

# Supplementary Materials:

## DIDO: Deep Inertial Quadrotor Dynamical Odometry

Kunyi Zhang<sup>1,2</sup> - kunyizhang@zju.edu.cn  
Chenxing Jiang<sup>1,2</sup> - chenxingjiang@zju.edu.cn  
Jinghang Li<sup>2</sup> - jhanglee.ro@gmail.com  
Sheng Yang<sup>3</sup> - richeng.ys@alibaba-inc.com  
Teng Ma<sup>3</sup> - damon.mt@alibaba-inc.com  
Chao Xu<sup>1,2</sup> - chaoxu@zju.edu.cn  
Fei Gao<sup>1,2</sup> - fgaoaa@zju.edu.cn

### CONTENTS

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Acknowledgement</b>                                 | 1 |
| <b>I IMU Kinematics and Quadrotor Dynamics</b>         | 2 |
| I-A IMU Kinematics . . . . .                           | 2 |
| I-B Quadrotor Dynamics . . . . .                       | 2 |
| <b>II Two-Stage EKF for Inertial Dynamical Fusion</b>  | 2 |
| II-A Rotation Stage . . . . .                          | 2 |
| II-A.1 State . . . . .                                 | 2 |
| II-A.2 Process Model . . . . .                         | 3 |
| II-A.3 Measurement Model . . . . .                     | 3 |
| II-A.4 Extended Kalman Filter . . . . .                | 3 |
| II-B Translation Stage . . . . .                       | 3 |
| II-B.1 State . . . . .                                 | 3 |
| II-B.2 Process Model . . . . .                         | 4 |
| II-B.3 Measurement Models . . . . .                    | 4 |
| II-B.4 Discrete Extended Kalman Filter . . . . .       | 6 |
| <b>III One-Stage EKF for Inertial Dynamical Fusion</b> | 6 |
| III-A State . . . . .                                  | 6 |
| III-B Process Model . . . . .                          | 6 |
| III-C Measurement Model . . . . .                      | 7 |
| III-C.1 gravity alignment measurements . . . . .       | 7 |
| III-C.2 dynamics constraint measurements . . . . .     | 7 |
| III-C.3 network velocity measurements . . . . .        | 8 |
| III-C.4 network displacement measurements . . . . .    | 8 |
| III-D Discrete Extended Kalman Filter . . . . .        | 9 |
| <b>IV Observability Analysis</b>                       | 9 |

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Industrial Control Technology, Institute of Cyber-Systems and Control, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China.

<sup>2</sup>Huzhou Institute, Zhejiang University, Huzhou 313000, China.

<sup>3</sup>Alibaba DAMO Academy Autonomous Driving Lab, Hangzhou 311121, China.

## I. IMU KINEMATICS AND QUADROTOR DYNAMICS

### A. IMU Kinematics

IMU measurements include the gyroscope  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$  and non-gravitational acceleration  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}$ , which are measured in the IMU frame (the  $\mathcal{I}$  frame) and given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} &= \mathcal{I}\boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathcal{I}\boldsymbol{b}_{\omega} + \boldsymbol{n}_{\omega}, \\ \mathcal{I}\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} &= \mathcal{I}\boldsymbol{a} + \mathcal{I}\boldsymbol{b}_a + \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R}^{\top}\mathcal{G}\mathbf{g} + \boldsymbol{n}_a,\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}\boldsymbol{\omega}$  and  $\mathcal{I}\boldsymbol{a}$  are the true angular velocity and acceleration,  $\mathcal{G}\mathbf{g} = [0, 0, 9.8]$  is the gravity vector in the gravity-aligned frame (the  $\mathcal{G}$  frame),  $\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R}$  is the rotation matrix from the  $\mathcal{I}$  frame to the  $\mathcal{G}$  frame,  $\boldsymbol{n}_{\omega}$  and  $\boldsymbol{n}_a$  are the additive Gaussian white noise in gyroscope and acceleration measurements,  $\boldsymbol{b}_{\omega}$  and  $\boldsymbol{b}_a$  are the bias of IMU modeled as random walk:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{n}_{\omega} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_{\omega}^2), \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\omega} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_{b_{\omega}}^2), \\ \boldsymbol{n}_a &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_a^2), \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{b}}_a \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_{b_a}^2).\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

### B. Quadrotor Dynamics

Because the propagation of kinematic states is driven by multiple propulsion units in a quadrotor system, we model the Newtonian dynamics according to [1]. The total driving force of a quadrotor in the body frame (the  $\mathcal{B}$  frame) is the sum of the thrust  ${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{F}_t$  and drag force  ${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{F}_d$  generated by each propulsion unit as follow:

$${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{F} = \sum_{i=1}^4 ({}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{F}_{t_i} - {}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{F}_{d_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 (\tau u_i^2 \boldsymbol{e}_3 - u_i D {}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{v}_i), \quad (3)$$

where  $\tau$  is the thrust coefficient for the propellers,  $D = \text{diag}(d_x, d_y, d_z)$  is the matrix of effective linear drag coefficients,  $\boldsymbol{e}_3 = [0, 0, 1]^{\top}$  is the  $z$  axis in any frame, and  $u_i$  and  ${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{v}_i$  are the rotation speed and velocity of the  $i$ -th rotor, respectively. Actually, the velocity of each rotor is:

$${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{v}_i = {}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{v} + {}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{\omega} \times {}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{r}_i^{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (4)$$

where  ${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{v}$  and  ${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{\omega}$  are the linear and angular velocity of the quadrotor's center of mass (CoM),  ${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{r}_i^{\mathcal{B}}$  is the position of the  $i$ -th rotor relative to the CoM. To simplify the calculation, we ignore the velocity discrepancy of different rotors and express it as:

$${}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{v}_i \approx {}^{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{v}. \quad (5)$$

The input notations are abbreviated as:

$$U_{ss} = \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i^2, \quad U_s = \sum_{i=1}^4 u_i, \quad (6)$$

so we can obtain the Newtonian equation in the  $\mathcal{G}$  frame:

$$m \frac{d}{dt} ({}^{\mathcal{G}}\boldsymbol{v}) = \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{B}}\mathbf{R} (\tau U_{ss} \boldsymbol{e}_3 - U_s D \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{B}}\mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathcal{G} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}) - m \mathcal{G} \mathbf{g}. \quad (7)$$

## II. TWO-STAGE EKF FOR INERTIAL DYNAMICAL FUSION

### A. Rotation Stage

1) *State*: In the rotation stage, the rotation of the  $\mathcal{I}$  frame in the  $\mathcal{G}$  frame is taken as state:

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}}\boldsymbol{q}. \quad (8)$$

2) *Process Model*: The rotational equation is given:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} \mathbf{q} \otimes \mathcal{I} \boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} \mathbf{q} \otimes (\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} + \mathbf{n}_\omega), \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \mathcal{I} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} - \mathcal{I} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_\omega$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_\omega \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_\omega^2)$ , and  $\mathcal{I} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_\omega$  is the output of the gyroscope *De-Bias Net*.

The above differential equation is discretized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{n}_k) \\ &= \mathbf{F}_x \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{F}_n \mathbf{n}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_x &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \mathbf{I}_4 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^\top \\ \mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} & [\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_\times \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{F}_n &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_\omega} = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -q_x & -q_y & -q_z \\ q_w & -q_z & q_y \\ q_z & q_w & -q_x \\ -q_y & q_x & q_w \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{q}_v \\ q_w \mathbf{I}_3 + [\mathbf{q}_v]_\times \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Specifically,  $\mathbf{q} = [q_w, q_x, q_y, q_z]^\top = [q_w, \mathbf{q}_v^\top]^\top$ .

3) *Measurement Model*: The attitude controllers of most flying robots rely on the complementary filters [2, 3] to obtain attitude observations. Similarly, we consider the gravity alignment constraint to obtain the tilt observation:

$$\mathcal{I} \hat{\mathbf{a}} \approx \mathcal{G} \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{n}_a. \quad (12)$$

Similarly,  $\mathcal{I} \hat{\mathbf{a}} = \mathcal{I} \tilde{\mathbf{a}} - \mathcal{I} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_a$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_a \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_a^2)$ , and  $\mathcal{I} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_a$  is the output of the accelerometer *De-Bias Net*.

The above observation equation is approximated as:

$$\mathbf{z}_k = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{H}_x \mathbf{x}_k, \quad (13)$$

where,

$$\mathbf{H}_x = 2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{q}_v & [\mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{q}_v + q_w \mathbf{e}_3]_\times + (\mathbf{q}_v \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) \mathbf{I}_3 - \mathbf{e}_3 \mathbf{q}_v^\top \end{bmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

4) *Extended Kalman Filter*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k} &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{k|k}, \mathbf{u}_k), \\ \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} &= \mathbf{F}_x \mathbf{P}_{k|k} \mathbf{F}_x^\top + \mathbf{F}_u \mathbf{Q}_k \mathbf{F}_u^\top, \\ \mathbf{K}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} \mathbf{H}_x^\top (\mathbf{H}_x \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} \mathbf{H}_x^\top + \mathbf{R}_{k+1})^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k+1} &= \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k} \oplus (\mathbf{K}_{k+1} (\mathbf{z}_{k+1} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1|k}))), \\ \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_{k+1} \mathbf{H}_x) \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where,

$$\mathbf{Q} = \Sigma_\omega^2, \quad \mathbf{R} = \Sigma_a^2. \quad (16)$$

## B. Translation Stage

1) *State*: The state of the second stage is defined as:

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathcal{G} \mathbf{p}_B^\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G} \mathbf{v}_B^\mathcal{G}, \tau, \mathbf{d}, \mathcal{I}_B \mathbf{q}, \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_B^\mathcal{I}), \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathcal{G} \mathbf{p}_B^\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G} \mathbf{v}_B^\mathcal{G}$  are respectively the velocity and position of the quadrotor body  $\mathcal{B}$  frame expressed in the  $\mathcal{G}$  frame,  $\tau$  is the thrust coefficient,  $\mathbf{d}$  is the drag vector of  $(d_x, d_y, d_z)$ , and  $(\mathcal{I}_B \mathbf{q}, \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_B^\mathcal{I})$  is the extrinsic parameter between the  $\mathcal{B}$  and the  $\mathcal{I}$  frame.

2) *Process Model*: We regard the quadrotor dynamics as the input, and express the complete process model as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{\mathcal{G}}\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathcal{B}} &= {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}, \\
{}^{\mathcal{G}}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathcal{B}} &= \frac{1}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} \left( \tau U_{ss} \mathbf{e}_3 - U_s D {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}^{\top} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res} + \mathbf{n}_f \right) - {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{g}, \\
\dot{\tau} &= 0, \\
\dot{\mathbf{d}} &= \mathbf{0}, \\
\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\mathcal{B}}\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \mathbf{0}, \\
\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\mathcal{B}}\dot{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathcal{B}} &= \mathbf{0},
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where  ${}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} = \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\top}\mathbf{R}$  is the rotation from the quadrotor body  $\mathcal{B}$  frame to the  $\mathcal{G}$  frame, and  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res}$  and  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{f}}^2$  ( $\mathbf{n}_f \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{f}}^2)$ ) are the *Res-Dynamics Net* outputs.

The above differential equation is discretized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{n}_k) \\
&= \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{n}_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \tau} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{d}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_{13} & \mathbf{0}_{13} & 1 & \mathbf{0}_{13} & \mathbf{0}_{13} & \mathbf{0}_{13} \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{n}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_f} & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} &= \mathbf{I}_3 \Delta t, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} &= \mathbf{I} - \frac{U_s \Delta t}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} D {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}^{\top}, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \tau} &= \frac{U_{ss} \Delta t}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} \mathbf{e}_3, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{d}} &= -\frac{\Delta t}{m} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_1 {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_1^{\top} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}} & {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_2 {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_2^{\top} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}} & {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_3 {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_3^{\top} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{\Delta t}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} \left( -\tau U_{ss} [\mathbf{e}_3]_{\times} + U_s \left( [D {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}^{\top} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\times} - D [{}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}^{\top} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}]_{\times} \right) - [\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res}]_{\times} \right), \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_f} &= \frac{1}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} \Delta t,
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and  $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{e}_i$ .

3) *Measurement Models*: There are three measurements corresponding to the translation stage: the IMU acceleration, the network velocity, and the network displacement measurements.

a) *dynamics constraint measurements*: The dynamics constraint measurements are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\mathbf{a}} &= \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_a) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R} \left( \tau U_{ss} \mathbf{e}_3 - U_s D_B^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} + \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res} \right) - {}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times ({}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}) - {}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \times {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}} + \mathbf{n}_a, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

whose jacobian can be given as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \tau} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{d}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\boldsymbol{\theta}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} &= -\frac{U_s}{m} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R} D_B^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top}, \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \tau} &= \frac{U_{ss}}{m} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R} \mathbf{e}_3, \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{d}} &= -\frac{U_s}{m} \left[ {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{r}_{1B}^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{r}_{1B}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} \quad {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{r}_{2B}^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{r}_{2B}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} \quad {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{r}_{3B}^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{r}_{3B}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} \right], \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{1}{m} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R} \left( -\tau U_{ss} [\mathbf{e}_3]_{\times} + U_s \left( [D_B^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} - D [{}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} \right) - [\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res}]_{\times} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}} &= -[{}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_{\times} [{}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_{\times} - [{}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}]_{\times}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Specifically,  ${}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  is the angular acceleration in the  $\mathcal{I}$  frame, which is obtained by differentiating the angular velocity,  ${}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \frac{d}{dt} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ . In practice, we low-pass filter the  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  to reduce noise. And, the noise of IMU acceleration measurements is  $\mathbf{n}_a \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_a^2)$ .

b) *network velocity measurements*: The velocity measurements from *V-P Net* are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}} &= \mathbf{H}_v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_v) \\ &= {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} - {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} ({}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}) + \mathbf{n}_v, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

whose jacobian can be given as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_v}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_v}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_v}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}} = -{}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} [{}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_{\times}. \quad (27)$$

Specifically, the noise of network velocity measurements is  $\mathbf{n}_v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\Sigma}_v^2)$ .

c) *network displacement measurements*: The displacement measurements from *V-P Net* are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}} &= \mathbf{H}_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_p) \\ &= {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{p}_B^{\mathcal{G}} - {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}} + \mathbf{n}_p, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

whose jacobian can be given as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

where,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}} = -{}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}. \quad (30)$$

Specifically, the noise of network displacement measurements is  $\mathbf{n}_p \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\Sigma}_p^2)$ .

4) *Discrete Extended Kalman Filter*: The complete EKF procedures for both rotation and translation can be written in a discretized form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{k+1|k} &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{k|k}, \mathbf{u}_k), \\
\mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} &= \mathbf{F}_x \mathbf{P}_{k|k} \mathbf{F}_x^T + \mathbf{F}_u \mathbf{Q}_k \mathbf{F}_u^T, \\
\mathbf{K}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} \mathbf{H}_x^T (\mathbf{H}_x \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} \mathbf{H}_x^T + \mathbf{R}_{k+1})^{-1}, \\
\mathbf{x}_{k+1|k+1} &= \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k} \oplus (\mathbf{K}_{k+1} (\mathbf{z}_{k+1} - h(\mathbf{x}_{k+1|k}))), \\
\mathbf{P}_{k+1|k+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_{k+1} \mathbf{H}_x) \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k},
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where,

$$\mathbf{Q} = \hat{\Sigma}_f^2, \quad \mathbf{R} = \text{diag}\{\Sigma_a^2, \hat{\Sigma}_v^2, \hat{\Sigma}_p^2\}. \tag{32}$$

### III. ONE-STAGE EKF FOR INERTIAL DYNAMICAL FUSION

#### A. State

The state of the system is defined as:

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathcal{I}^g \mathbf{q}, \mathcal{G} \mathbf{p}_B^g, \mathcal{G} \mathbf{v}_B^g, \tau, \mathbf{d}, \mathcal{I}_B^g \mathbf{q}, \mathcal{I}^g \mathbf{t}_B^g). \tag{33}$$

#### B. Process Model

We regard the quadrotor dynamics and gyroscope outputs of *De-Bias Net* as the input, and express the complete process model as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^g \dot{\mathbf{q}} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}^g \mathbf{q} \otimes (\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} + \mathbf{n}_\omega), \\
\mathcal{G} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_B^g &= \mathcal{G} \mathbf{v}_B^g, \\
\mathcal{G} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_B^g &= \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{G} \mathbf{R} \left( \tau U_{ss} \mathbf{e}_3 - U_s D_B^g \mathbf{R}^\top \mathcal{G} \mathbf{v}_B^g + \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res} + \mathbf{n}_f \right) - \mathcal{G} \mathbf{g}, \\
\dot{\tau} &= 0, \\
\dot{\mathbf{d}} &= \mathbf{0}, \\
\mathcal{I}_B^g \dot{\mathbf{q}} &= \mathbf{0}, \\
\mathcal{I}^g \dot{\mathbf{t}}_B^g &= \mathbf{0},
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The above differential equation is discretized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{u}_k) \\
&= \mathbf{F}_x \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{F}_n \mathbf{n}_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_x &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathcal{I}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 \Delta t & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathcal{I}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \tau} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{d}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathcal{I}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}} & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{13} & \mathbf{0}_{13} & 1 & \mathbf{0}_{13} & \mathbf{0}_{13} & \mathbf{0}_{13} \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{F}_n &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_\omega} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_f} & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \end{bmatrix}^\top.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k+1}}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} &= \text{Exp}(-{}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\Delta t), \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} &= \frac{\Delta t}{m} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R} \left( -\tau U_{ss} [\mathcal{I}_B \mathbf{r}_3]_{\times} + U_s \left( {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} [\mathcal{I}_B \mathbf{R} D_B^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} - {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} D_B^{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R}^{\top} [{}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} \right) - [\mathcal{I}_B \mathbf{R} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res}]_{\times} \right), \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} &= \mathbf{I} - \frac{U_s \Delta t}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} D_B^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top}, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \tau} &= \frac{U_{ss} \Delta t}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} \mathbf{r}_3, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{d}} &= -\frac{\Delta t}{m} [{}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_1 {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_1^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} \quad {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_2 {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_2^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} \quad {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_3 {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{r}_3^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}}], \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{\Delta t}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} \left( -\tau U_{ss} [\mathbf{e}_3]_{\times} + U_s \left( [D_B^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} - D [\mathcal{I}_B \mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} \right) - [\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res}]_{\times} \right), \\
\frac{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{\omega}} &= \mathbf{J}_r ({}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\Delta t) \Delta t, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k+1}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_f} &= \frac{1}{m} {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R} \Delta t,
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where,

$$\mathbf{J}_r(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{I}_3 - \frac{1 - \cos\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2} [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times} + \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\| - \sin\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^3} [\boldsymbol{\theta}]_{\times}^2 \tag{38}$$

### C. Measurement Model

1) *gravity alignment measurements*: The attitude controllers of most flying robots rely on the complementary filters [2, 3] to obtain attitude observations. Similarly, we consider the gravity alignment constraint to obtain the tilt observation:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\mathbf{a}} &= \mathbf{H}_g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_g) \\
&\approx {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{n}_g,
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

whose jacobian can be given as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_g}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_g}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{40}$$

where,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_g}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} = [\mathcal{I}_B \mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{g}]_{\times}. \tag{41}$$

2) *dynamics constraint measurements*: The dynamics constraint measurements are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\mathbf{a}} &= \mathbf{H}_d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_a) \\
&= \frac{1}{m} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R} \left( \tau U_{ss} \mathbf{e}_3 - U_s D_B^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{v}_B^{\mathcal{G}} + \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res} \right) - {}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times ({}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}) - {}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \times {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}} + \mathbf{n}_a,
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

whose jacobian can be given as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \tau} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{d}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \boldsymbol{\theta}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial_{\mathcal{I}}^g \mathbf{t}_B^{\mathcal{I}}} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{43}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathcal{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} &= -\frac{U_s}{m} \mathcal{I} \mathbf{R} D_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R}^{\top} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \right]_{\times}, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{v}_k} &= -\frac{U_s}{m} \mathcal{I} \mathbf{R} D_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top}, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \tau} &= \frac{U_{ss}}{m} \mathcal{I} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{r}_3, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathbf{d}} &= -\frac{U_s}{m} \left[ \mathcal{I} \mathbf{r}_1 \mathcal{G} \mathbf{r}_1^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \quad \mathcal{I} \mathbf{r}_2 \mathcal{G} \mathbf{r}_2^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \quad \mathcal{I} \mathbf{r}_3 \mathcal{G} \mathbf{r}_3^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \right], \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathcal{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{I} \mathbf{R} \left( -k U_{ss} [\mathbf{e}_3]_{\times} + U_s \left( [D_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{R}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} - D [\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{R}^{\top \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}]_{\times} \right) - [\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{res}]_{\times} \right), \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_d}{\partial \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}} &= -[\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_{\times} [\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_{\times} - [\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}]_{\times}.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Specifically,  $\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  is the angular acceleration in the  $\mathcal{I}$  frame, which is obtained by differentiating the angular velocity,  $\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ . In practice, we low-pass filter the  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  to reduce noise. And, the noise of IMU acceleration measurements is  $\mathbf{n}_a \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_a^2)$ .

3) *network velocity measurements*: The velocity measurements from *V-P Net* are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G} \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}} &= \mathbf{H}_v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_v) \\
&= \mathcal{G} \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} - \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R} (\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}) + \mathbf{n}_v,
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

whose jacobian can be given as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_v}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_v}{\partial \mathcal{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_v}{\partial \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{46}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_v}{\partial \mathcal{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} &= \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R} [\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}]_{\times}, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_v}{\partial \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}} &= -\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R} [\mathcal{I} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}]_{\times}.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Specifically, the noise of network velocity measurements is  $\mathbf{n}_v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\Sigma}_v^2)$ .

4) *network displacement measurements*: The displacement measurements from *V-P Net* are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}} &= \mathbf{H}_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}_p) \\
&= \mathcal{G} \mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} - \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R} \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} + \mathbf{n}_p,
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

whose jacobian can be given as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial \mathcal{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} & \mathbf{I}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_{31} & \mathbf{0}_3 & \mathbf{0}_3 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{49}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial \mathcal{I} \boldsymbol{\theta}_k} &= \frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R} [\mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}]_{\times}, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_p}{\partial \mathcal{I} \mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}} &= -\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{I}} \mathbf{R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Specifically, the noise of network displacement measurements is  $\mathbf{n}_p \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \hat{\Sigma}_p^2)$ .



#### D. Discrete Extended Kalman Filter

The complete EKF procedures for both rotation and translation can be written in a discretized form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k} &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_{k|k}, \mathbf{u}_k), \\ \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} &= \mathbf{F}_x \mathbf{P}_{k|k} \mathbf{F}_x^T + \mathbf{F}_u \mathbf{Q}_k \mathbf{F}_u^T, \\ \mathbf{K}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} \mathbf{H}_x^T (\mathbf{H}_x \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k} \mathbf{H}_x^T + \mathbf{R}_{k+1})^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k+1} &= \mathbf{x}_{k+1|k} \oplus (\mathbf{K}_{k+1} (\mathbf{z}_{k+1} - h(\mathbf{x}_{k+1|k}))), \\ \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_{k+1} \mathbf{H}_x) \mathbf{P}_{k+1|k}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where,

$$\mathbf{Q} = \text{diag}\{\Sigma_\omega^2, \hat{\Sigma}_f^2\}, \quad \mathbf{R} = \text{diag}\{\Sigma_a^2, \hat{\Sigma}_v^2, \hat{\Sigma}_p^2\}. \quad (52)$$

#### IV. OBSERVABILITY ANALYSIS

According to the observability analysis method developed in [4], we could analyze the observability of a control affine system by checking the observability rank criterion.

For the rotation stage which is driven by Eq. (9) and observed by Eq. (12), the rotation  $\frac{g}{I} \mathbf{q}$  is composed of two observable angles (roll and pitch) and an unobservable yaw angle.

For the translation stage, we first write the process model of the system in control affine form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_i. \quad (53)$$

Since the four motor speeds of the quadrotor are integrated into the two inputs  $U_{ss}$  and  $U_s$  in Eq. (18), our system could be presented:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{f}_0(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{x}) U_{ss} + \mathbf{f}_2(\mathbf{x}) U_s \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{g}{m} \mathbf{v}_B^g \\ -\frac{g}{m} \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{0}_{10 \times 1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1} \\ \frac{1}{m} \frac{g}{B} \mathbf{R} \tau \mathbf{e}_3 \\ \mathbf{0}_{10 \times 1} \end{bmatrix} U_{ss} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1} \\ -\frac{1}{m} \frac{g}{B} \mathbf{R} D_B^g \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{g} \mathbf{v}_B^g \\ \mathbf{0}_{10 \times 1} \end{bmatrix} U_s. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

And then, we simplify the three measurement models Eq. (22, 25, 28) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_d &= \frac{1}{m} \frac{I}{B} \mathbf{R} (\tau U_{ss} \mathbf{e}_3 - U_s D_B^g \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{g} \mathbf{v}_B^g) - {}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times ({}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times {}^I \mathbf{t}_B^I) - {}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \times {}^I \mathbf{t}_B^I, \\ \mathbf{h}_v &= \frac{g}{m} \mathbf{v}_B^g - \frac{g}{I} \mathbf{R} ({}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times {}^I \mathbf{t}_B^I), \\ \mathbf{h}_p &= \frac{g}{m} \mathbf{p}_B^g - \frac{g}{I} \mathbf{R} {}^I \mathbf{t}_B^I. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

We use Lie derivatives to quantify the impact of changes in the control input  $U_{ss}$  and  $U_s$  on the output functions  $\mathbf{h}_d$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_v$  and  $\mathbf{h}_p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L^0 \mathbf{h} &= \mathbf{h}, \\ L_{\mathbf{f}_{i_1}, \mathbf{f}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{i_{k+1}}}^{k+1} \mathbf{h} &= \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \left( L_{\mathbf{f}_{i_1}, \mathbf{f}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{i_k}}^k \mathbf{h} \right) \mathbf{f}_{i_{k+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

We stack vertically several Lie derivatives of the unforced vector field  $\mathbf{f}_0$  and the control input vector fields  $\mathbf{f}_1$  and  $\mathbf{f}_2$  into a vector  $\mathcal{O}$ :

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_d \\ \mathbf{h}_v \\ \mathbf{h}_p \\ L_{\mathbf{f}_0} \mathbf{h}_d \\ L_{\mathbf{f}_0} \mathbf{h}_p \\ L_{\mathbf{f}_1} \mathbf{h}_d \\ L_{\mathbf{f}_1} \mathbf{h}_v \\ L_{\mathbf{f}_2} \mathbf{h}_v \end{bmatrix}, \quad (57)$$

and calculate its gradients as observability matrix  $\nabla_x \mathcal{O}$ . Finally, we can evaluate whether the system is locally observable by checking the observability rank criterion. In general, the rank is:

$$\text{rank}\{\nabla_x \mathcal{O}\} = 11. \quad (58)$$

However, under some certain conditions the matrix has a rank deficiency. Some of these cases are when:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1}, {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} \text{ is unobservable;} \\ {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1}, D \text{ and } {}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R} \text{ are unobservable;} \\ {}^{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}} = [0; 0; *], \text{yaw}({}^{\mathcal{I}}\mathbf{R}) \text{ is unobservable,} \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

which means the corresponding parameters to be estimated may not converge under the above conditions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Svacha, J. Paulos, G. Loianno, and V. Kumar, “Imu-based inertia estimation for a quadrotor using newton-euler dynamics,” *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 3861–3867, 2020.
- [2] R. Mahony, T. Hamel, and J.-M. Pflimlin, “Nonlinear complementary filters on the special orthogonal group,” *IEEE Transactions on automatic control*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1203–1218, 2008.
- [3] S. O. Madgwick, A. J. Harrison, and R. Vaidyanathan, “Estimation of imu and marg orientation using a gradient descent algorithm,” in *2011 IEEE international conference on rehabilitation robotics*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 1–7.
- [4] R. Hermann and A. Krener, “Nonlinear controllability and observability,” *IEEE Transactions on automatic control*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 728–740, 1977.