(Hao Zhang, WustlKey: h.zhang633, ID: 452003), (Hanming Li, WustlKey: lihanming, ID: 451802)

## Homework 7

1. (b) Take the sentence "No, now is no now time" as an example, there is a comma in the sentence, so if we measuring merely word co-occurrence of words directly next to each other, the comma will appear in a co-occurrence of words, which is not what we need.

```
2. (a) The pseudo-code for MapReduce program for the stripes:
            map (Mk){
                     For jth row in Martix v
                             vj = v(j)
                     For ith row in Matrix Mk
                             For jth column in Matrix Mk
                                      emit(i, mijvj)
            }
            Reduce (i, [mi1v1, mi2v2, mi3v3, ...]){
                     xki = 0
                     For mijvj in the input array
                             xki = xki + mijvj
                     emit(i, xki)
            }
            The pseudo-code for the final MapReduce program:
            Map (M){
                     For Mk is stripes of Martix M
                             For ith row in Matrix M
                                      emit(i, xki)
            }
            Reduce (i, [x1i, x2i, x3i, ...]){
                     xi = 0
                     for xki in the input array
                             xi = xi + xki
                     emit(i, xi)
            }
    (b) The mapper input: [16 2; 5 11; 9 7; 4 14] and [3 13; 10 8; 6 12; 15 1]
    The mapper output: (1, 16), (1, 4), (2, 5), (2, 22), (3, 9), (3, 14), (4, 4), (4, 28) and (1, 9), (1, 52), (2,
    30), (2,32), (3, 18), (3, 48), (4, 45), (4, 4)
```

```
The reducer input: (1, [16, 4]), (2, [5, 22]), (3, [9, 14]), (4, [4, 28]) and (1, [9, 52]), (2, [30, 32]), (3,
[18, 48]), (4, [45, 4])
The reducer output: (1, 20), (2, 27), (3, 23), (4, 32) and (1, 61), (2, 62), (3, 66), (4, 49)
The final reducer input: (1, [20, 61]), (2, [27, 62]), (3, [23, 66]), (4, [32, 49])
The final reducer output: (1, 81), (2, 89), (3, 89), (4, 81)
(c) The work I will do to divide the Matrix into k stripes:
Divide(M){
         I = M[0].length/k
         for (int i=0;i<l;i++){
                  for(int j=0;j<M.length;j++){</pre>
                           M1[j][i] = M[j][i]
                           M2[j][i] = M[j][i+l]
                           M3[j][i] = M[j][i+2*I]
                           Mk[j][i] = M[j][i+(k-1)*l]
                  }
         }
}
```

And the M1 and M2 are the divided 2 matrixes.

(d) The communication cost of this algorithm is:

The number of stripes + (The number of rows \* the number of column) + (the number of rows \* the number of stripes) \* 2

(Hao Zhang, WustlKey: h.zhang633, ID: 452003), (Hanming Li, WustlKey: lihanming, ID: 451802)

```
3. (a) For the Fig. 5.7, the matrix is M = [1/3 1/2 0; 1/3 0 1/2; 1/3 1/2 1/2] V = [1/3; 1/3; 1/3] M*v \text{ for several times-> } v = [3/13; 4/13; 6/13] The PageRank is [3/13; 4/13; 6/13] (b) v = [1/3; 1/3; 1/3] \beta = 0.8 \ v = \beta*M*v + (1-\beta)*e/n \text{ for several times -> } v = [7/27; 25/81; 35/81] The PageRank is \ M*v = [13/54; 49/162; 37/81] (c) For the Figure 5.4, the transition matrix is: M = [0\ 1/2\ 0\ 0\ 0; 1/3\ 0\ 0\ 1/2\ 0; 1/3\ 0\ 0\ 1/2\ 0; 1/3\ 1/2\ 0\ 0\ 0; 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0] For the Figure 5.7 is: M = [1/3\ 1/2\ 0; 1/3\ 0\ 1/2; 1/3\ 1/2\ 1/2]
```

This representation is more efficient because it is unnecessary to store the index of row and column, and the data do not need to be stored separately.