## 2.2. Dynamical models

To model nongravitational perturbations we first considered the classical formulation by Marsden et al. (1973):

$$\mathbf{a}_{NG} = g(r)(A_1\hat{\mathbf{r}} + A_2\hat{\mathbf{t}} + A_3\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad , \quad g(r) = \alpha \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-m} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^n\right]^{-k} \quad (1)$$

where r is the heliocentric distance,  $m=2.15, n=5.093, k=4.6142, r_0=2.808$  au, and  $\alpha$  is such that g(1 au)=1.  $A_1, A_2, \text{ and } A_3$  are free parameters that give the nongravitational acceleration at 1 au in the radial-transverse-normal reference frame defined by  $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/r, \hat{\mathbf{t}} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}|,$  where  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are the heliocentric position and velocity of the comet. It is common practice to ignore the out-of-plane component, i.e.,  $A_3=0$ .

As of 2014 October 3, it was clear that nongravitational perturbations were needed to fit the observed data. We computed two different orbital solutions:

- Solution 95, where  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  are all determined as part of the least squares orbital fit;
- Solution 97, where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are determined from the orbital fit and  $A_3$  is set to zero.

Table 1 shows the values of the nongravitational parameters for solutions 95 and 97, and Fig. 1 shows the corresponding *b*-plane predictions. Solution 95 corresponds to the more conservative approach where no assumptions are