	Country Code	Country Name	Region	Region Name	City	Postal Code	Latitude	Longitude	ISP	Organization	Metro Code	Area Code
128.119.103.148	US	United States	MA	Massachusetts A	4mherst	01003	42.3896	-72.4534	University of Massachusetts	University of Massachusetts	543	413

The maximum size of data field in each fragment = 680 (because there are 20 bytes IP header). Thus the number of required fragments = $\left[\frac{2400-20}{680}\right] = 4$

Each fragment will have Identification number 422. Each fragment except the last one will be of size 700 bytes (including IP header). The last datagram will be of size 360 bytes (including IP header). The offsets of the 4 fragments will be 0, 85, 170, 255. Each of the first 3 fragments will have flag=1; the last fragment will have flag=0.

Problem 15

MP3 file size = 5 million bytes. Assume the data is carried in TCP segments, with each TCP segment also having 20 bytes of header. Then each datagram can carry 1500-40=1460 bytes of the MP3 file

Number of datagrams required = $\left\lceil \frac{5 \times 10^6}{1460} \right\rceil$ = 3425. All but the last datagram will be 1,500

bytes; the last datagram will be 960+40 = 1000 bytes. Note that here there is no fragmentation – the source host does not create datagrams larger than 1500 bytes, and these datagrams are smaller than the MTUs of the links.

Problem 16

a) Home addresses: 192.168.1.1, 192.168.1.2, 192.168.1.3 with the router interface being 192.168.1.4

b)

NAT Translation Table

WAN Side	LAN Side
24.34.112.235, 4000	192.168.1.1, 3345
24.34.112.235, 4001	192.168.1.1, 3346
24.34.112.235, 4002	192.168.1.2, 3445
24.34.112.235, 4003	192.168.1.2, 3446
24.34.112.235, 4004	192.168.1.3, 3545
24.34.112.235, 4005	192.168.1.3, 3546

Problem 17

a) Since all IP packets are sent outside, so we can use a packet sniffer to record all IP packets generated by the hosts behind a NAT. As each host generates a sequence of IP packets with sequential numbers and a distinct (very likely, as they are randomly chosen from a large space) initial identification number (ID), we can group IP packets with consecutive IDs into a cluster. The number of clusters is the number of hosts behind the NAT.

For more practical algorithms, see the following papers.

- "A Technique for Counting NATted Hosts", by Steven M. Bellovin, appeared in IMW'02, Nov. 6-8, 2002, Marseille, France.
- "Exploiting the IPID field to infer network path and end-system characteristics."

Weifeng Chen, Yong Huang, Bruno F. Ribeiro, Kyoungwon Suh, Honggang Zhang, Edmundo de Souza e Silva, Jim Kurose, and Don Towsley.

- PAM'05 Workshop, March 31 April 01, 2005. Boston, MA, USA.
- b) However, if those identification numbers are not sequentially assigned but randomly assigned, the technique suggested in part (a) won't work, as there won't be clusters in sniffed data.

Problem 18

It is not possible to devise such a technique. In order to establish a direct TCP connection between Arnold and Bernard, either Arnold or Bob must initiate a connection to the other. But the NATs covering Arnold and Bob drop SYN packets arriving from the WAN side. Thus neither Arnold nor Bob can initiate a TCP connection to the other if they are both behind NATs.

Problem 19

S2 Flow Table		
Match	Action	
Ingress Port = 1; IP Src = 10.3.*.*; IP Dst = 10.1.*.*	Forward (2)	
Ingress Port = 2; IP Src = 10.1.*.*; IP Dst = 10.3.*.*	Forward (1)	
Ingress Port = 1; IP Dst = $10.2.0.3$	Forward (3)	
Ingress Port = 2; IP Dst = $10.2.0.3$	Forward (3)	
Ingress Port = 1; IP Dst = $10.2.0.4$	Forward (4)	
Ingress Port = 2; IP Dst = $10.2.0.4$	Forward (4)	
Ingress Port = 4	Forward (3)	
Ingress Port = 3	Forward (4)	

Problem 20

S2 Flow Table	
Match	Action
Ingress Port = 3; IP Dst = $10.1.*.*$	Forward (2)
Ingress Port = 3; IP Dst = 10.3.*.*	Forward (2)
Ingress Port = 4; IP Dst = 10.1.*.*	Forward (1)
Ingress Port = 4; IP Dst = 10.3.*.*	Forward (1)

S1 Flow Table	
Match	Action
IP Src = $10.2.*.*$; IP Dst = $10.1.0.1$	Forward (2)
IP Src = $10.2.*.*$; IP Dst = $10.1.0.2$	Forward (3)
IP Src = $10.2.*.*$; IP Dst = $10.3.*.*$	Forward (1)

S3 Flow Table	
Match	Action
IP $Src = 10.2.*.*$; IP $Dst = 10.3.0.6$	Forward (1)
IP Src = $10.2.*.*$; IP Dst = $10.3.0.5$	Forward (2)
IP $Src = 10.2.*.*$; IP $Dst = 10.1.*.*$	Forward (3)

Problem 22

S2 Flow Table	
Match	Action
IP $Src = 10.1.0.1$; IP $Dst = 10.2.0.3$	Forward (3)
IP $Src = 10.1.0.1$; IP $Dst = 10.2.0.4$	Forward (4)
IP Src = $10.3.0.6$; IP Dst = $10.2.0.3$	Forward (3)
IP $Src = 10.3.0.6$; IP $Dst = 10.2.0.4$	Forward (4)

S2 Flow Table	
Match	Action
IP Src = $.*.*.*$; IP Dst = 10.2.0.3; port = TCP	Forward (3)
IP Src =.*.*.*; IP Dst = 10.2.0.4; port = TCP	Forward (4)

S2 Flow Table	
Match	Action
IP Src = $.*.*.*$; IP Dst = 10.2.0.3	Forward (3)

S2 Flow Table	
Match	Action
IP Src = 10.1.0.1; IP Dst = 10.2.0.3; port = UDP	Forward (3)