SOLVING THE BLACK-SCHOLES-MERTON EQUATION

YUTAN ZHANG, ALEX HIMONAS

1. The Black-Scholes-Merton Model

In this presentation we formulate the Black-Scholes-Merton model for European call options, and find the solution to the BSM PDE. A European call option is a financial contract that gives the owner the right but not the obligation to buy a share of stock at a specified price K that can only be exercised at future date T specified in the contract. The predetermined price K is called the *stike price*, and the time T at which the option can be exercised can be referred to as maturity date. Since the owner has the right but not the obligation to exercise the option, the payoff of the option at time T is $V(S_T,T) = [S_T - K]^+ \doteq \max\{S_T - K, 0\}$, since when $S_T < K$, the owner would let the option expire. We wish to find the fair price for the European call option $V(S_t, t)$ at times $t \in [0, T]$.

For the BSM model, we assume that the price of a stock S_t satisfies the following log-normal stochastic differential equation

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where r is the risk-free interest rate (such as government bond return), σ the volatility of the stock price, and W_t a Wiener process (Brownian motion) starting at zero. We consider a European call option for this stock with strike price K and exercise time T.

The main idea of Black, Scholes, and Merton was to think of V(s,t) as a deterministic quantity that depends only on the (assumed) stock price at that time $s = S_t$ and the time remaining until maturity date T - t. Indeed, $V(S_t, t)$ is the stochastic process because of S_t , and V(s, t) is deterministic upon s and T - t.

By replicating portfolio and Itô's Lemma (see [2]), we can show that V(s,t) satisfies the Black-Scholes-Merton partial differential equation

$$\left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s^2} + rs \frac{\partial V}{\partial s} - rV = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad s > 0. \right|$$
 (1.2)

It is also subject to the terminal condition (for call option payoff at time T)

$$V(s,T) \doteq \lim_{t \to T^{-}} V(s,t) = [s-K]^{+}.$$
 (1.3)

2. Solving the BSM Equation

Here we present a different approach than in [2]. To solve system (1.2, 1.3), we first note that $\tau = T - t$ is a more natural variable than t since the value of the option is determined backwards

Date: April 12, 2023 .

from time T to time 0, and it makes (1.3) into an initial condition. Then (1.2) becomes

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s^2} + rs \frac{\partial V}{\partial s} - rV, \quad \tau \in [0, T]. \tag{2.1}$$

We also note that the right hand side is Cauchy-Euler (equi-dimensional) in the variable s, so we make the change of variable $x = \ln s$ and $V(s, \tau) = G(x, \tau)$. Thus

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}$$
 and $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s^2} = \frac{1}{s^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \right)$.

Substituting $V(x,\tau)$ with $G(x,\tau)$ into (2.1) we get

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} - rG. \tag{2.2}$$

We see that (2.2) is linear constant coefficient and parabolic. To get rid of -rG, we make the change of variable $G(x,\tau) = e^{-r\tau}U(x,\tau)$. Thus

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} - rG \Rightarrow \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}.$$
 (2.3)

To get rid of $(r - \sigma^2/2)U_x$, we make the change of variable $x \to \xi = x + (r - \sigma^2/2)\tau$, so $u(\xi(x,\tau),\tau) = U(x,\tau)$. So we have

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}, \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}.$$

Substituting back into (2.3), the terms with u_{ξ} cancel, and we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}.$$

To rescale the factor $\sigma^2/2$, we let $\eta = \sigma^2 \tau/2$, so $u_\tau = u_\eta \sigma^2/2$, we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}.\tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, we have the following change of variables

$$\begin{cases} u(\xi, \eta) = e^{r\tau} V(s, t) \\ \eta(\tau) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \tau \\ \xi(s, \tau) = \ln s + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \tau \\ \tau(t) = T - t \end{cases}$$

and (1.2) is transformed into the following standard heat equation form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

It is subjected to the new initial condition determined by (1.3)

$$u(\xi,0) = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} e^{r\tau} V(s,t) = [e^{\xi} - K]^+.$$
 (2.6)

Condition (2.6) is obtained since $\eta \to 0^+$ implies $\tau \to 0^+$, and $\ln s = \xi - (r - \sigma^2/2)\tau$, so we have $s = e^{\xi} \exp(-(r - \sigma^2/2)\tau) \to e^{\xi}$. We solve the system (2.5, 2.6) using the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Solution of Heat equation [1]). Consider the system of equations

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x^2 u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0,$$
 (2.7)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.8}$$

If $u_0(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then the system admits a unique solution u(x,t) given by

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{4t}(x-y)^2} u_0(y) dy.$$
 (2.9)

In fact, if $\exp(-x^2)u_0(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, (2.9) remains the solution to the system.

Applying Theorem 2.1, we have the solution for system (2.5, 2.6)

$$u(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\eta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{4\eta}(\xi - y)^{2}} [e^{y} - K]^{+} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\eta}} \int_{\ln K}^{\infty} (e^{y} - K) \exp\left[-\frac{(y - \xi)^{2}}{4\eta}\right] dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\eta}} \int_{\ln K}^{\infty} \exp\left[y - \frac{(y - \xi)^{2}}{4\eta}\right] dy - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\eta}} \int_{\ln K}^{\infty} K \exp\left[-\frac{(y - \xi)^{2}}{4\eta}\right] dy.$$

Since the model is based on standard normal distribution, it is natural to express the solution in the form of N(x), where

$$N(x) \doteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy.$$

We examine the term inside the first exponential.

$$y - \frac{(y - \xi)^2}{4\eta} = \frac{4\eta y - (y - \xi)^2}{4\eta} - (\xi + \eta) + (\xi + \eta)$$
$$= \frac{4(y - \xi)\eta - (y - \xi)^2 - 4\eta^2}{4\eta} + (\xi + \eta)$$
$$= -\frac{(y - \xi - 2\eta)^2}{4\eta} + (\xi + \eta).$$

Let $p = \frac{y - \xi - 2\eta}{\sqrt{2\eta}}$, so $dp = \frac{dy}{\sqrt{2\eta}}$, the first term becomes

$$\frac{e^{\xi+\eta}}{\sqrt{4\pi\eta}} \int_{\ln K}^{\infty} \exp{-\frac{(y-\xi-2\eta)^2}{4\eta}} dy = \frac{e^{\xi+\eta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{\ln(K)-\xi-2\eta}{\sqrt{2\eta}}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{p^2}{2}} dp$$
$$= \frac{e^{\xi+\eta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\xi+2\eta-\ln K}{\sqrt{2\eta}}} e^{-\frac{p^2}{2}} dp = e^{\xi+\eta} N\left(\frac{\xi+2\eta-\ln K}{\sqrt{2\eta}}\right).$$

Similarly (more easily) for the second term, let $q = \frac{y-\xi}{\sqrt{2n}}$, so $dq = \frac{dy}{\sqrt{2n}}$, we have

$$-\frac{K}{\sqrt{4\pi\eta}} \int_{\ln K}^{\infty} \exp{-\frac{(y-\xi)^2}{4\eta}} dy = -\frac{K}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\frac{\ln (K)-\xi}{\sqrt{2\eta}}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{q^2}{2}} dq$$
$$= -\frac{K}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\xi-\ln K}{\sqrt{2\eta}}} e^{-\frac{q^2}{2}} dq = -KN\left(\frac{\xi-\ln K}{\sqrt{2\eta}}\right).$$

Therefore, we have

$$u(\xi,\eta) = e^{\xi+\eta} N\left(\frac{\xi + 2\eta - \ln K}{\sqrt{2\eta}}\right) - KN\left(\frac{\xi - \ln K}{\sqrt{2\eta}}\right).$$

Changing back from $u(\xi, \eta)$ to $V(s, \tau)$ via the change of variables, we have

$$V(s,\tau) = \exp\left[-r\tau + \ln\left(s\right) + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\tau\right]N\left(\frac{\ln s + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\tau + \sigma^2\tau - \ln K}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}\right)$$
$$-e^{-r\tau}KN\left(\frac{\ln s + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\tau - \ln K}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}\right)$$
$$V(s,\tau) = sN\left(\frac{\ln\left(s/K\right) + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}\right) - e^{-r\tau}KN\left(\frac{\ln\left(s/K\right) + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}\right).$$

Therefore, we arrive at the solution to the system (1.2, 1.3) known as the BSM formula

$$V(s,\tau) = sN(d_{+}) - e^{-r\tau}KN(d_{-}), d_{\pm} = \frac{\ln(s/K) + \left(r \pm \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}, \tau = T - t.$$
 (2.10)

We can see that (2.10) satisfies the terminal condition (1.3) as

$$\lim_{t \to T^{-}} d_{\pm} = \lim_{\tau \to 0^{+}} d_{\pm} = \begin{cases} +\infty, & s > K \\ 0, & s = K \text{, and } \lim_{\tau \to 0^{+}} e^{-r\tau} = 1, \\ -\infty, & s < K \end{cases}$$

therefore

$$V(s,T) \doteq \lim_{t \to T^{-}} V(s,t) = \begin{cases} sN(\infty) - KN(\infty) = s - K, & s > K \\ sN(0) - KN(0) = (s - K)/2 = 0, & s = K \\ sN(-\infty) - KN(-\infty) = 0, & s < K \end{cases} = [s - K]^{+}.$$

References

- [1] A. Himonas. Lacture Notes in Partial Differential Equations. Lecture Notes, 2023, University of Notre Dame.
- [2] A. Himonas and T. Cosimano. *Mathematical Methods in Finance and Economics*. Lecture Notes (eBook), 2023, University of Notre Dame.