

butions with means and covariance matrices characterized by (27-30).

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we need to establish the tightness of $\ln L(h; \lambda)$ and $\ln L(h; \mu)$, viewed as random elements of the space $C[0, \bar{h}]^r$, as $n, p \rightarrow \infty$ so that $p/n \rightarrow c$. Formulae (25-26) and the facts that $S - p = O_p(1)$, and that $\Delta_p(z_{i0}) = O_p(1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, where $O_p(1)$ are uniform in $h \in (0, \bar{h}]^r$, imply that for an arbitrarily small positive ε , there must exist $B > 0$ such that $\Pr\left(\sup_{h \in (0, \bar{h}]^r} |\ln L(h; \lambda)| > B\right) < \varepsilon$ and $\Pr\left(\sup_{h \in (0, \bar{h}]^r} |\ln L(h; \mu)| > B\right) < \varepsilon$ for sufficiently large n and p . Since, as implied by Proposition 1, $\ln L(h; \lambda)$ and $\ln L(h; \mu)$ are continuous functions on $h \in [0, \bar{h}]^r$, $\sup_{h \in (0, \bar{h}]^r} |\ln L(h; \lambda)| = \sup_{h \in [0, \bar{h}]^r} |\ln L(h; \lambda)|$, and $\sup_{h \in (0, \bar{h}]^r} |\ln L(h; \mu)| = \sup_{h \in [0, \bar{h}]^r} |\ln L(h; \mu)|$, so that the tightness of $\ln L(h; \lambda)$ and $\ln L(h; \mu)$ follows. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To save space, we only derive the asymptotic power envelope for the relatively more difficult case of real-valued data and μ -based tests. According to the Neyman-Pearson lemma, the most powerful test of the null $h = 0$ against a point alternative $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ is the test which rejects the null when $\ln L(h; \mu)$ is larger than a critical value C . It follows from Theorem 1 that, for such a test to have asymptotic size α , C must be

$$C = \sqrt{W(h)}\Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha) + m(h), \quad (63)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m(h) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r \left(\ln \left(1 - \frac{h_i h_j}{c} \right) + \frac{h_i h_j}{c} \right) \text{ and} \\ W(h) &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^r \left(\ln \left(1 - \frac{h_i h_j}{c} \right) + \frac{h_i h_j}{c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, according to Le Cam's third lemma and Theorem 1, under $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$, $\ln L(h; \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(m(h) + W(h), W(h))$. Therefore, the asymptotic power $\beta_\mu(h)$