# Zero Surface Tension Limit of the Free-Boundary Problem in Incompressible Magnetohydrodynamics

Xumin Gu<sup>\*</sup>, Chenyun Luo<sup>†</sup>, and Junyan Zhang <sup>‡</sup> September 14, 2021

#### **Abstract**

We show that the solution of the free-boundary incompressible ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations with surface tension converges to that of the free-boundary incompressible ideal MHD equations without surface tension given the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition holds true initially. This result is a continuation of the authors' previous works [13, 27, 12]. Our proof is based on the combination of the techniques developed in our previous works [13, 27, 12], Alinhac good unknowns, and a crucial anti-symmetric structure on the boundary.

#### **Contents**

Da	foreness	3(
8	The zero surface tension limit	30
7	Control of weighted Sobolev norms	28
6	Tangential estimates of time derivatives	24
5	Tangential estimate of spatial derivatives	14
4	Estimates for the non-weighted full Sobolev norms	12
3	Elliptic estimates for the pressure and its time derivatives	9
2	The auxilliary results	7
1	Introduction	1

## 1 Introduction

We consider the following 3D incompressible ideal MHD system which describes the motion of a conducting fluid with free surface boundary in an electro-magnetic field under the influence of surface tension

$$\begin{cases} D_t u - B \cdot \nabla B + \nabla P = 0, & P := p + \frac{1}{2}|B|^2 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}; \\ D_t B - B \cdot \nabla u = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}; \\ \text{div } u = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.1)$$

<sup>\*</sup>School of Mathematics, Shanghai University of Finance and Ecomonics, Shanghai 200433, China. Email: gu.xumin@shufe.edu.cn. XG was supported in part by NSFC Grant 12031006

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong. Email: cluo@math.cuhk.edu.hk. CL was supported in part by RGC grant of Hong Kong No. 24304621 and CUHK Direct Grant for Research No. 4053457

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA. Email: zhang.junyan@jhu.edu

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} D_t|_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \in \mathcal{T}(\partial\mathcal{D}), \\ P = \sigma\mathcal{H} & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D}, \\ B \cdot \hat{n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D}. \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

Here,  $D_t := \partial_t + u \cdot \nabla$  denotes the material derivative, and  $\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{0 \le t \le T} \{t\} \times \mathcal{D}_t$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_t \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  is a bounded domain occupied by the conducting fluid (plasma) whose boundary  $\partial \mathcal{D}_t$  moves with the velocity of the fluid. Also, we denote by  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  the fluid's velocity,  $B = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$  the magnetic field, p the fluid's pressure, and  $P := p + \frac{1}{2}|B|^2$  the total pressure. The quantity  $\mathcal{H}$  is the mean curvature of the free surface  $\partial \mathcal{D}_t$ ,  $\sigma \ge 0$  is a given constant, called surface tension coefficient. Finally, we use  $\hat{n}$  to denote the exterior unit normal to  $\partial \mathcal{D}_t$ . The equations div B = 0 and  $B \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = 0$  are only the constraints for initial data that propagate to any t > 0 if initiall hold (cf. [15]).

When  $\sigma > 0$  (i.e., the MHD equations are under the influence of the surface tension), we proved in [12] that given a simply connected domain  $\mathcal{D}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  and initial data  $u_0$  and  $B_0$  satisfying div  $u_0 = 0$  and div  $B_0 = 0$ ,  $B_0 \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial \mathcal{D}_0} = 0$ , there exist a set  $\mathcal{D}$  and vector fields u and u that solve (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \{x : (0, x) \in \mathcal{D}\}, \quad (u, B) = (u_0, B_0), \quad \text{in } \{t = 0\} \times \Omega_0.$$
 (1.3)

In addition, when  $\sigma = 0$  (i.e., the surface tension is neglected) the initial-boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) is known to be ill-posed [16, 8] unless the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition is imposed

$$-\nabla_n P \ge c_0 > 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \tag{1.4}$$

for some  $c_0 > 0$ . In fact, we only need to impose (1.4) on the initial data and then show that it propagates within the interval of existence. The local well-posedness (LWP) of the initial-boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) was obtained by the first author and Wang in [13].

The following terminologies will be used throughout the rest of this manuscript for the sake of clarity: We use " $\sigma > 0$  problem" to denote the initial-boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) with a positive surface tension coefficient  $\sigma$ . In addition, we use " $\sigma = 0$  problem" to denote the initial-boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) without the surface tension but with the physical condition (1.4). In this paper, we would like to prove the zero surface tension limit of (1.1)-(1.3), i.e., the solution to the " $\sigma > 0$  problem" converges to the solution to the " $\sigma = 0$  problem" as  $\sigma \to 0_+$ , provided the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition (1.4) holds initially.

Before stating our result, we would like to review the related previous results. The free-boundary problem of MHD can be considered the simplified version of the plasma-vacuum free-interface model which is the basic theoretical model for the plasma confinement and some astrophysical phenomena. For detailed discussion we refer to our previous work [12, Section 1.1.1]. In particular, the surface tension cannot be neglected when we use free-boundary MHD system to model the liquid metal, film flow, etc [19, 28, 29]. Even if we consider the MHD flows in astrophysical plasmas, where the surface tension effect and magnetic diffusion are usually neglected, it is still useful to keep surface tension as a stabilization effect in numerical simulations of the magnetic Rayleigh-Taylor instability [34, 35].

In the absence of the magnetic field, i.e.,  $B = \mathbf{0}$ , the free-boundary MHD system is reduced to the free-boundary incompressible Euler equations. The first breakthrough came into Wu [43, 44] for the LWP of 2D and 3D incompressible irrotational gravity water wave. In the case of nonzero vorticity, Christodoulou-Lindblad [4] first established the nonliear a priori estimates and Lindblad [24] proved the LWP by Nash-Moser iteration. Later, Coutand-Shkoller [5] avoided the Nash-Moser iteration and extended the result to the case  $\sigma > 0$ . See also [45, 31, 32, 33, 6, 7] and references therein for the related works.

For the mathematical studies of free-boundary incompressible MHD system without surface tension, Hao-Luo [15, 17] proved the a priori estimates and the linearized LWP by generalizing [4, 23], and the first author and Wang [13] proved the LWP for the nonlinear problem. See also Lee [21, 22] for an alternative proof by using the vanishing viscosity-resistivity method, Sun-Wang-Zhang [36] for the incompressible MHD current-vortex sheets, Sun-Wang-Zhang [37] and the first author [9, 10] for the plasma-vacuum interface model, and the second and the third authors [26] for the minial regularity estimates in a small fluid domain. For the compressible ideal MHD, we refer to [2, 38, 41, 30, 39, 25] and references therein.

However, when the surface tension is not neglected, much less has been developed and most of the previous results focus on the viscous or resistive MHD [3, 14, 42]. To the best of our knowledge, our previous works [27, 12] are the only available results on the free-boundary incompressible ideal MHD with surface tension. In addition, we also refer to Trakhinin-Wang [40] for the compressible case.

This paper is the continuation of our previous works [13, 27, 12]: we aim to prove the zero surface tension limit of the free-boundary problem in incompressible ideal MHD with surface tension. This can be achieved by establishing the uniform-in- $\sigma$ 

energy estimates. Our proof is based on the combination of the techniques in [13, 27, 12], Alinhac good unknowns, and the *new-developed* anti-symmetric structure on the boundary.

### 1.1 Reformulation in Lagrangian coordinates

We reformulate the MHD equations in the Lagrangian coordinates and thus the free-surface domain becomes fixed. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded domain. Denoting coordinates on  $\Omega$  by  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ , we define  $\eta : [0, T] \times \Omega \to \mathcal{D}$  to be the flow map of the velocity v, i.e.,

$$\partial_t \eta(t, y) = u(t, \eta(t, y)), \quad \eta(0, y) = \eta_0(y),$$
 (1.5)

where  $\eta_0:\Omega\to\mathcal{D}_0$  is a diffeomorphism. We introduce the Lagrangian velocity, magnetic field and pressure respectively by

$$v(t, y) = u(t, \eta(t, y)), \quad b(t, y) = B(t, \eta(t, y)), \quad q(t, y) = P(t, \eta(t, y)).$$
 (1.6)

Let  $\partial$  be the spatial derivative with respect to y variable. We introduce the cofactor matrix  $A = [\partial \eta]^{-1}$ . It's worth noting that since  $\det(\partial \eta) = 1$ , we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 \eta \times \partial_3 \eta \\ \partial_3 \eta \times \partial_1 \eta \\ \partial_1 \eta \times \partial_2 \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{\alpha \lambda \tau} \partial_2 \eta_{\lambda} \partial_3 \eta_{\tau} \\ \epsilon^{\alpha \lambda \tau} \partial_3 \eta_{\lambda} \partial_1 \eta_{\tau} \\ \epsilon^{\alpha \lambda \tau} \partial_1 \eta_{\lambda} \partial_2 \eta_{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$
(1.7)

Here,  $e^{\alpha\lambda\tau}$  is the fully antisymmetric symbol with  $e^{123} = 1$ . In light of (1.7), it is easy to see that A verifies the Piola's identity

$$\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu\alpha} = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Here, the Einstein summation convention is used for repeated upper and lower indices. In above and throughout, all Greek indices range over 1, 2, 3, and the Latin indices range over 1, 2.

For the sake of simplicity and clean notation, we consider the model case when

$$\Omega = \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1) \text{ and } \eta_0 = \text{Id}, \tag{1.9}$$

where  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \{1\}$  is the top (moving) boundary,  $\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \{0\}$  is the fixed bottom. This is known to be the reference domain. Using a partition of unity, e.g., [7, 26], a general domain can also be treated with the same tools we shall present. However, choosing  $\Omega$  as above allows us to focus on the real issues of the problem without being distracted by the cumbersomeness of the partition of unity. Let N stands for the outward unit normal of  $\partial\Omega$ . In particular, we have N=(0,0,-1) on  $\Gamma_0$  and N=(0,0,1) on  $\Gamma$ .

Under this setting, the system (1.1)-(1.2) can be reformulated as:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}v_{\alpha} - b_{\beta}a^{\mu\beta}\partial_{\mu}b_{\alpha} + A^{\mu}_{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}q = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ \partial_{t}b_{\alpha} - b_{\beta}A^{\mu\beta}\partial_{\mu}v_{\alpha} = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ A^{\mu\alpha}\partial_{\mu}v_{\alpha} = 0, \quad A^{\mu\alpha}\partial_{\mu}b_{\alpha} = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ v \cdot N = b \cdot N = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{0}; \\ A^{\mu\alpha}N_{\mu}q + \sigma(\sqrt{g}\Delta_{g}\eta^{\alpha}) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma; \\ A^{\mu\nu}b_{\nu}N_{\mu} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (\eta, v, b) = (\text{Id}, v_{0}, b_{0}) & \text{on } \{t = 0\} \times \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.10)$$

where N is the unit outer normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\Delta_g$  is the Laplacian of the metric  $g_{ij}$  induced on  $\partial\Omega(t)$  by the embedding  $\eta$ . Specifically, we have:

$$g_{ij} = \overline{\partial}_i \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}_j \eta_{\mu}, \ \Delta_g(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \overline{\partial}_i (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_j (\cdot)), \text{ where } g := \det(g_{ij}),$$
 (1.11)

where  $\overline{\partial} = (\overline{\partial}_1, \overline{\partial}_2)$  denotes the tangential derivative (with respect to  $\Gamma$ ).

Also, the initial data  $q_0$  is determined by  $v_0$  and  $b_0$  through the elliptic problem

$$-\Delta q_0 = (\partial v_0)(\partial v_0) - (\partial b_0)(\partial b_0), \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.12}$$

with the boundary condition

$$q_0 = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \tag{1.13}$$

To derive the boundary condition, since  $A = \mathbf{I}_3$  and  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  at t = 0, the fifth equation of (1.14) becomes

$$q_0 + \sigma \delta^{ij} \overline{\partial}_i \overline{\partial}_i \eta^3(0) = 0,$$

and because  $\overline{\partial}_i \overline{\partial}_j \eta^3(0) = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ , we have  $q_0 = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . In [27], the second and third authors proved the local a priori energy estimate of (1.14) for each fixed  $\sigma > 0$ . The local well-posedeness of this problem is established very recently in [12].

Under the above setting we are able to express the magnetic field b in terms of its initial data  $b_0$  and  $\eta$ . Specifically, by the second equation of (1.10) and the divergence-free condition on b, we get  $\partial_t (A^{\mu\alpha}b_{\mu}) = 0$  which implies  $A^{\mu\alpha}b_{\mu} = b_0^{\alpha}$  and thus  $b^{\alpha} = b_0^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\eta^{\alpha} = (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta^{\alpha}$ . See Gu-Wang [13, (1.13)-(1.15)] for the proof.

Let  $(\eta^{\sigma}, v^{\sigma}, q^{\sigma})$  be the solution of the  $\sigma > 0$  problem given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}\eta^{\sigma} = v^{\sigma} & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ \partial_{t}v^{\sigma} - (b_{0} \cdot \partial)^{2}\eta^{\sigma} + \nabla_{A(\eta^{\sigma})}q^{\sigma} = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ \text{div}_{A(\eta^{\sigma})}v^{\sigma} := A(\eta^{\sigma})^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}v^{\sigma}_{\nu} = 0, & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ \delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}(b_{0})_{\nu} = 0 & \text{in } \{0\} \times \Omega; \\ (v^{\sigma})^{3} = (b_{0})^{3} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{0}; \\ A(\eta^{\sigma})^{3\alpha}q^{\sigma} + \sigma(\sqrt{g^{\sigma}}\Delta_{g^{\sigma}}(\eta^{\sigma})^{\alpha}) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma; \\ b_{0}^{3} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (\eta, \nu) = (\text{Id}, v_{0}) & \text{on } \{t = 0\} \times \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.14)$$

Here,  $\nabla^{\alpha}_{A(\eta^{\sigma})} := A(\eta^{\sigma})^{\mu\alpha}\partial_{\mu}$  denotes the covariant derivative, and the induced metric  $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(\eta^{\sigma})$  is given in (1.11). Let  $(\zeta, w, r)$  be the solution of the  $\sigma = 0$  problem given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}\zeta = w & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ \partial_{t}w - (b_{0} \cdot \partial)^{2}\zeta + \nabla_{A(\zeta)}r = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ A(\zeta)^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}w_{\nu} = 0, & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ \delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}(b_{0})_{\nu} = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega; \\ w^{3} = b_{0}^{3} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{0}; \\ r = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma; \\ b_{0}^{3} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (\zeta, w) = (\text{Id}, v_{0}) & \text{on } \{t = 0\} \times \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.15)$$

The initial pressure  $r_0$  is determined by the elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta r_0 = (\partial v_0)(\partial v_0) - (\partial b_0)(\partial b_0), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
r_0 = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma.
\end{cases}$$
(1.16)

This yields that  $r_0 = q_0$ . Moreover, in order for (1.15) to be well-posed, we assume the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition

$$-\partial_3 r_0 \ge c_0 > 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma \tag{1.17}$$

holds for some constant  $c_0 > 0$ .

#### 1.2 The main result

The aim of this paper is to show that if  $q_0^{\sigma}$  verifies the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition, i.e.,

$$-\partial_3 q_0^{\sigma} \ge c_0 > 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \tag{1.18}$$

then the solution of the " $\sigma > 0$  problem" (1.14) converges to the solution of the " $\sigma = 0$  problem" (1.15) as  $\sigma \to 0$ . Specifically, we prove

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose the initial data  $(v_0, b_0, q_0)$  verifies:

- 1.  $v_0, b_0$  are divergence-free vector fields with  $v_0^3 = 0$  on  $\Gamma_0$  and  $v_0^3 = b_0^3 = 0$  on  $\Gamma \cup \Gamma_0$ .
- 2.  $v_0, b_0 \in H^{5.5}(\Omega), v_0 \in H^6(\Gamma)$ , and
- 3. the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition

$$-\partial_3 q_0 \ge c_0 > 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma \tag{1.19}$$

for all  $\sigma > 0$ .

Then there exists some T > 0 independent of  $\sigma$ , such that the solutions to (1.14) and (1.15) exists, and as  $\sigma \to 0$ , we have

$$(v^{\sigma}, (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta^{\sigma}, q^{\sigma}) \xrightarrow{C^1_{l,y}([0,T] \times \Omega)} (w, (b_0 \cdot \partial)\zeta, r). \tag{1.20}$$

We will drop the superscript  $\sigma$  on  $(\eta^{\sigma}, v^{\sigma}, q^{\sigma})$  in the rest of this paper and simply denote them by  $(\eta, v, q)$  when no confusion can be raised.

**Notation 1.2.** (The Sobolev norms) Let f = f(t, y) be a smooth function on  $[0, T] \times \Omega$  and g = g(t, y) be a smooth function on  $[0, T] \times \Gamma$ . We define  $||f||_s := ||f(t, \cdot)||_{H^s(\Omega)}$  and  $|g|_s := |g(t, \cdot)|_{H^s(\Gamma)}$  throughout the rest of this paper.

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following theorem where we establish an energy estimate of the  $\sigma > 0$  problem that is uniform as  $\sigma \to 0$  via the standard compactness argument, which is also proved in section 8.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $(v_0, b_0, q_0)$  be the initial data given in Theorem 1.1. Let

$$E(t) = E_1(t) + \sigma E_2(t), \tag{1.21}$$

with

$$E_1(t) := \|\eta\|_5^2 + \sum_{k=0}^5 \left( \|\partial_t^k v\|_{5-k}^2 + \|\partial_t^k (b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{5-k}^2 \right) + \left| \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0^2$$
 (1.22)

and

$$E_{2}(t) := \|\eta\|_{5.5}^{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{4} \left( \left\| \partial_{t}^{k} v \right\|_{5.5-k}^{2} + \left\| \partial_{t}^{k} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta \right\|_{5.5-k}^{2} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{5} \left| \overline{\partial}^{6-k} \partial_{t}^{k} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{0}^{2} + \left| \overline{\partial}^{5} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{0}^{2}, \tag{1.23}$$

where  $\hat{n}$  is the Eulerian unit normal vector. Then there exists a constant  $C = C_1 + C_2$  where  $C_1$  depending on  $c_0$ ,  $||v_0||_5$ ,  $||b_0||_5$  and  $|v_0||_{5.5}$ , and  $C_2$  depending on  $||\sqrt{\sigma}v_0||_{5.5}$ ,  $||\sqrt{\sigma}b_0||_{5.5}$  and  $||\sqrt{\sigma}v_0||_6$  such that

$$E(t) \le C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \tag{1.24}$$

holds uniformly for all  $\sigma$ . Furthermore,  $C_2 \to 0$  as  $\sigma \to 0$ .

**Remark.** The reason for us to require  $v_0$  to have higher regularity on the boundary than in the interior is that we need  $E(0) < \infty$ . For details we refer to our previous work [27, Section 7.1].

#### 1.3 Strategy of the proof

We would like to briefly introduce the main steps and important techinques that should be emphasized or highlighted.

**Necessity of time derivatives** In the analysis of the full Sobolev norms, the estimates of the total pressure q, which satisfies an elliptic equation, is needed. Due to the appearance of surface tension, we cannot equip the elliptic equation of q with Dirichlet boundary condition as in the case  $\sigma = 0$  [4, 13]. Instead, we should impose the Neumann boundary condition, which contains the time derivative of v and thus forces us to analyze all the time derivatives of the variables v and v analyze all the time derivatives of the variables v and v a

**Interior tangential estimates: Alinhac good unknowns and cancellation structures** The non-weighted full Sobolev norms are analyzed via div-curl analysis. The curl part can be controlled via the evolution equation of the Eulerian vorticity. The normal trace part in the div-curl decomposition should be reduced to the interior tangential estimates via Lemma 2.6. If the tangential derivatives contain at least one time derivatives, one may follow the ideas in our previous work [27, 12] to close the energy estimates and we refer to Section 6 for the proof.

However, when the tangential derivatives are purely spatial, we have to introduce the Alinhac good unknowns to proceed the energy estimates because we cannot directly commute  $\overline{\partial}^5$  with the covariant derivative  $\nabla_A$  falling on q or v. Instead, we rewrite the term  $\overline{\partial}^5(\nabla_A q)$  in terms of the covariant derivative of the Alinhac good unknowns plus a controllable "error" term. See section 5 for the proof. Such remarkable observation was first due to Alinhac [1]. In the study of free-surface fluid, it is first (implicitly) applied to the Q-tensor energy introduced by Christodoulou-Lindblad [4]. Here, following [13, Section 4], the Alinhac good unknowns of v and q with respect to  $\overline{\partial}^5$  are

$$\mathbf{V} := \overline{\partial}^5 v - \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \nabla_A v, \quad \mathbf{Q} := \overline{\partial}^5 q - \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \nabla_A q.$$

Under this setting, it sufficies to analyze the evolution equation of the good unknowns to derive the  $\overline{\partial}^5$ -estimates.

Compared with the " $\sigma=0$  problem", the boundary integral appearing in the tangential estimates, which reads  $J:=-\int_{\Gamma}A^{3\alpha}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{V}_{\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}S$ , becomes very difficult because the top order derivative of the pressure  $\overline{\partial}{}^5q$  no longer vanishes due to the presence of surface tension. In fact, it contributes to

$$-\int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} q \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} dS = -\int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} (A^{3\alpha} q) \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} dS + \int_{\Gamma} q (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} A^{3\alpha}) \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} dS + \cdots =: ST + J_{1} + \cdots,$$

and the remaining term reads

$$RT := - \int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \nabla_A q) \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

Due to the presence of  $(-\partial_3 q)$ , the term RT can be directly controlled by invoking the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition and a standard cancellation structure of the Alinhac good unknowns which relies on the simple identity  $\partial_t A^{3\alpha} = -A^{3\gamma} \partial_\mu \nu_\gamma A^{\mu\alpha}$  and also appears in the " $\sigma=0$  problem". This part contributes to the non-weighted boundary energy  $|\bar{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0^2$  that exactly controls the regularity of the second fundamental form of the free surface. The term ST contributes to the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted energy  $|\sqrt{\sigma}\bar{\partial}^6 \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0^2$  after plugging the surface tension equation  $A^{3\alpha}q = -\sigma \sqrt{g}\Delta_g \eta \cdot \hat{n}$  and integrating by parts, where the error terms can be either directly controlled or eliminated by using the structure of the good unknown V (e.g., (5.23)).

Finally,  $\overline{\partial}^5 A^{3\alpha}$  in  $J_1$  has the top order contribution  $\overline{\partial}^6 \eta \times \overline{\partial} \eta$  that cannot be directly controlled. To overcome this difficulty, we write  $A^{3\alpha} = (\overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta)^{\alpha}$  and use it to observe a crucial symmetric structure on the boundary, while the 2D version of this symmetric structure was developed in [11] and plays an important role in the local well-posedness of free-surface incompressible elastodynamics.

We illustrate the observation of symmetric structure briefly. By plugging  $A^{3\alpha} = (\overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta)^{\alpha}$  in  $J_1$ , the highest order terms are all of the form

$$J_{11} = -\int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^5 \overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^5 v \, dS,$$

which cannot be controlled directly. To resolve this, we re-express  $J_{11}$  as

$$J_{11} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} v \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} v) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} v) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \partial_{t} \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS$$

Although we don't have control for  $\mathfrak{A}$ , by the antisymmetric property of the vector identity  $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = -(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v}$ , we have

$$(\overline{\partial}{}^{5}v \times \overline{\partial}{}_{2}\eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}{}^{5}\overline{\partial}{}_{1}\eta = -(\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\overline{\partial}{}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}{}_{2}\eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}{}^{5}v,$$

and thus  $\mathfrak{A} = -J_{11}$ . This implies

$$J_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS + \dots$$

Nevertheless, the first term on the RHS does not have a positive sign. In consequence, we need to control it by the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted energy  $|\sqrt{\sigma}\vec{\partial}^6\eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0^2$ . To achieve this, we need to invoke the decomposition  $\delta^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{n}^\alpha\hat{n}^\beta + \tau^\alpha\tau^\beta = \hat{n}^\alpha\hat{n}^\beta + g^{ij}\overline{\partial}_i\eta_\alpha\overline{\partial}_j\eta_\beta$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the unit Eulerian tangential vector, and use the vector identity  $\mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w})\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{w}$  to obtain good cancellations of the error terms. We refer to section 5.2.3 for the details.

Necessity of weighted energy and the control via surface tension In the tangential estimates, especially in the boundary integrals, there are a lot of terms which have 5 derivatives weighted by the surface tension coefficients. Therefore, it is reasonable to include the weighted  $H^{5.5}$ -energy  $\sigma E_2(t)$  to control these boundary terms via the trace lemma. To control the weighted higher order energy  $\sigma E_2(t)$ , we again do the div-curl decomposition, while the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted normal traces, i.e., the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted Lagrangian normal projections, are no longer reduced by using Lemma 2.6. Instead, we notice that the boundary energies contributed by the surface tension in the *non-weighted tangential estimates* are exactly the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted Eulerian normal projections with the same order as those  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted normal traces. Therefore, it remains to control the gap between the Eulerian normal  $\hat{n}$  and the Lagrangian normal N, which is expected to be small due to the short time and  $\hat{n} = N$  at t = 0. Hence, the energy estimates for  $E(t) = E_1(t) + \sigma E_2(t)$  are closed. See section 7 for details.

## 2 The auxilliary results

In this section we record some well-known results that will be used frequently (and sometimes silently) throughout the rest of this manuscript.

#### 2.1 Geometric identities

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\hat{n}$  the unit outer normal to  $\eta(\Gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{N}$  be the tangential and normal bundle of  $\eta(\Gamma)$  respectively. Denote  $\Pi: \mathcal{T}|_{\eta(\Gamma)} \to \mathcal{N}$  to be the canonical normal projection. Denote  $\overline{\partial}_A$  be  $\partial_t$  or  $\overline{\partial}_1, \overline{\partial}_2$ . Then we have the identities

$$\hat{n} := n \circ \eta = \frac{a^T N}{|a^T N|},\tag{2.1}$$

$$|a^T N| = |(a^{31}, a^{32}, a^{33})| = \sqrt{g},$$
 (2.2)

$$\Pi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} = \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}_{\lambda} = \delta_{\lambda}^{\alpha} - g^{kl} \overline{\partial}_{k} \eta_{\alpha} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\lambda}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\Pi_{\lambda}^{\alpha} = \Pi_{\mu}^{\alpha} \Pi_{\lambda}^{\mu}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$-\Delta_{g}(\eta^{\alpha}|_{\Gamma}) = \mathcal{H} \circ \eta \hat{n}^{\alpha}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\sqrt{g}\Delta_g \eta^\alpha = \sqrt{g}g^{ij}\Pi^\alpha_\lambda \overline{\partial}_i \overline{\partial}_j \eta^\lambda = \sqrt{g}g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_i \overline{\partial}_j \eta^\alpha - \sqrt{g}g^{ij}g^{kl} \overline{\partial}_k \eta^\alpha \overline{\partial}_l \eta^\mu \overline{\partial}_i \overline{\partial}_j \eta_\mu, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\overline{\partial}_{A}(\sqrt{g}\Delta_{g}\eta^{\alpha}) = \overline{\partial}_{i}\left(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\Pi_{\lambda}^{\alpha}\overline{\partial}_{A}\overline{\partial}_{j}\eta^{\lambda} + \sqrt{g}(g^{ij}g^{kl} - g^{ik}g^{lj})\overline{\partial}_{j}\eta^{\alpha}\overline{\partial}_{k}\eta_{\lambda}\overline{\partial}_{A}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta^{\lambda}\right), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\overline{\partial}_A \hat{n}_\mu = -g^{kl} \overline{\partial}_k \overline{\partial}_A \eta^\tau \hat{n}_\tau \overline{\partial}_l \eta_\mu, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\partial_t(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}) = \sqrt{g}(g^{ij}g^{kl} - 2g^{lj}g^{ik})\overline{\partial}_k v^{\lambda}\overline{\partial}_l \eta_{\lambda}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\overline{\partial}(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}) = \sqrt{g}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{kl} - g^{ik}g^{jl}\right)(\underline{\overline{\partial}}_{k}\eta^{\mu}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta_{\mu} + \overline{\partial}_{k}\eta^{\mu}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta_{\mu}). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. See Disconzi-Kukavica [6, Lemma 2.5].

**Notation 2.2.** We shall use the notation  $Q(\partial \eta)$  and  $Q(\overline{\partial} \eta)$  to denote the rational functions of  $\partial \eta$  and  $\overline{\partial} \eta$ , respectively.

This Q notation allows us to record error terms in a concise way. For example, for any tangential derivative  $\overline{\partial}_A$ , we have  $\overline{\partial}_A Q(\overline{\partial}\eta) = \widetilde{Q}_\alpha^i(\overline{\partial}\eta)\overline{\partial}_A\overline{\partial}_i\eta^\alpha$  where the term  $\widetilde{Q}_\alpha^i(\overline{\partial}\eta)$  is also a rational function of  $\overline{\partial}\eta$ . Also, recall that  $g_{ij} = \overline{\partial}_i\eta_\mu\overline{\partial}_j\eta^\mu$  and  $g = \det[g_{ij}]$  and  $[g^{ij}] = [g_{ij}]^{-1}$ . This means that  $g_{ij}$ , g and  $g^{ij}$  are rational functions of  $\overline{\partial}\eta$  and so is  $\Pi$ .

The following lemma will be employed frequently in the rest of this paper.

**Lemma 2.3** (A priori assumptions). Assume that  $||\eta||_5$ ,  $||v||_5$ ,  $||\sqrt{\sigma}\eta||_{5.5}$ ,  $||\sqrt{\sigma}v||_{5.5} \le N_0$  for some  $N_0 \ge 1$ . If  $T \le \varepsilon/P(N_0)$  for some fixed polynomial P and  $\eta$ , v is defined on [0, T], then the following inequality holds for  $t \in [0, T]$ :

$$||A^{\mu\nu}(t) - \delta^{\mu\nu}||_4 \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad ||A^{\mu\alpha}(t)A^{\nu}_{\alpha}(t) - \delta^{\mu\nu}||_4 \lesssim \varepsilon, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\|\sqrt{\sigma}(A^{\mu\nu}(t) - \delta^{\mu\nu})\|_{4.5} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad \|\sqrt{\sigma}(A^{\mu\alpha}(t)A^{\nu}_{\alpha}(t) - \delta^{\mu\nu})\|_{4.5} \lesssim \varepsilon, \tag{2.12}$$

$$|\sqrt{g}g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}|_{L^{\infty}} \le \varepsilon. \tag{2.13}$$

*Proof.* Since  $A^{\mu\nu}(0) = A^{\mu\alpha}(0)A^{\nu}(0) = \delta^{\mu\nu}$  and invoking the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$||A^{\mu\nu}(t) - \delta^{\mu\nu}||_4 \lesssim \int_0^t ||\partial_t A^{\mu\nu}||,$$

and

$$||A^{\mu\alpha}(t)A^{\nu}_{\alpha}(t)-\delta^{\mu\nu}||_{4}=\int_{0}^{t}||\partial_{t}(A^{\mu\alpha}A^{\nu}_{\alpha})||_{4},$$

and so (2.11) follows because  $t \le T \le \varepsilon/P(N_0)$ . The inequalities are proved similarly.

#### 2.2 Sobolev inequalities

First we list the Kato-Ponce inequality and its corollary which will be used in nonlinear product estimates.

**Lemma 2.4** (**Kato-Ponce type inequalities**). Let  $J = (I - \Delta)^{1/2}$ ,  $s \ge 0$ . Let f, g be smooth functions. Then the following estimates hold:

(1)  $\forall s \ge 0$ , we have

$$||J^{s}(fg)||_{L^{2}} \lesssim ||f||_{W^{s,p_{1}}} ||g||_{L^{p_{2}}} + ||f||_{L^{q_{1}}} ||g||_{W^{s,q_{2}}},$$

$$||\partial^{s}(fg)||_{L^{2}} \lesssim ||f||_{\dot{W}^{s,p_{1}}} ||g||_{L^{p_{2}}} + ||f||_{L^{q_{1}}} ||g||_{\dot{W}^{s,q_{2}}},$$
(2.14)

with  $1/2 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$  and  $2 \le p_1, q_2 < \infty$ ;

(2)  $\forall s \ge 1$ , we have

$$||J^{s}(fg) - (J^{s}f)g - f(J^{s}g)||_{L^{p}} \lesssim ||f||_{W^{1,p_{1}}} ||g||_{W^{s-1,q_{2}}} + ||f||_{W^{s-1,q_{1}}} ||g||_{W^{1,q_{2}}}$$

$$(2.15)$$

for all the  $1 with <math>1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2 = 1/p$ .

The inequalities listed in the following corollary shall come in handy when estimating products in fractional Sobolev spaces. All of them are direct consequences of (2.14).

Corollary 2.5. Let f, g be given as above. We have

$$||fg||_{0.5} \lesssim ||f||_{0.5}||g||_{1.5+\delta},$$
 (2.16)

$$||fg||_{s} \lesssim ||f||_{s}||g||_{1.5+\delta} + ||f||_{1.5+\delta}||g||_{s}, \quad s \ge 1.5.$$
 (2.17)

*Proof.* (2.16) follows from setting s=0.5,  $p_1=2$ ,  $p_2=\infty$ , and  $q_1=q_2=4$  in the first inequality of (2.14). (2.17) follows from setting  $p_1=2$ ,  $p_2=\infty$ ,  $q_1=\infty$  and  $q_2=2$  in the second inequality of (2.14).

**Lemma 2.6** (Normal trace lemma). Let *X* be a smooth vector field. Then

$$\left| \overline{\partial} X \cdot N \right|_{-0.5} \lesssim \left| \left| \overline{\partial} X \right| \right|_{0} + \left| \left| \operatorname{div} X \right| \right|_{0}$$
 (2.18)

*Proof.* This can be proved by testing a  $H^{0.5}(\Gamma)$  function and divergence theorem. See [13, Lemma 3.4].

#### 2.3 Elliptic estimates

We illustrate the Hodge-type div-curl estimate, which will be adapted to study the full Sobolev norms of v and  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta$ .

**Lemma 2.7** (The Hodge-type elliptic estimate). Let X be a smooth vector field and  $s \ge 1$ , then it holds that

$$||X||_{s} \lesssim ||X||_{0} + ||\operatorname{div} X||_{s-1} + ||\operatorname{curl} X||_{s-1} + |\overline{\partial} X \cdot N|_{s-1.5}.$$
 (2.19)

*Proof.* This follows from the well-known identity  $-\Delta X = \text{curl curl } X - \nabla \text{div } X$  and integrating by parts.

Finally, the following  $H^1$ -elliptic estimates will be applied to control  $\|\partial_t^3 q\|_1$ . Its proof can be found in [18].

**Lemma 2.8** (Low regularity elliptic estimates). Assume  $\mathfrak{B}^{\mu\nu}$  satisfies  $\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq K$  and the ellipticity  $\mathfrak{B}^{\mu\nu}(x)\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} \geq \frac{1}{K}|\xi|^2$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Assume W to be an  $H^1$  solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\nu}(\mathfrak{B}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}W) = \operatorname{div}\pi & \text{in }\Omega\\ \mathfrak{B}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}WN_{\mu} = h & \text{on }\partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (2.20)

where  $\pi$ , div  $\pi \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $h \in H^{-0.5}(\partial \Omega)$  with the compatibility condition

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\pi \cdot N - h) dS = 0.$$

If  $\|\mathfrak{B} - I\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \varepsilon_0$  which is a sufficently small constant depending on K, then we have:

$$||W - \overline{W}||_1 \le ||\pi||_0 + |h - \pi \cdot N|_{-0.5}, \text{ where } \overline{W} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} W dy,$$
 (2.21)

## 3 Elliptic estimates for the pressure and its time derivatives

In this section we control the pressure q and its time derivatives. The estimates presented in this section are essentially the same as the ones in [12, Section 3]. Our conclusion is

**Proposition 3.1.** The total pressure q satisfies

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} \|\partial_t^k q\|_{5-k}^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{3} \|\sqrt{\sigma}\partial_t^k q\|_{5.5-k}^2 \le P(E(t)). \tag{3.1}$$

## **3.1** Control of $||q||_{5.5}^2$ and $\sigma ||q||_{5.5}^2$

Due to the presence of the surface tension, we need to consider the elliptic equation verified by q equipped with the Neumann boundary condition. We henceforth let  $\Delta_A q := \operatorname{div}_A(\nabla_A q) = A^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\mu(A^\nu_\alpha\partial_\nu q)$ . Taking  $\operatorname{div}_A$  in the second equation of (1.14) and invoking the identity  $\operatorname{div}_A \nu = \operatorname{div}_A(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta = 0$ , we obtain

$$-\Delta_A q = -(\partial_t A^{\mu\alpha})\partial_\mu \nu_\alpha - ((b_0 \cdot \partial)A^{\mu\alpha})\partial_\mu (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta_\alpha + A^{\mu\alpha}(\partial_\mu b_0 \cdot \partial)(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta_\alpha,$$

and thus

$$-\Delta q = -\partial_{\mu} \left( (\delta^{\mu\nu} - A^{\mu\alpha} A^{\nu}_{\alpha}) \partial_{\nu} q \right) - (\partial_{t} A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} \nu_{\alpha} - ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta_{\alpha} + A^{\mu\alpha} (\partial_{\mu} b_{0} \cdot \partial) (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta_{\alpha}. \tag{3.2}$$

The Neumann boundary condition is derived by contracting  $A^{\mu\alpha}N_{\mu} = A^{3\alpha}$  with the second equation of (1.14). This leads to

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial N} = (\delta^{3\mu} - A^{3\alpha}A^{\mu}_{\alpha})\partial_{\mu}q - A^{3\alpha}\partial_{t}v_{\alpha} + A^{3\alpha}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)^{2}\eta_{\alpha}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$
(3.3)

Furthermore, since  $A^{31} = A^{32} = 0$ ,  $A^{33} = 1$ ,  $v_3 = 0$ , and  $b_0^3 = 0$  on  $\Gamma_0$ , (3.3) implies that  $\frac{\partial q}{\partial N} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_0$ . First, we estimate  $||q||_5^2$ . By the standard elliptic estimate, we have

$$||q||_5^2 \lesssim ||\text{RHS of } (3.2)||_3^2 + |\text{RHS of } (3.3)|_{3.5}^2 + |q|_0^2.$$
 (3.4)

Invoking (2.11) in Lemma 2.3, the standard product estimates imply

$$\|\text{RHS of } (3.2)\|_{3}^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|q\|_{5}^{2} + P(\|b_{0}\|_{4}, \|\eta\|_{4}, \|v\|_{4}, \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4}). \tag{3.5}$$

and by the trace lemma,

$$|\text{RHS of } (3.3)|_{3.5}^2 \le ||\text{RHS of } (3.3)||_4^2 \lesssim \varepsilon ||q||_5^2 + P(||b_0||_4, ||\eta||_5, ||(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta||_5, ||\partial_t v||_4). \tag{3.6}$$

Finally, since (2.1) implies  $\hat{n} = A^{3\alpha} / \sqrt{g}$ , the 6th equation in (1.14) becomes

$$q = -\sigma \Delta_g \eta \cdot \hat{n}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \tag{3.7}$$

and thus

$$||q||_0^2 \le P(||\sqrt{\sigma}\eta||_{5.5}, ||\eta||_5). \tag{3.8}$$

Second, we estimate the  $\sigma$ -weighted term  $\sigma ||q||_{5.5}^2$ . Again, the standard elliptic estimate implies

$$\sigma ||q||_{5.5}^2 \lesssim ||\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.2)||_{3.5}^2 + |\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.3)|_4^2 + |\sqrt{\sigma}q|_0^2. \tag{3.9}$$

However, we need to make sure that the top order terms on the RHS appear linearly so that they can be controlled in the  $\sigma$ -weighted norms. This is indeed the case thanks to the product estimate: Invoking (2.17) with s = 3.5, and both (2.11) and (2.12) in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.2)\|_{3.5}^2 \lesssim \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\sigma}q\|_{5.5}^2 + \varepsilon \|q\|_5^2 + P(\|b_0\|_{4.5}, \|\eta\|_{4.5}, \|\nu\|_{4.5}, \|(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4.5}). \tag{3.10}$$

Furthermore, invoking (2.17) with s = 4.5, then

$$|\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.3)|_4^2 \le ||\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.3)||_{4.5}^2$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\sigma}q\|_{5.5}^2 + \varepsilon \|q\|_5^2 + P(\|b_0\|_{4.5}, \|\eta\|_{4.5}, \|(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4.5}, \|\partial_t v\|_{3.5}, \|\sqrt{\sigma}\eta\|_{5.5}, \|\sqrt{\sigma}(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{5.5}, \|\sqrt{\sigma}\partial_t v\|_{4.5}). \tag{3.11}$$

Summing these up, we have

$$||q||_{5}^{2} + ||\sqrt{\sigma}q||_{5.5}^{2} \le P(E(t)).$$
 (3.12)

## **3.2** Control of $\|\partial_t^k q\|_{5-k}^2$ and $\sigma \|\partial_t^k q\|_{5.5-k}^2$ for k = 1, 2, 3

In this subsection we derive the estimates for the time derivatives of q. To control  $\|\partial_t q\|_4^2$  and  $\sigma \|\partial_t q\|_{4.5}^2$ , we consider the time differentiated (3.2) and (3.3), i.e.,

$$-\Delta q_{t} = -\partial_{\mu} \left( \partial_{t} (\delta^{\mu\nu} - A^{\mu\alpha} A^{\nu}_{\alpha}) \partial_{\nu} q \right) - \partial_{\mu} \left( (\delta^{\mu\nu} - A^{\mu\alpha} A^{\nu}_{\alpha}) \partial_{\nu} q_{t} \right) - (\partial_{t}^{2} A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha} - (\partial_{t} A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} \partial_{t} v_{\alpha}$$

$$- \left( (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial_{t} A^{\mu\alpha} \right) \partial_{\mu} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta_{\alpha} - \left( (b_{0} \cdot \partial) A^{\mu\alpha} \right) \partial_{\mu} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) v_{\alpha}$$

$$+ \left( \partial_{t} A^{\mu\alpha} \right) (\partial_{\mu} b_{0} \cdot \partial) (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta_{\alpha} + A^{\mu\alpha} (\partial_{\mu} b_{0} \cdot \partial) (b_{0} \cdot \partial) v_{\alpha},$$

$$(3.13)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial q_t}{\partial N} = (\delta^{3\mu} - A^{3\alpha}A^{\mu}_{\alpha})\partial_{\mu}q_t + \partial_t(\delta^{3\mu} - A^{3\alpha}A^{\mu}_{\alpha})\partial_{\mu}q - (\partial_t A^{3\alpha})\partial_t v_{\alpha} - A^{3\alpha}\partial_t^2 v_{\alpha} 
+ (\partial_t A^{3\alpha})(b_0 \cdot \partial)^2 \eta_{\alpha} + A^{3\alpha}(b_0 \cdot \partial)^2 v_{\alpha}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$
(3.14)

By the standard elliptic estimate, we have

$$||q_t||_4^2 \lesssim ||\text{RHS of } (3.13)||_2^2 + |\text{RHS of } (3.14)|_{2.5}^2 + |q_t|_0^2,$$
 (3.15)

$$\sigma \|q_t\|_{4.5}^2 \lesssim \|\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.13)\|_{2.5}^2 + |\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.14)|_3^2 + |\sqrt{\sigma}q_t|_0^2.$$
 (3.16)

Here, the standard product estimates together with (2.11) imply

$$\|\text{RHS of } (3.13)\|_{2}^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|q_{t}\|_{4}^{2} + P(\|q\|_{4}, \|b_{0}\|_{3}, \|\eta\|_{4}, \|v\|_{4}, \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4}, \|\partial_{t}v\|_{3}, \|\partial_{t}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{3}), \tag{3.17}$$

$$|RHS \text{ of } (3.14)|_{2.5}^2 \lesssim ||RHS \text{ of } (3.14)||_3^2$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon \|q_t\|_4^2 + P(\|q\|_4, \|b_0\|_3, \|\eta\|_4, \|v\|_4, \|(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_4, \|\partial_t v\|_3, \|\partial_t (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_4, \|\partial_t^2 v\|_3). \tag{3.18}$$

Also, for the  $\sigma$ -weighted quantities, by invoking (2.11)-(2.12) as well as (2.17) with s = 2.5 and 3.5, respectively, we get

$$\|\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.13)\|_{2.5}^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\sigma}q_{t}\|_{4.5}^{2} + \varepsilon \|q_{t}\|_{4}^{2} + P(\|q\|_{4.5}, \|b_{0}\|_{3.5}, \|\eta\|_{4.5}, \|v\|_{4.5}, \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4.5}, \|\partial_{t}v\|_{3.5}, \|\partial_{t}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{3.5}),$$

$$(3.19)$$

$$|\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.14)|_{3.5}^2 \lesssim ||\sqrt{\sigma} \cdot \text{RHS of } (3.14)||_{3.5}^2$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\sigma}q_{t}\|_{4.5}^{2} + \varepsilon \|q_{t}\|_{4}^{2} + P(\|q\|_{4.5}, \|b_{0}\|_{3.5}, \|\eta\|_{4.5}, \|v\|_{4.5}, \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4.5}, \|\partial_{t}v\|_{3.5}, \|\partial_{t}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4.5}, \|\sqrt{\sigma}\partial_{t}^{2}v\|_{3.5}). \tag{3.20}$$

Finally, to control  $|q_t|_0$ , we invoke

$$q_t = -\sigma \partial_t (\Delta_g \eta \cdot \hat{n}), \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$
 (3.21)

and (2.8) with  $\overline{\partial}_A = \partial_t$  to obtain

$$||q_t||_0^2 \le P(||\sigma\eta||_{5.5}, ||\sigma\nu||_{5.5}, ||\eta||_5, ||\nu||_5). \tag{3.22}$$

Thus,

$$||q_t||_4^2 + \sigma ||q_t||_{4.5}^2 \lesssim P(E(t)). \tag{3.23}$$

Moreover,  $\|\partial_t^k q\|_{5-k}^2$  and  $\sigma \|\partial_t^k q\|_{5.5-k}^2$  for k=2,3 are estimated by adapting parallel arguments and so we omit the details.

### 3.3 Control of $\|\partial_t^4 q\|_1$ : Low regularity elliptic estimate

We will also need the estimate of  $\|\partial_t^4 q\|_1$  to control the full time derivatives in section 6.1. Due to the low regularity, we have to use Lemma 2.8. The proof is similar to our previous work [12, (3.47)-(3.53)]. First we should write the  $\partial_t^4$ -differentiated elliptic equation into the divergence form

$$\partial_{\nu}(A^{\nu\alpha}A^{\mu\alpha}\partial_{t}^{4}\partial_{\mu}q) = \partial_{\nu}\left(\left[A^{\nu\alpha}A^{\mu\alpha},\partial_{t}^{4}\right]\partial_{\mu}q\right) + \partial_{\nu}\partial_{t}^{4}\left(A^{\nu\alpha}(\partial_{t}\nu - (b_{0}\cdot\partial)^{2}\eta)_{\alpha}\right),\tag{3.24}$$

with the boundary condition

$$A^{3\alpha}A^{\mu\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{t}^{4}q = \left[A^{3\alpha}A^{\mu\alpha},\partial_{t}^{4}\right]\partial_{\mu}q + \partial_{t}^{4}\left(A^{3\alpha}(\partial_{t}v - (b_{0}\cdot\partial)^{2}\eta)_{\alpha}\right), \text{ on } \Gamma.$$
(3.25)

Now if we set

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\nu\mu} := A^{\nu\alpha}A^{\mu\alpha}, \quad h := \text{RHS of } (3.25)$$

and

$$\pi^{\nu} := \left[ A^{\nu\alpha} A^{\mu\alpha}, \partial_t^4 \right] \partial_{\mu} q + \partial_t^4 \left( A^{\nu\alpha} (\partial_t \nu - (b_0 \cdot \partial)^2 \eta)_{\alpha} \right)$$

then the elliptic system (3.24)-(3.25) is exactly of the form (2.20). The smallness of  $\|\mathfrak{B} - \mathbf{I}_3\|_{L^{\infty}}$  follows from the a priori assumption (cf. Lemma 2.3). It is also straightforward to see that  $\pi$ , div  $\pi \in L^2$ , i.e.,

$$\|\pi\|_0 + \|\operatorname{div} \pi\|_0 \lesssim P(E_1(t)).$$
 (3.26)

and

$$h - \pi \cdot N = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Then by Lemma 2.8 and using the estimates of  $\partial_t^k q$   $(k \le 3)$  we have

$$\left\| \partial_t^4 q - \overline{\partial_t^4 q} \right\|_1 \lesssim \|\pi\|_0 \lesssim P(E_1(t)). \tag{3.28}$$

Lastly, we need to control the  $H^1$ -norm of  $\overline{\partial_t^4 q}$  by  $\mathcal{P}$ .

$$\overline{\partial_t^4 q} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^4 q \, dy = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^4 q \overline{\partial_1} y_1 \, dy = -\frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} y_1 \overline{\partial_1} \partial_t^4 q \\
\leq C(\text{vol}(\Omega)) \|\overline{\partial} \partial_t^4 q\|_0 \|y_1\|_0 = C(\text{vol}(\Omega)) \|\overline{\partial} (\partial_t^4 q - \overline{\partial_t^4 q})\|_0 \|y_1\|_0 \leq C(\text{vol}(\Omega)) \|\partial_t^4 q - \overline{\partial_t^4 q}\|_1.$$
(3.29)

This concludes the control of  $\|\partial_t^4 q\|_1$ , and we have

$$\|\partial_t^4 q\|_1 \lesssim P(E_1(t)).$$
 (3.30)

## 4 Estimates for the non-weighted full Sobolev norms

We study the estimates for v,  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta$  and their time derivatives in full Sobolev spaces. More precisely, we need to estimate

$$\|\partial_t^k v\|_{5-k}^2$$
,  $\|\partial_t^k (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{5-k}^2$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

We will adapt the Hodge-type elliptic div-curl estimate (2.19) to the quantities above. Specifically, we will replace X by  $\partial_t^k v$  and  $\partial_t^k (b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta$ , as well as their  $\sigma$ -weighted versions, in application.

#### 4.1 Divergence estimates

First, we treat

$$\|\operatorname{div} \partial_t^k v\|_{4-k}^2$$
,  $\|\operatorname{div} \partial_t^k (b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{4-k}^2$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, 4$ .

We recall that  $\operatorname{div}_A X := A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{\mu} X_{\alpha}$ . When k = 0, since  $\operatorname{div}_A v = 0$  and  $\operatorname{div}_A (b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta = 0$ , we have

$$\operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{div}_{A} v + (\delta^{\mu\alpha} - A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha} = (\delta^{\mu\alpha} - A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha},$$

$$\operatorname{div} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) n = (\delta^{\mu\alpha} - A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\nu} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) n_{\alpha}.$$
(4.1)

So, by invoking (2.11) and (2.12) in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|\operatorname{div} v\|_{4}^{2} + \|\operatorname{div} (b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4}^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon(\|v\|_{5}^{2} + \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{5}^{2}). \tag{4.2}$$

When k = 1, we differentiate (4.1) with respect to time and get

$$\operatorname{div} v_{t} = \partial_{t} (\delta^{\mu\alpha} - A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha} + (\delta^{\mu\alpha} - A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} \partial_{t} v_{\alpha},$$

$$\operatorname{div} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta_{t} = \partial_{t} (\delta^{\mu\alpha} - A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta_{\alpha} + (\delta^{\mu\alpha} - A^{\mu\alpha}) \partial_{\mu} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial_{t} \eta_{\alpha}.$$

$$(4.3)$$

Thus, in light of the Sobolev product estimate (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\operatorname{div} v_{t}\|_{3}^{2} + \|\operatorname{div} (b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta_{t}\|_{3}^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon(\|v_{t}\|_{4}^{2} + \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta_{t}\|_{4}^{2}) + P(\|\eta\|_{4}, \|v\|_{4}) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon(\|v_{t}\|_{4}^{2} + \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta_{t}\|_{4}^{2}) + P(E_{1}(0)) + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The estimates for k = 2, 3, 4 are similar and so we omit the details. In the end, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} \left( \|\operatorname{div} \partial_{t}^{k} v\|_{4-k}^{2} + \|\operatorname{div} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial_{t}^{k} \eta\|_{4-k}^{2} \right) \lesssim \varepsilon E_{1}(t) + P(E_{1}(0)) + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)). \tag{4.5}$$

#### 4.2 Curl estimates

In this part we aim to control  $\|\text{curl }\partial_t^k v\|_{4-k}$  and  $\|\text{curl }\partial_t^k (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4-k}$  for  $0 \le k \le 4$ . Define  $(\text{curl }_A X)_\lambda := \epsilon_{\lambda\tau\alpha}A^{\mu\tau}\partial_\mu X^\alpha$ . Similar to the estimates for the divergence, it suffices to study the estimates for  $\text{curl }_A\partial_t^k v$  and  $\text{curl }_A\partial_t^k (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta$  instead. Indeed, thank to (2.11)-(2.12), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} \left( \|\operatorname{curl} \, \partial_{t}^{k} v\|_{4-k}^{2} + \|\operatorname{curl} \, (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial_{t}^{k} \eta\|_{4-k}^{2} \right) \\
\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{4} \left( \|\operatorname{curl} \,_{A} \partial_{t}^{k} v\|_{4-k}^{2} + \|\operatorname{curl} \,_{A} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial_{t}^{k} \eta\|_{4-k}^{2} \right) + \varepsilon E_{1}(t) + P(E_{1}(0)) + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)). \tag{4.6}$$

Applying curl  $_A$  to the second equation of (1.14), we get

$$\partial_t(\operatorname{curl}_A v) - (b_0 \cdot \partial) \left(\operatorname{curl}_A ((b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta)\right) = \operatorname{curl}_{\partial_t A} v + [\operatorname{curl}_A ((b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta)(b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta] := \mathcal{F}, \tag{4.7}$$

where  $(\text{curl }_{\partial_t A} v)_{\lambda} := \epsilon_{\lambda \tau \alpha} \partial_t A^{\mu \tau} \partial_{\mu} X^{\alpha}$ . Now, when k = 0, by taking  $\partial^4$  to (4.7), testing it with  $\partial^4$  curl  $_A v$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v|^{2} - \int_{\Omega} (\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v) \left( (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial^{4} \left( \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) \right) \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( [\partial^{4}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)] \operatorname{curl}_{A} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta + \partial^{4} \mathcal{F} \right) (\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v). \tag{4.8}$$

Since  $b_0^3 = 0$ , we integrate  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)$  by parts in the second term on the LHS:

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v) \left( (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial^{4} \left( \operatorname{curl}_{A} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta \right) \right) = \int_{\Omega} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) (\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v) \partial^{4} \left( \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) v) \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) - \int_{\Omega} ([\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)] v) \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta). \tag{4.9}$$

Here,  $\int_{\Omega} \partial^4 \text{curl }_A((b_0 \cdot \partial)v) \partial^4 \text{curl }_A((b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta)$  contributes to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A}((b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta)|^{2} - \int_{\Omega} \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{\partial_{t} A}((b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A}((b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta). \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, (4.8) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v|^{2} + \int_{\Omega} |\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)|^{2} \right) 
= \int_{\Omega} \left( [\partial^{4}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)] \operatorname{curl}_{A} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta + \partial^{4} \mathcal{F} \right) (\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v) 
+ \int_{\Omega} ([\partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)] v) \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) + \int_{\Omega} \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{\partial_{t} A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) \partial^{4} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta).$$
(4.11)

Now, because

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{4}^{2} \leq \|\operatorname{curl}_{\partial_{t}A}v\|_{4}^{2} + \|[\operatorname{curl}_{A}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)](b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4}^{2} \leq P(\|b_{0}\|_{5}, \|\eta\|_{5}, \|v\|_{5}, \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{5}),$$

it is not hard to see that the terms on the RHS of (4.11) can be controlled by P(E(t)). Therefore,

$$\|\operatorname{curl}_{A} v\|_{4}^{2} + \|\operatorname{curl}_{A} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{4}^{2} \lesssim \int_{0}^{T} P(E(t)).$$
 (4.12)

The estimates for the cases when k=1,2,3,4 follow from a parallel argument: By taking  $\partial^{4-k}\partial_t^k$  (or  $\partial^{4.5-k}\partial_t^k$ ) to (4.7), testing it with  $\partial^{4-k}\partial_t^k \text{curl }_A v$ , the energy estimate then yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} \left( \|\operatorname{curl}_{A} \partial_{t}^{k} v\|_{4-k}^{2} + \|\operatorname{curl}_{A} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial_{t}^{k} \eta\|_{4-k}^{2} \right) \lesssim \int_{0}^{T} P(E(t)). \tag{4.13}$$

Finally, in view of (4.6), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} \left( \|\operatorname{curl} \, \partial_t^k v\|_{4-k}^2 + \|\operatorname{curl} \, (b_0 \cdot \partial) \partial_t^k \eta\|_{4-k}^2 \right) \lesssim \varepsilon E_1(t) + P(E_1(0)) + \int_0^T P(E_1(t)). \tag{4.14}$$

#### 4.3 Control of the boundary term

Finally, we need to treat

$$|\overline{\partial}\partial_t^k v^3|_{3.5-k}^2$$
,  $|\overline{\partial}\partial_t^k (b_0\cdot\partial)\eta^3|_{3.5-k}^2$ 

which correspond to the last term in (2.19). For these non-weighted terms, it suffices to control

$$|\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k v^3|_{-0.5}^2, \quad |\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k (b_0\cdot\partial)\eta^3|_{-0.5}^2.$$

We invoke Lemma (2.6) to obtain

$$|\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k v^3|_{-0.5}^2 \lesssim ||\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k v||_0^2 + ||\operatorname{div}\partial_t^k v||_{4-k}^2, \tag{4.15}$$

$$|\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k(b_0\cdot\partial)\eta^3|_{-0.5}^2 \lesssim ||\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k(b_0\cdot\partial)\eta||_0^2 + ||\operatorname{div}\partial_t^k(b_0\cdot\partial)\eta||_{4-k}^2. \tag{4.16}$$

Here, the second term on the RHS of (4.15) and (4.16) has been treated in Section 4.1, while the first term corresponds to the tangential energy that will be studied in the upcoming sections.

### 5 Tangential estimate of spatial derivatives

By Lemma 2.6, we already reduce the control of boundary norms to the interior tangential estimate. In this section, we would like to do the  $\overline{\partial}^5$ -estimates for v and  $b = (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta$ , i.e., the control of tangential spatial derivatives.

### 5.1 Interior estimates: Alinhac good unknown

However, we cannot directly commute  $\overline{\partial}^5$  with the covariant derivative  $\nabla_A$  because the commutator contains  $\overline{\partial}^5 A = \overline{\partial}^5 \partial \eta \times \partial \eta$  whose  $L^2$  norm cannot be controlled. The reason is that the essential highest order term in  $\overline{\partial}^5(\nabla_A f)$ , i.e., the standard derivatives of a covariant derivative, is actually the covariant derivative of Alinhac good unknown  $\mathbf{f} := \overline{\partial}^5 f - \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \nabla_A f$  instead of the term produced by simply commuting  $\overline{\partial}^5$  with  $\nabla_A$ . Specifically,

$$\begin{split} \overline{\partial}^{5}(\nabla_{A}^{\alpha}f) &= \nabla_{A}^{\alpha}(\overline{\partial}^{5}f) + (\overline{\partial}^{5}A^{\mu\alpha})\partial_{\mu}f + [\overline{\partial}^{5},A^{\mu\alpha},\partial_{\mu}f] \\ &= \nabla_{A}^{\alpha}(\overline{\partial}^{5}f) - \overline{\partial}^{4}(A^{\mu\gamma}\overline{\partial}\partial_{\beta}\eta_{\gamma}A^{\beta\alpha})\partial_{\mu}f + [\overline{\partial}^{5},A^{\mu\alpha},\partial_{\mu}f] \\ &= \nabla_{A}^{\alpha}(\overline{\partial}^{5}f) - A^{\beta\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta_{\gamma}A^{\mu\gamma}\partial_{\mu}f - ([\overline{\partial}^{4},A^{\mu\gamma}A^{\beta\alpha}]\overline{\partial}\partial_{\beta}\eta_{\gamma})\partial_{\mu}f + [\overline{\partial}^{5},A^{\mu\alpha},\partial_{\mu}f] \\ &= \underbrace{\nabla_{A}^{\alpha}(\overline{\partial}^{5}f - \overline{\partial}^{5}\eta_{\gamma}A^{\mu\gamma}\partial_{\mu}f)}_{= \nabla_{A}^{\alpha}\mathbf{f}} + \underbrace{\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta_{\gamma}\nabla_{A}^{\alpha}(\nabla_{A}^{\gamma}g) - ([\overline{\partial}^{4},A^{\mu\gamma}A^{\beta\alpha}]\overline{\partial}\partial_{\beta}\eta_{\gamma})\partial_{\mu}f + [\overline{\partial}^{5},A^{\mu\alpha},\partial_{\mu}f]}_{=:C^{\alpha}(f)}, \end{split}$$

We introduce the Alinhac good unknowns of v and q with respect to  $\overline{\partial}^5$  by

$$\mathbf{V} := \overline{\partial}^5 \mathbf{v} - \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \nabla_A \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{Q} := \overline{\partial}^5 q - \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \nabla_A q. \tag{5.1}$$

Then direct computation (e.g., see [13, Section 4.2.4]) shows that the good unknowns enjoy the following properties

$$\overline{\partial}^{5} \underbrace{(\nabla_{A} \cdot v)}_{=0} = \nabla_{A} \cdot \mathbf{V} + C(v), \quad \overline{\partial}^{5} (\nabla_{A} q) = \nabla_{A} \mathbf{Q} + C(q)$$

$$(5.2)$$

and

$$||C(f)||_0 \le P(||\eta||_5)||f||_5. \tag{5.3}$$

Under this setting, we take  $\overline{\partial}^5$  in the second equation of (1.14) and invoke (5.1) to get the evolution equation of the Alinhac good unknowns

$$\partial_{t}\mathbf{V} = -\nabla_{A}\mathbf{Q} + (b_{0} \cdot \partial)(\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) + \underbrace{\partial_{t}(\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \nabla_{A}v) - C(q) + [\overline{\partial}^{5}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)]((b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta)}_{=:f_{0}}.$$
(5.4)

Taking  $L^2(\Omega)$  inner product with **V**, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{V}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla_A \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{V} \, \mathrm{d}y + \int_{\Omega} \left( (b_0 \cdot \partial)(\overline{\partial}^5(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta) \right) \cdot \mathbf{V} \, \mathrm{d}y + \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{V} \, \mathrm{d}y, \tag{5.5}$$

where the last term can be directly controlled

$$\int_{\Omega} f_0 \cdot \mathbf{V} \, \mathrm{d}y \lesssim P(\|\eta\|_5, \|v\|_5, \|\partial_t v\|_4, \|q\|_5, \|b_0\|_5, \|(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta\|_5) \lesssim P(E_1(t)). \tag{5.6}$$

Then we integrate  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)$  by parts in the second integral of (5.5) to produce the tangential energy of the magnetic field  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta$ . Note that  $b_0 \cdot N = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and div  $b_0 = 0$ , no boundary term appears in this step.

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( (b_{0} \cdot \partial)(\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \right) \cdot \mathbf{V} \, \mathrm{d}y = -\int_{\Omega} (\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \cdot (b_{0} \cdot \partial)\mathbf{V} \, \mathrm{d}y \\
= -\int_{\Omega} (\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \cdot (b_{0} \cdot \partial)(\overline{\partial}^{5}\partial_{t}\eta) \, \mathrm{d}y + \int_{\Omega} (\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \cdot (b_{0} \cdot \partial)(\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \nabla_{A}\nu) \, \mathrm{d}y \\
= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left| \overline{\partial}^{5}((b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \right|^{2} + \int_{\Omega} (\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta^{\alpha})([\overline{\partial}^{5}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)]\nu_{\alpha} + (b_{0} \cdot \partial)(\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \nabla_{A}\nu_{\alpha})) \, \mathrm{d}y \\
\lesssim -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left| \overline{\partial}^{5}((b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \right|^{2} + P(E_{1}(t)). \tag{5.7}$$

Next we analyze the first integral of (5.5). Integrate by parts, using Piola's identity  $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu\alpha} = 0$  and invoking (5.2), we get

$$-\int_{\Omega} \nabla_{A} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{V} \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{Q}(\nabla_{A} \cdot \mathbf{V}) \, \mathrm{d}y - \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}S}_{=:J} - \int_{\Gamma_{0}} \underbrace{A^{3\alpha} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}}_{=0} \, \mathrm{d}S$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{Q} \, C(v) \, \mathrm{d}y + J \lesssim \|\mathbf{Q}\|_{0} \|C(v)\|_{0} + J$$

$$\lesssim P(\|\eta\|_{5}, \|q\|_{5}, |v\|_{5}) + J,$$
(5.8)

where the boundary integral on  $\Gamma_0$  vanishes due to  $\eta|_{\Gamma_0} = \operatorname{Id}$  and thus  $A^{3\alpha}\mathbf{V}_{\alpha} = \overline{\partial}{}^5v_3 = 0$ . Therefore, it remains to analyze the boundary integral J.

#### 5.2 Boundary estimates and cancellation structure

The boundary integral now reads

$$J = -\int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, dS$$

$$= -\int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} q \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, dS - \int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} q) \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, dS$$

$$= : RT$$

$$= -\int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}^{5} (A^{3\alpha} q) \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} q (\overline{\partial}^{5} A^{3\alpha}) \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{4} {5 \choose k} \overline{\partial}^{5-k} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{k} q \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \, dS + RT$$

$$= : ST + J_{1} + J_{2} + RT.$$

$$(5.9)$$

#### 5.2.1 Non-weighted boundary energy: Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition

The term RT together with the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition yields the non-weighted boundary energy. Recall that  $\mathbf{V} = \overline{\partial}^5 v - \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \nabla_A v$ , then we have

$$RT = -\int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\beta} A^{3\beta} \partial_{3} q \overline{\partial}{}^{5} v_{\alpha} dS$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\beta} A^{3\beta} \partial_{3} q \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\gamma} A^{\mu\gamma} \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha} dS$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\beta} A^{i\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} q (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) dS$$

$$=: RT_{1} + RT_{2} + RT_{3}.$$
(5.10)

The term RT<sub>1</sub> gives the boundary energy term by writting  $v_{\alpha} = \partial_t \eta_{\alpha}$ .

$$RT_{1} = -\int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} A^{3\beta} \partial_{3} q \partial_{t} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} dS$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} (-\partial_{3} q) \left| A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} \right|^{2} dS + \int_{\Gamma} (\partial_{t} A^{3\alpha}) A^{3\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \partial_{3} q \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} dS + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} \partial_{3} q \left| A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} \right|^{2} dS$$

$$= : \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} (-\partial_{3} q) \left| A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} \right|^{2} dS + RT_{11} + RT_{12}.$$
(5.11)

The term RT<sub>12</sub> can be directly controlled by

$$RT_{12} \lesssim |\partial_t \partial_3 q|_{L^{\infty}} \left| A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^5 \eta_{\alpha} \right|_0^2 \lesssim P(E_1(t)). \tag{5.12}$$

The term RT<sub>11</sub> is exactly canlled by RT<sub>2</sub> after plugging  $\partial_t A^{3\alpha} = -A^{3\gamma} \partial_\mu v_\nu A^{\mu\alpha}$ 

$$RT_{11} = -\int_{\Gamma} A^{3\gamma} \partial_{\mu} v_{\gamma} A^{\mu\alpha} A^{3\beta} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \partial_{3} q \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} dS = -RT_{2}.$$
 (5.13)

Finally, invoking  $q = -\sigma \sqrt{g} \Delta_g \eta \cdot \hat{n} = \sigma Q(\overline{\partial} \eta) \overline{\partial}^2 \eta \cdot \hat{n}$ , we can control RT<sub>3</sub> by the weighted energy and trace lemma

$$RT_{3} = \sigma \int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} A^{i\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} (Q(\overline{\partial}\eta) \overline{\partial}^{2} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) dS$$

$$\lesssim P(|\partial \eta|_{L^{\infty}}) |\overline{\partial}^{3} \eta|_{L^{\infty}} |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} (|\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} v|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} |\nabla_{A} v|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$\lesssim P(||\eta||_{3}) ||\eta||_{5} \underbrace{||\sqrt{\sigma} \eta||_{5.5} (||\sqrt{\sigma} v||_{5.5} + ||\sqrt{\sigma} \eta||_{5.5} ||v||_{3})}_{\leq \sqrt{\sigma} E_{2} (\sqrt{\sigma} E_{2} + \sqrt{E_{1}} \sqrt{\sigma} E_{2})}$$

$$\lesssim P(E_{1}(t)) (\sigma E_{2}(t)). \tag{5.14}$$

Summarizing (5.10)-(5.14), we conclude the estimate of RT by

$$\int_0^T \operatorname{RT} dt \lesssim -\frac{c_0}{4} \left| A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^5 \eta_{\alpha} \right|_0^2 + \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) (\sigma E_2(t)) dt. \tag{5.15}$$

#### 5.2.2 Control of the weighted boundary energy: surface tension

Now we analyze the term ST, where the surface tension gives the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted top order boundary energy. Invoking  $A^{3\alpha}q = -\sigma \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta^{\beta}$ , we get

$$ST = \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$+ 5\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}^{5} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{4} \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \binom{5}{k} \overline{\partial}^{k} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{5-k} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$=: ST_{1} + ST_{2} + ST_{3} + ST_{4}.$$

$$(5.16)$$

The term  $ST_4$  can be directly controlled with the help of  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted energy

$$ST_{4} \lesssim 10|\overline{\partial}^{2}(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\hat{n}^{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\beta})|_{L^{\infty}}|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{3}\overline{\partial}_{ij}^{2}\eta_{\beta}|_{0}(|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\nu|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta|_{0}|\nabla_{A}\nu|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$+ 10|\overline{\partial}^{3}(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\hat{n}^{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\beta})|_{L^{4}}|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{2}\overline{\partial}_{ij}^{2}\eta_{\beta}|_{L^{4}}(|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\nu|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta|_{0}|\nabla_{A}\nu|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$+ 5|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{4}(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\hat{n}^{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\beta})|_{0}|\overline{\partial}_{ij}^{2}\eta_{\beta}|_{L^{\infty}}(|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\nu|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta|_{0}|\nabla_{A}\nu|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$\lesssim P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)). \tag{5.17}$$

In ST<sub>1</sub>, we first integrate  $\overline{\partial}_i$  by parts.

$$ST_{1} \stackrel{\overline{\partial}_{i}}{=} -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$-\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}_{i} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$=: ST_{11} + ST_{12}. \tag{5.18}$$

In ST<sub>11</sub>, we write  $v_{\alpha} = \partial_t \eta_{\alpha}$  to produce the energy term

$$ST_{11} = -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} \partial_{t} \eta_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} \nu_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$= -\frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \left| \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|^{2} \, dS$$

$$-\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) \left( \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right) \left( \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right) \, dS - \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \partial_{t} g^{ij} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) \, dS$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} g^{ij} \partial_{t} (\sqrt{g} \hat{n}^{\alpha}) \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \eta_{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) \, dS + \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\gamma} A^{\mu \gamma} \partial_{\mu} \nu_{\alpha} \, dS$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta_{\beta}) (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}{}_{i} (\nabla_{A} \nu_{\alpha}))$$

$$=: -\frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \left| \overline{\partial}{}^{6} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|^{2} \, dS + ST_{111} + ST_{112} + ST_{113} + ST_{114} + ST_{115}.$$

$$(5.19)$$

Invoking (2.13), the term  $ST_{111}$  can be absorbed by the weighted energy term

$$ST_{111} \le \varepsilon \left| \sqrt{\sigma \partial^6 \eta} \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0^2.$$
 (5.20)

The terms ST<sub>112</sub> and ST<sub>115</sub> can be directly controlled

$$ST_{112} \lesssim |\sqrt{g}\partial_t g^{ij}|_{L^{\infty}} \left| \sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^6 \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0^2 \lesssim P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)). \tag{5.21}$$

$$ST_{115} \lesssim |\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\overline{\partial}(\nabla_{A}v)|_{L^{\infty}} \left|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{6}\eta \cdot \hat{n}\right|_{0} ||\sqrt{\sigma}\eta||_{5.5} \lesssim P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)). \tag{5.22}$$

In ST<sub>113</sub>, we use (2.1)-(2.2), i.e.,  $\sqrt{g}\hat{n}^{\alpha} = A^{3\alpha}$  and  $\partial_t A^{3\alpha} = -A^{3\gamma}\partial_{\mu}v_{\gamma}A^{\mu\alpha}$  to produce cancellation with ST<sub>114</sub>

$$ST_{113} = -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} g^{ij} A^{3\gamma} \partial_{\mu} \nu_{\gamma} A^{\mu\alpha} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta_{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) dS = -ST_{114}.$$
 (5.23)

Summarizing (5.19)-(5.23), we conclude the estimate of  $ST_{11}$  by choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small

$$\int_0^T \operatorname{ST}_{11} dt \leq -\frac{\sigma}{2} \left| \overline{\partial}^5 \overline{\partial} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0^2 \right|_0^T + \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) dt. \tag{5.24}$$

Next we control  $ST_{12}$ . First we have

$$ST_{12} = -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}_{i} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha}) (\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) dS$$

$$-\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}_{i} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) dS$$

$$=: ST_{121} + ST_{122}.$$
(5.25)

The term ST<sub>121</sub> can be directly controlled

$$\mathrm{ST}_{121} \lesssim |\overline{\partial}_i(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\hat{n})|_{L^\infty}|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}{}^6\eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0(|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}{}^5v|_0 + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}{}^5\eta|_0|\nabla_A v|_{L^\infty}) \lesssim P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)). \tag{5.26}$$

To control  $ST_{122}$ , we first integrate  $\overline{\partial}_i$  by parts.

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{ST}_{122} &= -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}_{i} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} \overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}_{i} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\gamma} (A^{\mu \gamma} \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}_{i} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\gamma} \overline{\partial}_{j} (A^{\mu \gamma} \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}_{j} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}_{i} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &=: \mathrm{ST}_{1221} + \mathrm{ST}_{1222} + \mathrm{ST}_{1223} + \mathrm{ST}_{1224}. \end{split} \tag{5.27}$$

The term  $ST_{1223}$  can be directly controlled by the weighted energy

$$ST_{1223} + ST_{1224} \lesssim P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)).$$
 (5.28)

To control ST<sub>1221</sub>, we write  $v_{\alpha} = \partial_t \eta_{\alpha}$  and then integrate  $\partial_t$  by parts under the time integral. When  $\partial_t$  falls on  $\sqrt{g}\hat{n}^{\alpha} = A^{3\alpha}$ , the cancellation sturcture analogous to (5.23) is again produced.

$$\int_{0}^{T} \operatorname{ST}_{1221} dt \stackrel{\partial_{t}}{=} \sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} (g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta}) (\overline{\partial}_{j} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\alpha}) dS 
+ \sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \underbrace{(-A^{3\gamma} \partial_{\mu} \nu_{\gamma} A^{\mu \alpha})}_{\partial_{t} (\sqrt{g} \hat{n}^{\alpha})} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} dS 
\lesssim \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) (\| \sqrt{\sigma} v \|_{5.5} + \| \sqrt{\sigma} \eta \|_{5.5}) |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{6} \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_{0} dt + (-\operatorname{ST}_{1222}).$$
(5.29)

Therefore, ST<sub>122</sub> is controlled by

$$\int_{0}^{T} ST_{122} dt \lesssim \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)) dt,$$
 (5.30)

which together with (5.24) and (5.26) gives the control of ST<sub>1</sub>

$$\int_{0}^{T} \operatorname{ST}_{1} dt \lesssim -\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \left| \overline{\partial}^{6} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|^{2} dS \Big|_{0}^{T} + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) (\sigma E_{2}(t)) dt.$$
 (5.31)

It remains to control  $ST_2$  and  $ST_3$  in (5.16). From (5.18), we find that  $ST_2$  has the same form as  $ST_{12}$ , so we omit the analysis of  $ST_2$  and only list the result

$$\int_{0}^{T} ST_{2} dt \lesssim \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)) dt.$$
 (5.32)

As for  $ST_3$ , we have

$$ST_{3} = \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial_{i}} \overline{\partial_{j}} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \hat{n}^{\alpha}) \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial_{i}} \overline{\partial_{j}} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}^{5} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij}) \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial_{i}} \overline{\partial_{j}} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}^{k} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij}) \overline{\partial}^{5-k} (\hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \overline{\partial_{i}} \overline{\partial_{j}} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$=: ST_{31} + ST_{32} + ST_{33} + ST_{34},$$

$$(5.33)$$

where ST<sub>34</sub> can be directly controlled

$$ST_{34} \lesssim P(|\eta|_{W^{3,\infty}})|\sqrt{\sigma}\eta|_{5}(|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}v|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta|_{0}|\nabla_{A}v|_{L^{\infty}}) \lesssim P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)). \tag{5.34}$$

To control  $ST_{31}$  and  $ST_{32}$ , we need to invoke (2.8) to get

$$\overline{\partial}{}^5\hat{n}^\alpha = -\overline{\partial}{}^4\left(g^{kl}(\overline{\partial\partial}_k\eta\cdot\hat{n})\overline{\partial}_l\eta^\alpha\right) = -g^{kl}(\overline{\partial}{}^5\overline{\partial}_k\eta\cdot\hat{n})\overline{\partial}_l\eta^\alpha - [\overline{\partial}{}^4,g^{kl}\overline{\partial}_l\eta^\alpha](\overline{\partial\partial}_k\eta\cdot\hat{n}),$$

and thus plug it into ST<sub>31</sub> and ST<sub>32</sub>:

$$ST_{31} = -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} g^{kl} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{k} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \hat{n}_{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$-\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \left( [\overline{\partial}^{4}, g^{kl} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\alpha}] (\overline{\partial} \partial_{k} \eta \cdot \hat{n}) \right) \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \hat{n}_{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$\lesssim P(E_{1}(t)) |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{6} \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_{0} (|\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} v|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} |\nabla_{A} v|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$+ P(E_{1}(t)) |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} (|\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} v|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} |\nabla_{A} v|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$\lesssim P(E_{1}(t)) (\sigma E_{2}(t)),$$

$$(5.35)$$

and similarly

$$ST_{32} \lesssim P(E_1(t)) |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^6 \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0 (|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^5 v|_0 + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^5 \eta|_0 |\nabla_A v|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$+ P(E_1(t)) |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^5 \eta|_0 (|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^5 v|_0 + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^5 \eta|_0 |\nabla_A v|_{L^{\infty}})$$

$$\lesssim P(E_1(t)) (\sigma E_2(t)),$$

$$(5.36)$$

For  $ST_{33}$ , we use the identity (2.10) to get

$$\begin{split} \overline{\partial}^{5}(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}) &= \sqrt{g}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{kl} - g^{ik}g^{jl}\right)(\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{k}\eta^{\mu}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta_{\mu} + \overline{\partial}_{k}\eta^{\mu}\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta_{\mu}) \\ &+ \underbrace{\left[\overline{\partial}^{4}, \overline{\partial}_{l}\eta^{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{kl} - g^{ik}g^{jl}\right)\right]\overline{\partial}_{k}\eta_{\mu} + \left[\overline{\partial}^{4}, \overline{\partial}_{k}\eta^{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}g^{kl} - g^{ik}g^{jl}\right)\right]\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta_{\mu},}_{R_{s,s}^{ij}} \end{split}$$

and thus

$$ST_{33} = \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) (\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{k} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\mu} + \overline{\partial}_{k} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\mu}) \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Gamma} R_{33}^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$=: ST_{331} + ST_{332}. \tag{5.37}$$

The term ST<sub>332</sub> can be directly controlled

$$ST_{332} \lesssim P(E_1(t)) |\sqrt{\sigma}\eta|_5 (|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^5 v|_0 + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^5 \eta|_0 |\nabla_A v|_{L^{\infty}}). \tag{5.38}$$

In ST<sub>331</sub>, we should first integrate the derivative  $\overline{\partial}_k$  in  $\overline{\partial}^5 \overline{\partial}_k \eta^{\mu}$  (resp.  $\overline{\partial}_l$  in  $\overline{\partial}^5 \overline{\partial}_l \eta_{\mu}$ ) by parts

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{ST}_{331} &= -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}{}_{l} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{k} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &- \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}{}_{k} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{l} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &- \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}{}_{k} \left( \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}{}_{l} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \right) \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta^{\mu} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &- \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}{}_{l} \left( \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}{}_{k} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}{}_{i} \overline{\partial}{}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \right) \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta^{\mu} (\overline{\partial}{}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}{}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &=: \mathrm{ST}_{3311} + \mathrm{ST}_{3312} + \mathrm{ST}_{3313} + \mathrm{ST}_{3314}, \end{split} \tag{5.39}$$

where ST<sub>3313</sub> and ST<sub>3314</sub> can be directly controlled

$$ST_{3313} + ST_{3314} \lesssim P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)).$$
 (5.40)

For ST<sub>3311</sub> and ST<sub>3312</sub>, we need to write  $v_{\alpha} = \partial_t \eta_{\alpha}$  and then integrate  $\partial_t$  by parts. For simplicity we only show the control of ST<sub>3311</sub>.

$$\int_{0}^{T} ST_{3311} dt = -\sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{k} \overline{\partial}^{5} \partial_{t} \eta_{\alpha} dS$$
 (5.41)

$$+ \sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{k} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\gamma} A^{\mu \gamma} \partial_{\mu} \nu_{\alpha} \, dS$$
 (5.42)

$$+ \sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}_{k} (\nabla_{A} \nu_{\alpha}) \, dS$$
 (5.43)

$$\stackrel{\partial_t}{=} \sigma \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}^5 v^{\mu} \overline{\partial}_l \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_i \overline{\partial}_j \eta_{\beta} (\overline{\partial}_k \overline{\partial}^5 \eta_{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\alpha}) \, dS \tag{5.44}$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta^{\mu} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\mu} \partial_{t} (\sqrt{g} \hat{n}^{\alpha}) \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{k} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}S \tag{5.45}$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} \partial_{t} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} \right) \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta_{\mu} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta_{\beta} \right) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta^{\mu} (\hat{n}^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}_{k} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S + (5.42) + (5.43). \tag{5.46}$$

Note that  $\partial_t A(\sqrt{g}\hat{n}^\alpha) = \partial_t A^{3\alpha} = -A^{3\gamma}\partial_\mu v_\gamma A^{\mu\alpha}$ , we know (5.45) + (5.42) = 0. Then the remaining quantities (5.43), (5.44) and (5.46) can all be directly controlled

$$(5.43) \lesssim \int_0^T |\sqrt{\sigma}\eta|_5^2 P(E_1(t)) \le \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t, \tag{5.47}$$

$$(5.44) \lesssim \int_0^T |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^6 \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0 |\sqrt{\sigma} v|_5 P(E_1(t)) \leq \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) (\sigma E_2(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t, \tag{5.48}$$

$$(5.46) \lesssim \int_0^T |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^6 \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0 |\sqrt{\sigma}\eta|_5 P(E_1(t)) \leq \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{5.49}$$

Combining (5.37)-(5.49), we get the control of  $ST_{33}$ 

$$\int_0^T ST_{33} dt \lesssim \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) dt,$$
(5.50)

whic together with (5.34), (5.35) and (5.36) gives the control of  $ST_3$ 

$$\int_0^T \operatorname{ST}_3 dt \lesssim \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) dt. \tag{5.51}$$

Finally, (5.16), (5.17), (5.31), (5.32) and (5.51) gives the control of the boundary terms contributed by the surface tension as well as the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted boundary energy

$$\int_{0}^{T} \operatorname{ST} dt \lesssim -\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \left| \overline{\partial}^{6} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|^{2} dS \Big|_{0}^{T} + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) (\sigma E_{2}(t)) dt.$$
 (5.52)

#### **5.2.3** Control of the error terms

It remains to control  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  in (5.9). Note that  $q = -\sigma \sqrt{g}\Delta_g \eta \cdot \hat{n} = \sigma Q(\overline{\partial}\eta)\overline{\partial}^2 \eta \cdot \hat{n}$  on the boundary and  $A^{3\alpha} = \overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta$ . The term  $J_2$  can be directly controlled

$$\begin{split} J_{2} &= 5\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}^{4} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial} (Q(\overline{\partial}\eta) \overline{\partial}^{2}\eta) (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ 10\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}^{3} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{2} (Q(\overline{\partial}\eta) \overline{\partial}^{2}\eta) (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ 10\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial}^{2} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{3} (Q(\overline{\partial}\eta) \overline{\partial}^{2}\eta) (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ 5\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \overline{\partial} A^{3\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{4} (Q(\overline{\partial}\eta) (\overline{\partial}^{2}\eta \cdot \hat{n})) (\overline{\partial}^{5} v_{\alpha} - \overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \nabla_{A} v_{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &\leq P(|\overline{\partial}\eta|_{L^{\infty}}) |\overline{\partial}^{3}\eta|_{L^{\infty}} \left(|\sqrt{\sigma}\eta|_{5} + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{6}\eta \cdot n|_{0}\right) \left(|\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}v|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta|_{0}|\nabla_{A}v|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \\ &\leq P(|\overline{\partial}\eta|_{L^{\infty}}) |\overline{\partial}^{3}\eta|_{L^{\infty}} |\partial v|_{L^{\infty}} \left(\sqrt{E_{1}} + \sqrt{\sigma E_{2}}\right) \sqrt{\sigma E_{2}} \leq P(E_{1}(t)) (\sigma E_{2}(t)). \end{split}$$

The control of  $J_1$  needs more decliate computation. Recall that  $A^{3\alpha}=(\overline{\partial}_1\eta\times\overline{\partial}_2\eta)^\alpha$ , we have that

$$J_{1} = -\int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \partial_{t} \eta \, dS - \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \partial_{t} \eta \, dS$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\gamma} A^{\mu \gamma} \partial_{\mu} \nu) \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\gamma} A^{\mu \gamma} \partial_{\mu} \nu) \, dS$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{4} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{k} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}^{4-k} \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot (\overline{\partial}^{5} \nu - \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \nabla_{A} \nu) \, dS$$

$$=: J_{11} + J_{12} + J_{13} + J_{14} + J_{15}.$$

$$(5.54)$$

Again, the term  $J_{15}$  is directly controlled by the weighted energy

$$J_{15} \le P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)). \tag{5.55}$$

Below we only show the control of  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$ , and the control of  $J_{12}$  and  $J_{14}$  follows in the same way. For  $J_{11}$ , we integrate  $\partial_t$  by parts to get

$$\int_{0}^{T} J_{11} dt = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \nu \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta dS + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta dS 
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \partial_{t} \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta dS \Big|_{0}^{T} 
=: J_{111} + J_{112} + J_{113} + J_{114}.$$
(5.56)

Next we integrate  $\overline{\partial}_1$  by parts in  $J_{111}$  and use the vector identity  $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = -(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v}$  to get

$$J_{111} \stackrel{\overline{\partial}_{1}}{=} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} v \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{1} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS \, dt$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \overline{\partial}_{1} \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} v \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS \, dt - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} v \times \overline{\partial}_{1} \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS \, dt$$

$$= \underbrace{\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} v \, dS \, dt}_{= -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \overline{\partial}_{1} \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} v \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS \, dt - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} v \times \overline{\partial}_{1} \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS \, dt}$$

$$\leq - \int_{0}^{T} J_{11} \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)).$$

$$(5.57)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_0^T J_{11} dt \le \frac{1}{2} (J_{112} + J_{113} + J_{114}) + \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) (\sigma E_2(t)) dt.$$
 (5.58)

Next we need to control  $J_{114}$  by  $\mathcal{P}_0 + P(E_1(T))(\sigma E_2(T)) \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) dt$ . For that we need the following identity

$$\delta^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{n}^{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\beta} + g^{ij}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta^{\alpha}\overline{\partial}_{j}\eta^{\beta},$$

which yields

$$J_{114} = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\overline{\partial}{}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}{}_{2}\eta)_{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\beta}\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta} \,dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\overline{\partial}{}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}{}_{2}\eta)_{\alpha}g^{ij}\overline{\partial}{}_{i}\eta^{\alpha}\overline{\partial}{}_{j}\eta^{\beta}\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta} \,dS$$

$$=: J_{1141} + J_{1142}. \tag{5.59}$$

In  $J_{1141}$  we integrate  $\overline{\partial}_1$  by parts

$$J_{1141} \stackrel{\overline{\partial}_{1}}{=} - \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)_{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{1} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS - \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \overline{\partial}_{1} (\mathcal{H} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \, dS$$

$$\lesssim P(|\eta|_{W^{3,\infty}}) \left( |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{6} \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_{0} + |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0}^{2} \right)$$

$$\lesssim P(E_{1}(T)) (\sigma E_{2}(T)) \int_{0}^{T} ||\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} v(t)||_{0} \, dt,$$

$$(5.60)$$

where we used  $\overline{\partial}^5 \eta|_{t=0} = \mathbf{0}$ .

In  $J_{1142}$ , we notice that the integral vanishes if i=2 due to  $(\overline{\partial}^5 \overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}_2 \eta = 0$ . If i=1, then

$$J_{1142} = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \, g^{1j} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS = -\int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}\underbrace{(\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)}_{=\sqrt{g} \hat{n}} \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \, g^{1j} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS$$

$$\leq |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_{0} |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} P(|\overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}}) |\overline{\partial}^{2} \eta|_{L^{\infty}} \, dS$$

$$\leq P(E_{1}(T))(\sigma E_{2}(T)) \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) \, dt \qquad (5.61)$$

The term  $J_{113}$  can be controlled in the same way as  $J_{114}$  so we omit the proof. Thus we already get

$$J_{113} + J_{114} \lesssim P(E(T)) \int_0^T P(E(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{5.62}$$

It remains to analyze  $\frac{1}{2}J_{112}$  which should be controlled together with  $J_{13}$ . Again we have

$$\frac{1}{2}J_{112} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Gamma}\sigma\mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\nu)_{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\alpha}\hat{n}^{\beta}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta_{\beta} dS + \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Gamma}\sigma\mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\nu)_{\alpha}g^{ij}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta^{\alpha}\overline{\partial}_{j}\eta^{\beta}\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta_{\beta} dS$$

$$=: J_{1121} + J_{1122}, \tag{5.63}$$

and the control of  $J_{1121}$  follows in the same way as (5.60) by integrating  $\overline{\partial}_1$  by parts

$$J_{1121} \lesssim \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) dt,$$
 (5.64)

For  $J_{1122}$  we need to do further decomposition

$$J_{1122} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu)_{\alpha} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS \, dt$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}\left((\overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu)_{\gamma} \hat{n}^{\gamma} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta_{\alpha}\right) g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS \, dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}\left((\overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu)_{\gamma} g^{kl} \overline{\partial}_{k} \eta^{\gamma} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta_{\alpha}\right) g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS \, dt$$

$$=: J_{11221} + J_{11222},$$

$$(5.65)$$

where  $J_{11221}$  is directly controlled by

$$J_{11221} \lesssim \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^5 \overline{\partial}_1 \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_0 |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^5 \eta|_0 \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) + \sigma E_2(t) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{5.66}$$

In  $J_{11222}$ , the integral vanishes if i = k, so we only need to investigate the cases (i, k) = (1, 2) and (i, k) = (2, 1), which contribute to

$$J_{11222} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H} \left( (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu)_{\gamma} g^{2l} \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta^{\gamma} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta_{\alpha} \right) g^{1j} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS \, dt$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H} \left( (\overline{\partial}_{2} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu)_{\gamma} g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta^{\gamma} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta_{\alpha} \right) g^{2j} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} \, dS \, dt$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H} \left( (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta \right) \left( g^{2l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \right) \left( g^{1j} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \right) \, dS \, dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H} \left( (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta \right) \left( g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \right) \left( g^{2j} \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \right) \, dS \, dt.$$

$$(5.67)$$

Next we analyze  $J_{13}$ . First we do the following decomposition

$$\begin{split} \overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\gamma}A^{\mu\gamma}\partial_{\mu}v_{\alpha} &= \overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta}\hat{n}^{\beta}\hat{n}_{\gamma}A^{\mu\gamma}\partial_{\mu}v_{\alpha} + \overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta}g^{ij}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta^{\beta}\underbrace{\overline{\partial}_{j}\eta_{\gamma}A^{\mu\gamma}}_{=\delta^{\mu}_{j}}\partial_{\mu}v_{\alpha} \\ &= \overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta}\hat{n}^{\beta}\hat{n}_{\gamma}A^{\mu\gamma}\partial_{\mu}v_{\alpha} + \overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta}g^{ij}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta^{\beta}\overline{\partial}_{j}v_{\alpha}, \end{split}$$

and thus

$$J_{13} = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) \cdot (\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta}\hat{n}^{\beta}\hat{n}_{\gamma}A^{\mu\gamma}\partial_{\mu}\nu) \,dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) \cdot (\overline{\partial}{}^{5}\eta_{\beta}g^{ij}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta^{\beta}\overline{\partial}_{j}\nu) \,dS$$

$$=: J_{131} + J_{132}. \tag{5.68}$$

The term  $J_{131}$  can be controlled similarly as  $J_{1141}$  in (5.60), i.e., integrating  $\overline{\partial}_1$  by parts,

$$J_{131} \lesssim P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)).$$
 (5.69)

In  $J_{132}$ , we need do further decomposition

$$J_{132} = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)_{\gamma} \hat{n}^{\gamma} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\alpha}) \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)_{\gamma} g^{kl} \overline{\partial}_{k} \eta^{\gamma} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$=: J_{1321} + J_{1322}. \tag{5.70}$$

The integral in  $J_{1322}$  vanishes if k = 2. When k = 1, we again use the vector identity  $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = -(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v}$  and invoke  $(\overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_1^2 \eta) = \sqrt{g} \hat{n}$  to get

$$J_{1322} = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)_{\gamma} g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta^{\gamma} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$= -\int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H} \left( (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \right) g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$\leq |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \cdot \hat{n}|_{0} |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0} |\mathcal{H} g^{2} \overline{\partial} \eta \, \overline{\partial} \eta \, \overline{\partial} v|_{L^{\infty}} \leq P(E_{1}(t)) (\sigma E_{2}(t))$$

$$(5.71)$$

We recall  $\hat{n}_{\gamma} = \sqrt{g}^{-1}(\overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta)_{\gamma}$  and use the vector identities  $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = -(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w})\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{w}$  to get

$$(\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) \cdot (\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) = -(\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times (\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta)) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta$$

$$= -(\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) \underbrace{(\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta)}_{=g_{12}=-(\det g)g^{12}} + (\overline{\partial}^{5}\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}_{1}\eta) \underbrace{(\overline{\partial}_{2}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta)}_{=g_{22}=(\det g)g^{11}}.$$

Plugging this into  $J_{1321}$  yields

$$J_{1321} = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \sqrt{g}^{-1} \hat{n}^{\alpha} (\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta_{\beta} g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta^{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\alpha}) \, dS$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta) (g^{ij} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta) (\overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \sqrt{g}) \, dS$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta) (g^{1i} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta) (\overline{\partial}_{1} v \cdot (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)) \, dS + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta) (g^{2i} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta) (\overline{\partial}_{2} v \cdot (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)) \, dS$$

$$=: J_{13211} + J_{13212}.$$
(5.73)

Integraing  $\overline{\partial}_1$  by parts in  $J_{13211}$ , the highest order term is exactly the same as  $J_{13211}$  itself but with a minus sign. Therefore,

$$J_{13211} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma(g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta) (g^{1i} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta) \overline{\partial}_{1} (\mathcal{H} \overline{\partial}_{1} v \cdot (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta)) \, dS$$

$$\lesssim |\sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0}^{2} P(|\overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}}) (|\overline{\partial}^{2} \eta \, \overline{\partial} v|_{L^{\infty}}) \lesssim P(E_{1}(t)) (\sigma E_{2}(t)). \tag{5.73}$$

Now  $J_{13212}$  reads

$$J_{13212} = -\int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta)(g^{2l} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)((\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \, dS, \tag{5.74}$$

which together with (5.67) yields that

$$J_{11222} + J_{13212} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta)(g^{2i} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)((\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \, dS$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l} \overline{\partial}_{l} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)(g^{2i} \overline{\partial}_{i} \eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta)((\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \, dS,$$

$$(5.75)$$

and thus integrating  $\bar{\partial}_1$  by parts in the first integral yields the cancellation with the second integral due to the symmetry

$$J_{11222} + J_{13212} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(\overline{\partial}_{1}(g^{1l}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)(g^{2i}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)((\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) \, dS$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)(\overline{\partial}_{1}(g^{2i}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)((\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) \, dS$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H}(g^{1l}\overline{\partial}_{l}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)(g^{2i}\overline{\partial}_{i}\eta \cdot \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta)\overline{\partial}_{1}((\overline{\partial}_{1}\eta \times \overline{\partial}_{2}\nu) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2}\eta) \, dS$$

$$\lesssim |\sqrt{\sigma}\overline{\partial}^{5} \eta|_{0}^{2} P(E_{1}(t)) \leq P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)).$$

$$(5.76)$$

Summarizing (5.54)-(5.56), (5.62)-(5.66), (5.68)-(5.76), we conclude the estimate of  $J_1$  by

$$\int_0^T J_1 \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim P(E_1(T))(\sigma E_2(T)) \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{5.77}$$

Finally, combining (5.9), (5.15), (5.52), (5.53) and (5.77), we conclude the  $\overline{\partial}^5$ -boundary estimate by

$$\int_0^T J \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim -\frac{c_0}{4} \left| \overline{\partial}^5 \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0^2 - \frac{\sigma}{2} \left| \overline{\partial}^6 \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0^2 + P(E_1(T))(\sigma E_2(T)) \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{5.78}$$

#### 5.3 Finalizing the tangential estimate of spatial derivatives

Summarizing (5.5)-(5.8) and (5.78), we conclude the estimate of the Alinhac good unknowns by

$$\|\mathbf{V}\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{c_{0}}{4} \left| \overline{\partial}^{5} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \left| \overline{\partial}^{6} \eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{0}^{2} \Big|_{t=T} \lesssim P(\|v_{0}\|_{5}) + P(E_{1}(T))(\sigma E_{2}(T)) \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{5.79}$$

Finally, from the definition of the good unknowns (5.1) and  $\overline{\partial}^5 \eta|_{t=0} = \mathbf{0}$ , we know

$$\|\overline{\partial}^{5}v(T)\|_{0}^{2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}(T)\|_{0}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta(T)\|_{0}^{2}\|\nabla_{A}v(T)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{V}(T)\|_{0}^{2} + P(E_{1}(T))\int_{0}^{T} \|\overline{\partial}^{5}v(t)\|_{0}^{2} dt,$$

and thus

$$\|\overline{\partial}^{5}v\|_{0}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{c_{0}}{4}\left|\overline{\partial}^{5}\eta \cdot \hat{n}\right|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2}\left|\overline{\partial}^{6}\eta \cdot \hat{n}\right|_{0}^{2}\Big|_{t=T} \lesssim P(\|v_{0}\|_{5}) + P(E_{1}(T))(\sigma E_{2}(T))\int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)) dt. \tag{5.80}$$

## 6 Tangential estimates of time derivatives

Now we consider the tangential estimates involving time derivatives. Due to the appearance of time derivatives, we no longer need the Alinhac good unknowns thanks to the fact that A has the same spatial regularity as  $\partial_t A$ . Instead, we adapt similar techniques developed in our previous work [27] to reveal the subtle cancellation structure and  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted energy terms on the boundary.

#### **6.1** Full time derivatives

First we do the  $\partial_t^5$ -estimate which is the most difficult part in the tangential estimates of time derivatives. The reason is two-fold

- We do not have any estimate for the full time derivatives of q,
- The full time derivatives  $\partial_t^5 v$  and  $\partial_t^5 (b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta$  only have  $L^2$  interior regularity and thus have no control on the boundary due to the failure of trace lemma.

From the second equation of (1.14), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_{t}^{5} v\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_{t}^{5} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{0}^{2} \Big|_{0}^{T}$$

$$= \underbrace{-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}^{5} (A^{\mu \alpha} \partial_{\mu} q) \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt}_{=:I}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \partial_{t}^{5} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta^{\alpha}) \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}^{5} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) v) \cdot \partial_{t}^{5} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) \, dy \, dt.$$
(6.1)

It is not difficult to see that the second integral cancels with the third one after integrating  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)$  by parts. Therefore it suffices to control I that reads

$$I = -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5} \partial_{\mu} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt - 5 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{t}^{4} \partial_{\mu} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt$$

$$-10 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}^{2} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{t}^{3} \partial_{\mu} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt - 10 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}^{3} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{t}^{2} \partial_{\mu} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt$$

$$-5 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}^{4} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{t} \partial_{\mu} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}^{5} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{\mu} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt$$

$$=: I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4} + I_{5} + I_{6},$$

$$(6.2)$$

where  $I_2 \sim I_5$  can all be directly controlled

$$I_{2} + \dots + I_{5} \lesssim \int_{0}^{T} P(\|\partial_{t}^{5}v\|_{0}, \|\partial_{t}^{4}v, \partial_{t}^{4}q\|_{1}, \|\partial_{t}^{3}v, \partial_{t}^{3}q\|_{2}, \|\partial_{t}^{2}v, \partial_{t}^{2}q\|_{3}, \|\partial_{t}v, \partial_{t}q, v, q, \eta\|_{3}) dt$$

$$(6.3)$$

For  $I_1$ , we integrate  $\partial_{\mu}$  by parts and then invoke the surface tension equation to get

$$I_{1} = -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} A^{3\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5} q \partial_{\mu} \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t}^{5} (A^{3\alpha} q) \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t}^{5} A^{3\alpha} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{4} {5 \choose k} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t}^{k} A^{3\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5-k} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} A^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5} q \partial_{\mu} \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt$$

$$=: I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13} + I_{14}.$$

$$(6.4)$$

Apart from  $I_{11}$ , the most difficult term is  $I_{12}$  since  $\partial_t^5 v$  cannoot be controlled on the boundary. However, we can integrate  $\partial_\mu$  by parts in  $I_6$  to produce a cancellation. Invoking Piola's identity and the boundary conditions on  $\Gamma_0$ , we have

$$I_{6} \stackrel{\partial_{\mu}}{=} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t}^{5} A^{3\alpha} q \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt + \underbrace{\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{t}^{5} A^{\mu\alpha} q \partial_{t}^{5} \partial_{\mu} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt}_{=:I_{61}}$$

$$= -I_{12} + I_{61}.$$

$$(6.5)$$

Next we control  $I_{61}$ . Recall that  $A^{1\cdot} = \overline{\partial}_2 \eta \times \partial_3 \eta$ ,  $A^{2\cdot} = \partial_3 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_1 \eta$ ,  $A^{3\cdot} = \overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta$  which implies

$$I_{61} = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} q \partial_{t}^{5} A^{1\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{1} v_{\alpha} + q \partial_{t}^{5} A^{2\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5} \overline{\partial}_{2} v_{\alpha} + q \partial_{t}^{5} A^{3\alpha} \partial_{t}^{5} \partial_{3} v_{\alpha} \, dy \, dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} q (\partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{2} v \times \partial_{3} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{1} \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dy \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} q (\overline{\partial}_{2} \eta \times \partial_{t}^{4} \partial_{3} v) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{1} \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dy \, dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} q (\partial_{t}^{4} \partial_{3} v \times \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dy \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} q (\partial_{3} \eta \times \partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{1} v) \cdot \overline{\partial}_{2} \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dy \, dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} q (\partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{1} v \times \overline{\partial}_{2} \eta) \cdot \partial_{3} \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dy \, dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} q (\overline{\partial}_{1} \eta \times \partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{2} v) \cdot \partial_{3} \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dy \, dt$$

$$+ \operatorname{lower order terms} =: I_{611} + \cdots + I_{616} + \operatorname{lower order terms}.$$

$$(6.6)$$

From the vector identity  $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u}$ , we can divide these 6 terms into 3 pairs:  $I_{611} + I_{614}$ ,  $I_{612} + I_{615}$  and  $I_{613} + I_{616}$ .

$$I_{611} + I_{614} = \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} q(\partial_t^4 \overline{\partial}_2 v \times \partial_3 \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}_1 \partial_t^4 v \, dy}_{I_{61}} \Big|_{0}^{T} + \text{lower order terms},$$
(6.7)

$$I_{612} + I_{615} = \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} q(\partial_t^4 \partial_3 v \times \overline{\partial}_1 \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial}_2 \partial_t^4 v \, dy}_{I_{6b}} \Big|_{0}^T + \text{lower order terms},$$
(6.8)

$$I_{613} + I_{616} = \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} q(\partial_t^4 \overline{\partial}_1 v \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta) \cdot \partial_3 \partial_t^4 v \, dy}_{I_{60}} + \text{lower order terms.}$$
(6.9)

Now we shall control  $I_{6a}$ ,  $I_{6c}$  by  $\mathcal{P}_0 + P(E_1(T)) \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) dt$ . For  $I_{6a}$ , we can equivalently write it to be

$$I_{6a} = \int_{\Omega} q \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_t^4 \overline{\partial}_2 v_{\alpha} \partial_3 \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_1 \partial_t^4 v_{\gamma} \, \mathrm{dy}.$$

When  $\beta = 1, 2$ , we know  $\partial_3 \eta_{\beta}|_{t=0} = 0$ , and thus

$$I_{6a|\beta=1,2} \lesssim \|\partial_t^4 v\|_1^2 \|q\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_0^T \|\partial_3 \eta\|_{L^{\infty}} dt \lesssim \mathcal{P}_0 + P(E_1(T)) \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) dt.$$
 (6.10)

When  $\beta = 3$ ,  $\alpha, \beta$  can only be 1 or 2. Note that  $\epsilon^{132} = -\epsilon^{231}$ , we know  $I_{6a|\beta=3}$  only consists of two terms

$$I_{6a|\beta=3} = \int_{\Omega} q \partial_3 \eta_3 \overline{\partial}_1 \partial_t^4 v_1 \overline{\partial}_2 \partial_t^4 v_2 \, dy - \int_{\Omega} q \partial_3 \eta_3 \overline{\partial}_1 \partial_t^4 v_2 \overline{\partial}_2 \partial_t^4 v_1 \, dy.$$
 (6.11)

Then we integrate  $\overline{\partial}_2$  by parts in the first term and  $\overline{\partial}_1$  in the second term. We notice that the highest order terms cancel with each other

$$I_{6a}|_{\beta=3} = \int_{\Omega} q \partial_{3} \eta_{3} (\overline{\partial_{1}} \overline{\partial_{2}} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{1} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{2} - \partial_{t}^{4} v_{2} \overline{\partial_{1}} \overline{\partial_{2}} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{1}) \, dy$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \overline{\partial_{2}} q \overline{\partial_{1}} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{1} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{2} - \overline{\partial_{1}} q \partial_{t}^{4} v_{2} \overline{\partial_{2}} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{1} \, dy + \text{lower order terms}$$

$$\lesssim ||q||_{3} ||\partial_{t}^{4} v||_{0} ||\partial_{t}^{4} v||_{1} \lesssim \varepsilon ||\partial_{t}^{4} v||_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{P}_{0} + \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) \, dt.$$

$$(6.12)$$

The estimates of  $I_{6b}$  and  $I_{6c}$  can be proceeded in the same way. We only show how to control  $I_{6b}$ . Again we expand the components of the cross product to get

$$I_{6b} = \int_{\Omega} q \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_t^4 \partial_3 v_{\alpha} \overline{\partial}_1 \eta_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_2 \partial_t^4 v_{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

When  $\beta = 2, 3, \overline{\partial}_1 \eta_{\beta}|_{t=0} = 0$  and thus  $I_{6b}|_{\beta=2,3} \lesssim \mathcal{P}_0 + P(E_1(T)) \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) dt$ . When  $\beta = 1$ , we write

$$I_{6b|\beta=1} = \int_{\Omega} q \overline{\partial}_1 \eta_1 (\overline{\partial} \partial_t^4 v_2 \partial_3 \partial_t^4 v_3 - \overline{\partial}_2 \partial_t^4 v_3 \partial_3 \partial_t^4 v_2) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Again we can integrate  $\partial_3$ ,  $\overline{\partial}_1$  by parts in each term respectively and produce similar cancellation as in (6.12). However, since  $\partial_3$  is not tangential, we also have to control the boundary term as follows

$$I_{6b1} := \int_{\Gamma} q \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta_{1} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{2} \overline{\partial}_{2} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{3} \, dS = \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \mathcal{H} \overline{\partial}_{1} \eta_{1} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{2} \overline{\partial}_{2} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{3} \, dS$$

$$\lesssim \| \sqrt{\sigma} \partial_{t}^{4} v \|_{1.5} |\overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{T} |\partial_{t} \mathcal{H}(t)|_{L^{\infty}} \, dt \lesssim P(E_{1}(T)) (\sigma E_{2}(T)) \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) \, dt,$$

$$(6.13)$$

where we used  $\mathcal{H}|_{t=0} = 0$  due to the appearence of  $\overline{\partial}^2 \eta$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore, we get

$$I_6 + I_{12} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\partial_t^4 v\|_1^2 + \mathcal{P}_0 + P(E_1(T))(\sigma E_2(T)) \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{6.14}$$

We then start to control  $I_{11}$  in (6.4) which is expected to produce the weighted boundary energy  $\sigma |\overline{\partial} \partial_t^4 v \cdot \hat{n}|_0^2$ . Below we use  $\stackrel{L}{=}$  to denote equality modulo error terms that are effectively of lower order. Plugging the surface tension equation  $A^{3\alpha}q = -\sigma \sqrt{g}\Delta_g \eta^{\alpha}$  and the identity (2.7), we get

$$I_{11} \stackrel{L}{=} -\sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt$$

$$-\sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \sqrt{g} (g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl}) \overline{\partial}_{j} \eta^{\alpha} \overline{\partial}_{k} \eta^{\lambda} \overline{\partial}_{l} \partial_{t}^{4} v^{\lambda} \overline{\partial}_{i} \partial_{t}^{5} v_{\alpha} \, dS \, dt$$

$$=: I_{111} + I_{112}. \tag{6.15}$$

And the term  $I_{111}$  contributes to the weighted boundary energy

$$I_{111} = -\frac{\sigma}{2} \left| \overline{\partial} \partial_{t}^{4} v \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{0}^{2} \left|_{0}^{T} \underbrace{-\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta} \partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{j} v_{\beta} \overline{\partial}_{i} \partial_{t}^{4} v_{\alpha}}_{I_{1111}} dS \right.$$

$$+\underbrace{\sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \hat{n}^{\alpha} \hat{n}^{\beta}) \partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{i} v_{\alpha} \partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial}_{i} v_{\beta} dS dt,}_{I_{1112}}$$

$$(6.16)$$

where  $I_{1111}$  is controlled similarly as (5.20) by invoking the a priori assumtpion (2.13)

$$I_{1111} \lesssim -\varepsilon \left| \sqrt{\sigma} \overline{\partial} \partial_t^4 v \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0^2,$$
 (6.17)

and  $I_{1112}$  is directly controlled by the weighted energy

$$I_{1112} \lesssim \int_0^T |P(\overline{\partial}\eta)\overline{\partial}v|_{L^{\infty}} \|\sqrt{\sigma}\partial_t^4v\|_{1.5}^2 dt \lesssim \int_0^T P(E_1(t))(\sigma E_2(t)) dt.$$

$$(6.18)$$

The control of  $I_{112}$  requires a remarkable identity, first introduced by Coutand-Shkoller [5, (12.10)-(12.11)] to rewrite  $I_{112}$ . Here we only list the result and we refer to our previous work [12, (4.33)-(4.37)] for the details.

$$I_{112} = \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{g}} (\partial_t \det \mathbf{A}^1 + \det \mathbf{A}^2 + \det \mathbf{A}^3), \tag{6.19}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\partial}_1 \eta_\mu \partial_t^4 \overline{\partial}_1 v^\mu & \overline{\partial}_1 \eta_\mu \overline{\partial}_2 \partial_t^4 v^\mu \\ \overline{\partial}_2 \eta_u \partial_t^4 \overline{\partial}_1 v^\mu & \overline{\partial}_2 \eta_u \overline{\partial}_2 \partial_t^4 v^\mu \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\mathbf{A}_{ij}^2 = \overline{\partial}_i v_\mu \overline{\partial}_j \partial_t^4 v^\mu$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{ij}^3 = \overline{\partial}_i \eta_\mu \overline{\partial}_j \partial_t^4 v^\mu$ . Under this setting we have

$$I_{112} \lesssim \epsilon \| \sqrt{\sigma} \partial_t^4 v \|_{1.5}^2 + \mathcal{P}_0 + \int_0^T \| \sqrt{\sigma} \partial_t^4 v \|_{1.5}^2 P(E_1(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$
 (6.20)

It now remains to control  $I_{13}$  in (6.4). First we recall that  $A^{3\cdot} = \overline{\partial}_1 \eta \times \overline{\partial}_2 \eta$ .

$$I_{13} \stackrel{L}{=} 5 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} q(\partial_{t}^{3} \overline{\partial} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dS \, dt + 10 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t}^{2} q(\partial_{t}^{2} \overline{\partial} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dS \, dt + 10 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t}^{3} q(\partial_{t} \overline{\partial} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dS \, dt + 5 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t}^{4} q(\overline{\partial} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \partial_{t}^{5} v \, dS \, dt =: I_{131} + \dots + I_{134}.$$

$$(6.21)$$

We only show the control of  $I_{131}$  and the rest three terms are easier. For  $I_{131}$ , we integrate  $\partial_t$  by parts to get

$$I_{131} \stackrel{L}{=} -5\sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} \mathcal{H}(\partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \partial_{t}^{4} v \, dS \, dt + 5\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} \mathcal{H}(\partial_{t}^{3} \overline{\partial} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \partial_{t}^{4} v \, dS$$

$$\stackrel{L}{=} -5\sigma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} \mathcal{H}(\partial_{t}^{4} \overline{\partial} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \partial_{t}^{4} v \, dS \, dt - 5\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{t} \mathcal{H}(\partial_{t}^{3} v \times \overline{\partial} \eta) \cdot \overline{\partial} \partial_{t}^{4} v \, dS$$

$$\lesssim \sqrt{\sigma} \int_{0}^{T} ||\partial_{t}^{4} v||_{1} |||\sqrt{\sigma} \partial_{t}^{4} v||_{1.5} ||\overline{\partial} \eta| \partial_{t} \mathcal{H}|_{L^{\infty}} + 5\sigma ||\partial_{t}^{4} v||_{1.5} ||\partial_{t}^{3} v||_{1} |\partial_{t} \mathcal{H}| \overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\lesssim \sqrt{\sigma} \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t)) (\sqrt{\sigma} E_{2}(t)) \, dt + 5\sigma ||\partial_{t}^{4} v||_{1.5} ||\partial_{t}^{3} v||_{1} |\partial_{t} \mathcal{H}| \overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

$$(6.22)$$

The last term should be controlled by using Young's inequality

$$\begin{split} &\sigma \|\partial_t^4 v\|_{1.5} \|\partial_t^3 v\|_1 |\partial_t \mathcal{H} \ \overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \sigma \left( \varepsilon \|\partial_t^4 v\|_{1.5}^2 + \|\partial_t^3 v\|_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{H} \ \overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}}^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \| \sqrt{\sigma} \partial_t^4 v\|_{1.5}^2 + \sigma \|\partial_t^3 v\|_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{H} \ \overline{\partial} \eta|_{L^{\infty}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \| \sqrt{\sigma} \partial_t^4 v\|_{1.5}^2 + \sigma \left( \mathcal{P}_0 + \int_0^T P(E_1(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \right). \end{split}$$

Summarizing (6.1)-(6.3) and (6.14)-(6.22), we conclude the  $\partial_t^5$  estimates as follows

$$\begin{split} &\|\partial_{t}^{5}v\|_{0}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \left| \overline{\partial}\partial_{t}^{4}v \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{0}^{2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\sigma}\partial_{t}^{4}v\|_{1.5}^{2} + \mathcal{P}_{0} + P(E_{1}(T))(\sigma E_{2}(T)) \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{split}$$
(6.23)

Since we consider the zero surface tension limit, we can take  $\sigma > 0$  sufficiently small to absorb the term  $\sigma E_1(t)$  to LHS in the final step.

#### 6.2 Mixed space-time derivatives

Replace  $\partial_t^5$  in Section 6.1 by  $\mathfrak{D}^4\partial_t$  with  $\mathfrak{D}=\overline{\partial}$  or  $\partial_t$ , we can similarly get the  $\mathfrak{D}^4\partial_t$  tangential estimates. The proof is similar and even easier since  $\partial_t^k v$  ( $1 \le k \le 4$ ) has at least  $H^{5-k}$  regularity and may be controlled in  $H^{4.5-k}$  norm on the boundary by using trace lemma. So we omit the proof and only list the result. For the related details one can refer to our previous work [12, Section 4.2].

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} \|\overline{\partial}^{5-k} \partial_{t}^{k} v\|_{0}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}^{5-k} \partial_{t}^{k} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \left|\overline{\partial}^{6-k} \partial_{t}^{k-1} v \cdot \hat{n}\right|_{0}^{2} \\
\lesssim \varepsilon(\sigma E_{2}(T)) + \mathcal{P}_{0} + P(E_{1}(T))(\sigma E_{2}(T)) \int_{0}^{T} P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{6.24}$$

## 7 Control of weighted Sobolev norms

#### 7.1 Weighted div-curl estimates

The estimate for  $\|\sqrt{\sigma}v\|_{4.5}^2$  and  $\|\sqrt{\sigma}(b_0\cdot\partial)\eta\|_{4.5}^2$  is done similarly as in Section 4.1 and 4.2. For the divergence, we directly get

$$\sigma \|\text{div }v\|_{4.5}^{2} + \sigma \|\text{div }(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4.5}^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon \sigma \left(\|v\|_{5.5}^{2} + \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{5.5}^{2}\right),\tag{7.1}$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} \sigma ||\operatorname{div} \, \partial_{t}^{k} v||_{4.5-k}^{2} + \sigma ||\operatorname{div} \, \partial_{t}^{k}(b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta||_{4.5-k}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{4} \varepsilon \sigma \left( ||\partial_{t}^{k} v||_{5.5-k}^{2} + ||\partial_{t}^{k}(b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta||_{5.5-k}^{2} \right) + P(E(0)) + \int_{0}^{T} P(E(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{7.2}$$

The weighted curl estimates follow similarly as in section 4.2. By taking  $\partial^{4.5}$  to (4.7), testing it with  $\sigma \partial^{4.5}$  curl  $_{A}v$ , and then integrating ( $b_0 \cdot \partial$ ) by parts, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v|^{2} + \int_{\Omega} |\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)|^{2} \right) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \sqrt{\sigma} [\partial^{4.5}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)] \operatorname{curl}_{A} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta + \sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \mathcal{F} \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} v) \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \left( \sqrt{\sigma} [\partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A}, (b_{0} \cdot \partial)] v \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) + \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{\partial_{t} A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) + \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{\partial_{t} A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) + \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{\partial_{t} A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) + \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) + \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) + \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) \right) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) + \int_{\Omega} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}_{A} ((b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta)) (\sqrt{\sigma} \partial^{4.5} \operatorname{curl}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \|\sqrt{\sigma}\mathcal{F}\|_{4.5}^2 &\leq \|\sqrt{\sigma} \operatorname{curl}_{\partial_t A} v\|_{4.5}^2 + \|\sqrt{\sigma} [\operatorname{curl}_A, (b_0 \cdot \partial)] (b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{4.5}^2 \\ &\leq P(\|b_0\|_{5.5}, \|\eta\|_5, \|v\|_5, \|(b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta\|_5, \|\sqrt{\sigma} \eta\|_{5.5}, \|\sqrt{\sigma} v\|_{5.5}, \|\sqrt{\sigma} (b_0 \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{5.5}), \end{split}$$

then the terms on the RHS of (7.3) can be controlled by P(E(t)) as well. Therefore,

$$\|\sqrt{\sigma}\operatorname{curl}_{A}\nu\|_{4}^{2} + \|\sqrt{\sigma}\operatorname{curl}_{A}(b_{0}\cdot\partial)\eta\|_{4}^{2} \lesssim \int_{0}^{T} P(E(t)), \tag{7.4}$$

which yields

$$\|\sqrt{\sigma} \operatorname{curl} v\|_{4.5}^{2} + \|\sqrt{\sigma} \operatorname{curl} (b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{4.5}^{2} \lesssim \varepsilon \sigma \left(\|v\|_{5.5}^{2} + \|(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta\|_{5.5}^{2}\right) + \int_{0}^{T} P(E(t)), \tag{7.5}$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} \sigma \|\operatorname{curl} \, \partial_{t}^{k} v\|_{4.5-k}^{2} + \sigma \|\operatorname{curl} \, \partial_{t}^{k} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{4.5-k}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{4} \varepsilon \sigma \left( \|\partial_{t}^{k} v\|_{5.5-k}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}^{k} (b_{0} \cdot \partial) \eta\|_{5.5-k}^{2} \right) + P(E(0)) + \int_{0}^{T} P(E(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{7.6}$$

## 7.2 Control of the weighted boundary norms

We still need to control  $\sqrt{\sigma}|\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k v \cdot N|_0$  and  $\sqrt{\sigma}|\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta \cdot N|_0$  for  $0 \le k \le 4$ . For the boundary estimates of v, one can directly compare them with the energy terms contributed by surface tension. (cf. (5.80), (6.23)-(6.24))

$$\sqrt{\sigma} \left| (\overline{\partial}^{5-k} \partial_t^k v \cdot N) - \overline{\partial}^{5-k} \partial_t^k v \cdot \hat{n} \right|_0 \lesssim \left| \sqrt{\sigma} \partial_t^k v \right|_{5-k} |\hat{n} - N|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \left\| \sqrt{\sigma} \partial_t^k v \right\|_{5.5-k} \int_0^T |\partial_t (\hat{n} - N)|_{L^{\infty}}^2 dt \tag{7.7}$$

As for  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta$ , when  $k \ge 1$ , we can directly control them by the norms of v

$$\sqrt{\sigma}|\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^k(b_0\cdot\partial)\eta\cdot N|_0 = \sqrt{\sigma}|\overline{\partial}^{5-k}\partial_t^{k-1}(b_0\cdot\partial)v\cdot N|_0 \lesssim ||b_0||_{L^\infty}||\sqrt{\sigma}\partial_t^{k-1}\partial v||_{5.5-k} + \text{ lower order terms.}$$
 (7.8)

When k = 0, again we need to compare it with the projection onto the Eulerian normal direction

$$\sqrt{\sigma} \left| (\overline{\partial}^{5}((b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta) \cdot N) - \overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta \cdot \hat{n} \right|_{0} \lesssim \left| \sqrt{\sigma}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta \right|_{5} |\hat{n} - N|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \left\| \sqrt{\sigma}(b_{0} \cdot \partial)\eta \right\|_{5.5} \int_{0}^{T} |\partial_{t}(\hat{n} - N)|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} dt, \tag{7.9}$$

and thus it remains to control  $\sqrt{\sigma}|\bar{\partial}^5(b_0\cdot\partial)\eta\cdot\hat{n}|_0$ . In fact, this term naturally appears as a boundary energy term contributed by the surface tension in the  $\bar{\partial}^4(b_0\cdot\partial)$  tangential estimate, which can be proceeded in the same way as  $\bar{\partial}^4\partial_t$ -estimate by just replacing  $\partial_t$  by  $(b_0\cdot\partial)$ . The reason for that is  $(b_0\cdot\partial)\eta$  and  $\eta$  have the same spatial regularity, which is similar with the fact that  $\partial_t\eta=v$  has the same spatial regularity as  $\eta$ . In other words, the tangential derivative  $(b_0\cdot\partial)$  (note that  $b_0\cdot N=0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ !) plays the same role as a time derivative if it falls on the flow map  $\eta$ . We just list the result of  $\overline{\partial}^4(b_0\cdot\partial)$ -estimate

$$\|\overline{\partial}^{4}(b_{0}\cdot\partial)v\|_{0}^{2} + \|\overline{\partial}^{4}(b_{0}\cdot\partial)^{2}\eta\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2}\left|\overline{\partial}^{5}(b_{0}\cdot\partial)\eta\cdot\hat{n}\right|_{0}^{2}\Big|_{t=T} \lesssim \mathcal{P}_{0} + P(E_{1}(T))(\sigma E_{2}(T))\int_{0}^{T}P(E_{1}(t))(\sigma E_{2}(t))\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{7.10}$$

#### 8 The zero surface tension limit

Now we conclude the energy estimates as follows. First, (4.5) and (4.14) give the div-curl control of the non-weighted Sobolev norms. Then by (4.15) and (4.16), the boundary normal traces are reduced to the interior tangential estimates which are established in (5.80) for the spatial derivatives and (6.23)-(6.24) for the space-time derivatives. In the control of the non-weighted Sobolev norms, the weighted energy  $\sigma E_2(t)$  is needed to close the energy estimates. The  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted div-curl estimates are established in (7.2) and (7.6), while the boundary normal traces are no longer reduced to the interior tangential estimates via Lemma 2.6. Instead, we notice that, in the non-weighted tangential estimates, the surface tension contributes to  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted boundary energies which are exactly the *Eulerian* normal traces of the weighted variables. Therefore, it suffices to estimate the difference between the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted Lagrangian normal traces and the  $\sqrt{\sigma}$ -weighted Eulerian normal traces, which is established in (7.7)-(7.9). Finally, we can get the following energy estimates

$$E(T) = E_1(T) + \sigma E_2(T) \lesssim \varepsilon E(t) + P(E(0)) + P(E(T)) \int_0^T P(E(t)) dt,$$
(8.1)

which together with Gronwall inequality implies that there exists some T > 0, independent of  $\sigma$ , such that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} E(t) \le P(E(0)) \le C. \tag{8.2}$$

Theorem 1.3 is proven.

Now we prove the zero surface tension limit. Assume  $(w, (b_0 \cdot \partial)\zeta, r)$  to be the solution to the incompressible MHD system without surface tension (1.15) and  $(v^{\sigma}, (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta^{\sigma}, q^{\sigma})$  to be the solution to (1.14) with  $\sigma > 0$ . Theorem 1.3 and Sobolev embedding implies that

$$\|v^{\sigma}\|_{C^{1}([0,T]\times\Omega)}^{2}+\|(b_{0}\cdot\partial)\eta^{\sigma}\|_{C^{1}([0,T]\times\Omega)}^{2}+\|q^{\sigma}\|_{C^{1}([0,T]\times\Omega)}^{2}\lesssim C.$$

By Morrey's embedding, we can prove  $v^{\sigma}$ ,  $(b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta^{\sigma}$ ,  $q^{\sigma} \in C_t^1 H_y^4([0,T] \times \Omega) \hookrightarrow C_t^1 C_y^{2,\frac{1}{2}}([0,T] \times \Omega)$ , which implies the equi-continuity of  $(v^{\sigma}, (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta^{\sigma}, q^{\sigma})$  in  $C^1([0,T] \times \Omega)$ . By Arzelà-Ascoli lemma, we prove the uniform convergence (up to subsequence) of  $(v^{\sigma}, (b_0 \cdot \partial)\eta^{\sigma}, q^{\sigma})$  as  $\sigma \to 0_+$ , and the limit is the solution  $(w, (b_0 \cdot \partial)\zeta, r)$  to (1.15).

#### References

- [1] Alinhac, S. Existence d'ondes de raréfaction pour des systèmes quasi-linéaires hyperboliques multidimensionnels. Commun. Partial Differential Equations, 14(2), 173-230, 1989.
- [2] Chen, G.-Q, Wang, Y.-G. Existence and stability of compressible current-vortex Sheets in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 187(3), 369-408, 2007.
- [3] Chen, P., Ding, S. Inviscid limit for the free-boundary problems of MHD equations with or without surface tension. arXiv: 1905.13047, preprint, 2019.
- [4] Christodoulou, D., Lindblad, H. On the motion of the free surface of a liquid. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 53(12), 1536–1602, 2000.
- [5] Coutand, D., Shkoller, S. Well-posedness of the free-surface incompressible Euler equations with or without surface tension. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(3): 829–930, 2007.
- [6] Disconzi, M. M., Kukavica, I. A priori estimates for the free-boundary Euler equations with surface tension in three dimensions. Nonlinearity, 32(9), 3369-3405, 2019.
- [7] Disconzi, M. M., Kukavica, I., Tuffaha, A. A Lagrangian interior regularity result for the incompressible free boundary Euler equation with surface tension. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 51(5), 3982-4022, 2019.
- [8] Ebin, D. G. *The equations of motion of a perfect fluid with free boundary are not well posed.* Commun. Partial Differential Equations, 12(10), 1175-1201, 1987.
- [9] Gu, X. Well-posedness of axially symmetric incompressible ideal magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum under the non-collinearity condition. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 18(2), 569-602, 2019.
- [10] Gu, X. Well-posedness of axially symmetric incompressible ideal magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum under the Rayleigh-Taylor sign condition. arXiv: 1712.02152, preprint, 2017.
- [11] Gu, X. and Lei, Z. Local Well-posedness of the Free Boundary Incompressible Elastodynamics with Surface Tension. arXiv: 2008.13354, preprint, 2020.
- [12] Gu, X., Luo, C., and Zhang, J. Local Well-posedness of the Free-Boundary Incompressible Magnetohydrodynamics with Surface Tension. arXiv:2105.00596, preprint, 2021.
- [13] Gu, X. and Wang, Y. On the construction of solutions to the free-surface incompressible ideal magnetohydrodynamic equations. J. Math. Pures Appl., Vol. 128, 1-41, 2019.

- [14] Guo, B., Zeng, L. and Ni, G. Decay rates for the Viscous Incompressible MHD with and without Surface Tension. Comput. Math. Appl., 77(12), 3224-3249, 2019.
- [15] Hao, C. and Luo, T. A priori estimates for free boundary problem of incompressible inviscid magnetohydrodynamic flows. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 212(3), 805–847, 2014.
- [16] Hao, C. and Luo, T. *Ill-posedness of free boundary problem of the incompressible ideal MHD.* Commun. Math. Phys., 376(1), 259-286, 2020.
- [17] Hao, C. and Luo, T. Well-posedness for the linearized free boundary problem of incompressible ideal magnetohydrodynamics equations. J. Differ. Eq., 299, 542-601, 2021.
- [18] Ignatova, M., Kukavica, I. On the local existence of the free-surface Euler equation with surface tension. Asymptot. Anal., 100(1-2): 63–86, 2016.
- [19] Ji, H., Fox, W., Pace, D., Rappaport, H.L. Study of small-amplitude magnetohydrodynamic surface waves on liquid metal. Physics of Plasmas, 12, 012102, 2005.
- [20] Kato, T., Ponce, G. Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 41(7), 891–907, 1988.
- [21] Lee, D. Initial value problem for the free boundary magnetohydrodynamics with zero magnetic boundary condition. Commun. Math. Sci., 16(3): 589-615, 2018.
- [22] Lee, D. Uniform estimate of viscous free-boundary magnetohydrodynamics with zero vacuum magnetic field. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 49(4): 2710-2789, 2017.
- [23] Lindblad, H. Well-posedness for the linearized motion of an incompressible liquid with free surface boundary. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 56(2), 153-197, 2003.
- [24] Lindblad, H. Well-posedness for the motion of an incompressible liquid with free surface boundary. Ann. Math., 162(1), 109-194, 2005.
- [25] Lindblad, H., Zhang, J. Anisotropic Regularity of the Free-Boundary Problem in Compressible Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics. arXiv: 2106.12173, preprint, 2021.
- [26] Luo, C., Zhang, J. A Regularity Result for the Incompressible Magnetohydrodynamics Equations with Free Surface Boundary. Nonlinearity, 33(4), 1499-1527, 2020.
- [27] Luo, C., Zhang, J. A priori Estimates for the Incompressible Free-Boundary Magnetohydrodynamics Equations with Surface Tension. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 53(2), 2595-2630, 2021.
- [28] Molokov, S., Reed, C. B. Review of Free-Surface MHD Experiments and Modeling. United States: N. p., 2000.
- [29] Samulyak, R., Du, J., Glimm, J., Xu, Z. A numerical algorithm for MHD of free surface flows at low magnetic Reynolds numbers. J. Comput. Phys., 226, 1532-1549, 2007.
- [30] Secchi, P., Trakhinin, Y. Well-posedness of the plasma-vacuum interface problem. Nonlinearity, 27(1), 105-169, 2013.
- [31] Shatah, J., Zeng, C. Geometry and a priori estimates for free boundary problems of the Euler's equation. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 61(5), 698-744, 2008.
- [32] Shatah, J., Zeng, C. A priori estimates for fluid interface problems. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 61(6), 848-876, 2008.
- [33] Shatah, J., Zeng, C. Local well-posedness for fluid interface problems. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 199(2), 653-705, 2011.
- [34] Stone, J.M., Gardiner, T. Nonlinear evolution of the magnetohydrodynamic Rayleigh-Taylor instability. Phys. Fluids, 19(9), 094104, 2007.
- [35] Stone, J.M., Gardiner, T. The magnetic Rayleigh-Taylor instability in three dimensions. The Astrophysical Journal, 671(2), 1726-1735, 2007.
- [36] Sun, Y., Wang, W., Zhang, Z. Nonlinear Stability of the Current-Vortex Sheet to the Incompressible MHD Equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 71(2), 356-403, 2017.
- [37] Sun, Y., Wang, W., Zhang, Z. Well-posedness of the plasma-vacuum interface problem for ideal incompressible MHD. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 234(1), 81-113, 2019.
- [38] Trakhinin, Y. The Existence of Current-Vortex Sheets in Ideal Compressible Magnetohydrodynamics. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 191(2), 245-310, 2009.
- [39] Trakhinin, Y., Wang, T. Well-posedness of Free Boundary Problem in Non-relativistic and Relativistic Ideal Compressible Magnetohydrodynamics. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 239(2), 2021.
- [40] Trakhinin, Y., Wang, T. Well-posedness of the Free Boundary Problem in Ideal Compressible Magnetohydrodynamics with Surface Tension. Math. Ann., to appear, 2021.
- [41] Wang, Y.-G., Yu, F. Stabilization Effect of Magnetic Fields on Two-Dimensional Compressible Current-Vortex Sheets. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 208(2), 341-389, 2013.
- [42] Wang, Y. and Xin, Z. Global Well-posedness of Free Interface Problems for the incompressible Inviscid Resistive MHD. arXiv: 2009.11636, preprint, 2020.
- [43] Wu, S. Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 2-D. Invent. Math., 130(1), 39-72, 1997.
- [44] Wu, S. Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 3-D. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 12(2), 445-495, 1999.
- [45] Zhang, P. and Zhang, Z. . On the free boundary problem of three-dimensional incompressible Euler equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 61(7), 877-940, 2008.