July 28th, 2020

Dear Sir/Ma

**INSIGHTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM MY ASSESMENT USING CHATITO.**

It is amazing the idea of connecting, more so through the very most minimal interactive form, I believe that is what communication should really entail. Language is a very important tool, but what is most important is making sure communication is properly administered i.e. be as simple as possible.

The medium for this test (Chatito) is an impressive feat, it allows the flexibility of exploring interchangeable lexemes whilst creating final probable outcomes to the end user. In sentence generation, the writer is aware that a sentence should contain a subject (intent entity) and a predicate (slot entity) when this is understood every other factor will not generate an error in syntax when properly used. However, there is almost no conformity for change in tense especially future tense, the same outcome always comes out for all sentence tenses in both languages with one syntactically correct sentence for a future tense sentence.

Further, the final outcome for the Hausa language using Natural Language Programme (RASA NLU) was very accurate, it requires little correction so long as your inputs are syntactically correctly generated, the outcome will turn out accurate. I was amazed at possible probable outcomes for the Hausa language, errors, undoubtedly will always be man-made.

Finally, I enjoyed exploring the medium (Chatito) and I hope to have met your required expectation.

Thank you.

Nawani, Abdulhafiz Abdulahad

