Homework 5

Zhanna Sakayeva

April 2022

1 Problem 1

1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be bounded. Consider the space

$$H(\operatorname{div},\Omega) := \{ \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)^2 : \nabla^w \cdot \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega) \},$$

where \cdot^w indicates that the derivative is to be taken in the weak sense. Let the vector components of \mathbf{u} be piecewise $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ functions. You may assume that the boundaries between the pieces are smooth away from intersections. Show that $\mathbf{u} \in H(div,\Omega)$ if and only if for any smooth curve $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ we have $[\mathbf{u}] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ almost everywhere on Γ , where $[\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-$ represents the jump across the surface, and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit normal vector to Γ . Consider a divergence on a rectangle domain

$$\infty > \int_{R} div \, u = \int_{R} \nabla \cdot u = \int_{R_{1}(||)} \hat{n} \mathbf{u} + \int_{R_{2}(\perp)} \hat{n} \mathbf{u} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\int_{R_{1}(||)} \hat{n} \mathbf{u}}{h} \to 0.$$

Hence **u** is a weak solution, or $\mathbf{u} \in H(div, \Omega)$.

2. Consider the model problem

$$-\Delta u = f \quad (x \in \Omega),$$

$$u = 0 \quad (x \in \partial \Omega).$$

with convex Ω for $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ with the associated weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} fv \quad (v \in H_0^1(\Omega)).$$

Let $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ be the unique solution of this problem. Clearly, $\nabla^w u \in L^2(\Omega)^2$. But is the weak divergence of $\nabla^w u$ also in $L^2(\Omega)$? Yes, if we let $v = \operatorname{div} \nabla^w u$. Then by divergence theorem

$$\int_{\Omega} v\phi = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla^w u \nabla \phi,$$

for some $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and $-\Delta u = -div \nabla^w u = f$ holds by the definition of weak derivative.

3. Now let $V_h \subset C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ be a finite element space and $u_h \in V_h$ be a solution of

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v_h = \int_{\Omega} f v_h \quad (v_h \in V_h).$$

Is $\nabla^w u_h \in L^2(\Omega)$?.

Yes, it is by construction, since weak derivative of u, $\nabla^w u$ is in $L^2(\Omega)$.

In view of part 1, is the divergence of $\nabla^w u_h$ in $L^2(\Omega)$? No, it does not hold in this case. Similarly we can start by definition

$$\int_{\Omega} v_h \phi = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla^w u_h \nabla \phi,$$

with $v_h = div \nabla^w u_h$.

$$-\int_{\Omega} \nabla^w u_h \nabla \phi = \int_{\Omega} div \, \nabla^w u_h \phi + \int_{\Gamma} [\nabla^w u_h] \cdot \hat{n} \phi,$$

which will be equal to $\int_{\Omega} v_h \phi$ if only $\int_{\Gamma} [\nabla^w u_h] \cdot \hat{n} \phi = 0$. Hence we cannot set $v_h = \operatorname{div} \nabla^w u_h$ pointwise and the divergence of $\nabla^w u_h$ is not in $L^2(\Omega)$.