

Homework 1

Problem 1. Decide whether the following is a λ term (or an abbreviation of a λ term). If it is not, explain the reason.

- (a) $\lambda x.xxx$
- (b) $\lambda\lambda x.x$
- (c) $\lambda y.(\lambda x.x)$
- (d) $\lambda uv.(((xy)xy)y)$

Solution. (a) Yes.

(b) No. two λ in a row unseparated isn't allowed by the definition of λ term.

(c) Yes.

(d) Yes.

Problem 2. Compute the terms represented by the following substitutions:

- (a) $(xyz)[y/z]$.
- (b) $(\lambda x.x)[y/z]$.
- (c) $(\lambda y.xy)[yy/x]$.

Solution. (a) $(xyz)[y/z] = xyy$.

(b) $(\lambda x.x)[y/z] = \lambda x.x$.

(c) $(\lambda y.xy)[yy/x] = \lambda z.xz[yy/x] = \lambda z.yyz$.

Problem 3. Prove the following equalities in the theory of $\lambda\beta$. You need to draw the “proof tree” using the rules we defined in the lecture.

(a) $\lambda uv.v = \lambda u.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u)$.

(b) $\lambda uv.(\lambda xy.y)uv = \lambda ab.b$.

Solution. (a) To make sure that the width of the proof tree does not exceed the paper's edge, we split the proof tree into two parts to complete it.

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\text{(refl)} \frac{\lambda x.x = \lambda x.x}{(\text{appl}) \frac{(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u) = (\lambda x.x)(\lambda v.v)}}}{(\text{trans}) \frac{(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u) = (\lambda x.x)(\lambda v.v)}} \quad \frac{\text{(\alpha)} \frac{\lambda u.u = \lambda v.v}{(\lambda x.x)(\lambda v.v) = \lambda v.v}}{(\beta) \frac{(\lambda x.x)(\lambda v.v) = \lambda v.v}}{(\text{abs}) \frac{(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u) = \lambda v.v}{\lambda u.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u) = \lambda u.(\lambda v.v)}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{(abs)} \frac{[\text{above}]}{\lambda u.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u) = \lambda u.(\lambda v.v)} \quad \text{(abbr)} \frac{}{\lambda u.(\lambda v.v) = \lambda uv.v} \\
\text{(trans)} \frac{}{\lambda uv.v = \lambda u.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u)} \quad \text{(sym)} \frac{\lambda u.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u) = \lambda uv.v}{\lambda uv.v = \lambda u.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda u.u)}
\end{array}$$

(b)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{(}\beta\text{)} \frac{}{(\lambda xy.y)u = \lambda y.y} \quad \text{(refl)} \frac{}{v = v} \quad \text{(}\beta\text{)} \frac{}{(\lambda y.y)v = v} \\
\text{(appl)} \frac{}{(\lambda xy.y)uv = (\lambda y.y)v} \quad \text{(trans)} \frac{}{(\lambda xy.y)uv = v} \\
\text{(abs)} \frac{}{\lambda uv.(\lambda xy.y)uv = \lambda uv.v} \quad \text{(}\alpha\text{)} \frac{}{\lambda uv.v = \lambda ab.b} \\
\text{(trans)} \frac{}{\lambda uv.(\lambda xy.y)uv = \lambda ab.b}
\end{array}$$

Problem 4.

- (a) Find a λ term s such that the equality $stu = ut$ holds in $\lambda\beta$ for all terms t and u .
- (b) Show that there is a λ term s such that for all term t , $\lambda\beta \vdash st = ss$.

Solution. (a) Consider $s = \lambda xy.yx$, then $\lambda\beta \vdash stu = (\lambda xy.yx)tu = \lambda x.(\lambda y.yx)tu = (\lambda y.yt)u = ut, \forall t, u \in \Lambda$.

(b) Consider $s = \lambda x.y$, then $\lambda\beta \vdash st = (\lambda x.y)t = y, \forall t \in \Lambda$. Meanwhile $\lambda\beta \vdash ss = (\lambda x.y)s = y$, so $\lambda\beta \vdash st = ss, \forall t \in \Lambda$.

Problem 5. Show that there is a term G such that all fixed-point combinators can be *characterized* as the fixed points of G . That is, s is a fixed-point combinator if and only if $\lambda\beta \vdash Gs = s$.

Solution. Consider $G = \lambda fr.r(fr)$. We'll first prove that all the fixed points of G are FPCs, and then prove the converse. Say s is G 's fixed point, then it satisfies $\lambda\beta \vdash s = Gs = (\lambda fr.r(fr))s = \lambda r.r(sr)$. So $\forall t \in \Lambda$, $\lambda\beta \vdash st = (\lambda r.r(sr))t = t(st)$, which means s is a FPC.

Conversely, if s is a FPC, giving $\lambda\beta \vdash st = (\lambda r.r(sr))t = t(st), \forall t \in \Lambda$. We suppose s has the form $\lambda x.w$. Then $\lambda\beta \vdash Gs = (\lambda fr.r(fr))s = \lambda r.r(sr) =_{FPC} \lambda r.sr = \lambda r.(\lambda x.w)r =_{\beta} \lambda r.(w[r/x]) =_{\alpha}^* \lambda x.(w[x/x]) = \lambda x.w = s$. In the $*$ step, the α conversion can be applied because x exists no more in $w[r/x]$ and thus is not a free variable, so renaming the bounded variable to x raises no conflict.