

Computational Complexity

Lecture 4: Diagonalization and the Time Hierarchy Theorems

Ronald de Haan
me@ronalddehaan.eu

University of Amsterdam

April 10, 2025

Recap

What we saw last time..

- Proof that NP-complete problems exist
- The Cook-Levin Theorem
- Concrete reductions between problems
- Search vs. decision problems

What will we do today?

- Diagonalization arguments
- Time Hierarchy Theorems
- $P \neq EXP$

Warm-up: Cantor's diagonal argument

- We show: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is uncountable
- Suppose that it is countably infinite. Then there is some bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$.
- Consider the set $S \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $i \in S$ iff $i \notin f(i)$
- Then $S \neq f(i)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so f is not a bijection. ⚡

Diagram illustrating the construction of a set S from a function f .

The function f is defined on \mathbb{N} (rows) and \mathbb{N} (columns). The values of $f(j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ are shown in the grid:

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ... |
|----------|----------|---|---|----------|-----|-----|
| $f(1)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ... | |
| $f(2)$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | ... | |
| $f(3)$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ... | |
| $f(4)$ | \vdots | | | \ddots | | |
| $f(5)$ | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | |

A blue box highlights the cell $(3, 4)$ and points to a legend:

- 1 if $i \in f(j)$
- 0 if $i \notin f(j)$

The set S is constructed as the union of the sets $f(j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The values of S are shown in the bottom row:

| S | 0 | 0 | 1 | ... | | |
|-----|---|---|---|-----|--|--|
| | | | | | | |

Diagonalization over TMs: uncomputable functions

- We show that there exists an uncomputable function
 $UC : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$
- Define UC: for all $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$,
 $UC(\alpha) = 0$, if $M_\alpha(\alpha) = 1$, and
 $UC(\alpha) = 1$ otherwise.
- Suppose that UC is computable.
 Then there exists some M_β that
 computes UC: $M_\beta(\alpha) = UC(\alpha)$
 for all $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$.
- In particular, $M_\beta(\beta) = UC(\beta)$.
 By def. of UC: $M_\beta(\beta) \neq UC(\beta)$. \nexists

$\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$

| | ϵ | 0 | 1 | 00 | 01 | ... |
|------------|------------|---|---|-----|-----|-----|
| ϵ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ... | |
| 0 | 0 | * | 1 | 0 | ... | |
| 1 | 1 | * | 0 | * | ... | |
| 00 | ... | | | ... | | |
| 01 | | | | | | |
| ... | | | | | | |

1 if $M_\beta(\alpha)$ outputs 1
 0 if $M_\beta(\alpha)$ outputs $\neq 1$
 * if $M_\beta(\alpha)$ does not halt

$\beta \in \{0, 1\}^*$

| UC | 0 | 1 | 1 | ... | | |
|----|---|---|---|-----|--|--|
|----|---|---|---|-----|--|--|

Theorem

If $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are time-constructible functions such that $f(n) \log f(n)$ is $o(g(n))$, then $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(g(n))$.

- Assumption of time-constructibility rules out 'weird' functions.
 - f is *time-constructible* if $f(n) \geq n$ and there exists a TM that computes the function $x \mapsto f(|x|)$ in time $O(f(|x|))$, for each $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- We will prove $\text{DTIME}(n) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$

$$\text{DTIME}(n) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$$

- Consider a TM \mathbb{D} that, on input $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$, runs the simulation of $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\alpha)$, and stops after $|\alpha|^{1.4}$ steps (counting the number of simulator steps), and:

- if the simulation of $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\alpha)$ outputs some $b \in \{0, 1\}$ within $|\alpha|^{1.4}$ steps, then $\mathbb{D}(\alpha)$ outputs $1 - b$
- otherwise, $\mathbb{D}(\alpha)$ outputs 1



diagonalization

- The language L decided by \mathbb{D} is in $\text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$
 - We perform a 'clocked' computation, maintaining a counter that keeps track of how many computation steps we took
 - Performing T time steps of a computation (using such a counter) takes time $O(T \log T)$, and since $n^{1.4} \log n^{1.4}$ is $O(n^{1.5})$, we get that L is in $\text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$
 - (This is where we need time-constructibility, for the general case: so that we can compute the number T within T time steps.)

$$\text{DTIME}(n) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$$

- Consider a TM \mathbb{D} that, on input $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$, runs the simulation of $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\alpha)$, and stops after $|\alpha|^{1.4}$ steps (counting the number of simulator steps), and:
 - if the simulation of $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\alpha)$ outputs some $b \in \{0, 1\}$ within $|\alpha|^{1.4}$ steps, then $\mathbb{D}(\alpha)$ outputs $1 - b$
 - otherwise, $\mathbb{D}(\alpha)$ outputs 1
- We show that $L \notin \text{DTIME}(n)$.
 - Suppose that $L \in \text{DTIME}(n)$. Then there is some TM \mathbb{M} that decides L and runs in time dn , for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - Simulating \mathbb{M} on input x takes time $d'd|x| \log(d|x|)$, for some $d' \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - There is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ it holds that $n^{1.4} \geq d'dn \log(dn)$.
 - Let α be a string of length $\geq n_0$ that represents \mathbb{M} : $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_\alpha$
 - Then $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\alpha) = \mathbb{D}(\alpha)$, because $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_\alpha$, and \mathbb{M} and \mathbb{D} decide the same language
 - The 'clocked' simulation of $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\alpha)$ for $n^{1.4}$ steps finishes, because $n^{1.4} \geq d'dn \log(dn)$, and so $\mathbb{D}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathbb{M}_\alpha(\alpha) = 1 - \mathbb{D}(\alpha)$. \nexists

diagonalization

- The functions 2^n and 2^{2^n} are time-constructible, and $2^n \log 2^n = n \cdot 2^n$ is $o(2^{2^n})$.
- Then by the Deterministic Time Hierarchy Theorem, $\text{DTIME}(2^n) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(2^{2^n})$.
- $P = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{N}} \text{DTIME}(n^c) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^n) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(2^{2^n}) \subseteq \text{EXP}$
- So, $P \neq \text{EXP}$.

Theorem

If $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are time-constructible functions such that $f(n+1)$ is $o(g(n))$, then $\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \text{NTIME}(g(n))$.

- As a result: $\text{NP} \subsetneq \text{NEXP}$, where $\text{NEXP} = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{N}} \text{NTIME}(2^{n^c})$.

Ladner's Theorem

- Question: is it the case that all problems in NP are either (i) in P or (ii) NP-complete?
- If $P = NP$, then this is trivially true.
- If $P \neq NP$, then no:

Theorem (Ladner 1975)

Suppose that $P \neq NP$.

Then there exists a language $L \in NP \setminus P$ that is not NP-complete.

- Proof uses a diagonalization argument.

- Diagonalization arguments
- Time Hierarchy Theorems
- $P \neq EXP$

- Can we use diagonalization to attack $P \stackrel{?}{=} NP$? (Spoiler: no.)
- Limits of diagonalization
- Relativizing results
- Oracles