

# Development Workflows

How a list of goals get worked on

- Past: Waterfall
- Recent: Agile

# Waterfall model

Each step done in turn before the next starts

- If a hole is found in a previous step, back up
- Common key: All requirements in advance
- Fantastic for "known" tasks
- Great for hard requirements:
  - features
  - resources (incl people)
  - deadlines
- Generally terrible for most software
  - slow
  - inaccurate

# **Waterfall aims for predictability**

- If you know everything to be done
- and how long that will take
- You have:
  - A schedule
  - A list of needed resources (incl. people)

No one likes:

- "it will be done when it is done and will cost whatever it costs"

# **Waterfall process does not just produce code**

- Plans and descriptions
  - Task Dependencies
- Documentation
- So many Gantt charts
  - Identify "critical path"

# Waterfall Criticisms

Coding is still as much art as science

- Our estimates are awful
- Coders are not fungible
- Work is often custom

Waterfall "resets" when requirements change

- Software requirements change all the time
  - "Didn't know we needed to know"

Waterfall+Software

- tends to break schedules + budgets
- or create products clients aren't happy with

# Agile development

**<https://agilemanifesto.org/>**

Common ideas:

- Focus on product
- Favor team over process
- Produce working code very frequently

# Agile Ideals

- Driven by team members
- Regular feedback with "client"
  - Outside client, PM, manager, etc
- Product able to release frequently
  - Not that it does, but it CAN
- Team build realistic estimates
  - Often based on consensus
  - For the next batch of features
- Each task is small and quick to add
  - Fully tested & "done"
- Done when it is done

# Agile issues

- Companies still want reliable
  - schedules
  - budgets
- Often assumes codes are fungible
- Often assumes team is uniform
- Coders may not be given sole focus
  - multitasking has overhead costs
- Devs often hate meetings



# Agile in Practice

- Scrum-like
- Kanban

# Scrum

"Scrum" is a particular approach of proj management

- Exactly followed OR borrowed from/alterd

Notable features:

- "sprints" (1 week, 2 week, 1 month)
  - starts by defining tasks due for the sprint
  - focused work period
  - daily "scrums" (or "standups")
  - ends with a "sprint review" (incl demo)
- "standup"
  - very quick meetings to maintain progress

# Kanban

Inspired by Toyota efforts, but different

- No sprints, continuous
- Track tasks through stages
  - Never stay more than 1-2 days in a stage
- If done, grab new task

Generally similar to Scrum (or vice-versa)

- standups
- tasks
  - define and estimate
  - tracking
  - fully tested and done

# Tasks or Stories

Tasks (or "User Stories" in formal Scrum)

- Small enough to be done in 1-2 days
  - If bigger, break it down
- Team creates or has input on estimate
- Should produce noticeable change
  - In practice: just track time
- Should not be used to track time
  - In practice: used to track time
- May be in backlog (not for current work)
  - Backlog may have estimated/not estimated

# Defining Tasks

- Might come from "client"
- Might come from PM
- Might come from team
- May lack, but needs before work starts:
  - Full definition
  - Estimate
- Often includes a "definition of done"
  - "Done when..."
  - Should be confirmable by someone else
- Tasks are done/not done
  - 80% done is...not done

# Estimating Tasks

## "Story Points" vs "hours"

- Story Points tries to disconnect from clock time
  - Companies often reconnect these
- May be in weird sequences (fibonacci)
  - To highlight tasks that are too big
    - estimate is too much guess
    - should be broken down before work
- "Planning Poker"
  - Team voting and discussing estimate
  - Hard when team has different specialties

# Velocity - Dealing with bad estimates

Doesn't care about (ideally)

- actual hours vs estimate
- if one dev is finishing more of estimate

The sum of estimates for tasks/completed

- divided by actual time (or sprints)

Know actual time to complete remaining work

- Even if estimates are terrible
- As long as consistently terrible

# Standup Meetings

- Theory: daily
  - Practice: 1-5/week
- Theory: quick (15 minutes)
  - Practice: 15-120 minutes

Should not be about justifying work

- About identifying + resolving "blockers"
- Don't forget extra tasks you may have for a sprint
  - Want to avoid surprises at end of sprint



# Code Review

Code must be reviewed and approved

- Before merge

Everyone has code reviews

- Not based on seniority

# Code Review Purpose

Review is not for technical accuracy

- About team understanding
- ...and overall quality
- confirm API, tests, tangled logic
  - names

Even new devs have contributions to make

- Can you follow with minimal context?
- You aren't ignorant!
- Keep codebase approachable
  - Easy for a codebase to become arcane
    - Quickly dies as soon as team changes

# Learning from a Code Review

Code Reviews are chances to learn

- Understand the code base + concepts
  - Every codebase will have built in concepts
  - This context is key task to joining team
- Learn best practices

After school, no one is teaching you new tricks!

# PR Etiquette

Pending Reviews block tasks!

- So do yours quickly
  - I suggest setting a time block each day
  - Easy to delay in favor of your other deadlines
    - But that leaves team with a problem
- Allow for delay with your own work
  - Don't push PR last minute

# **Don't make your Review an attack**

- Call out good things!
  - Small choice is often worthy of praise
    - "I love this variable name"
    - "I stan the intuitive API!"
- Feedback is often better than "LGTM"
- Learn to be non-critical in critique
  - Don't say "this is bad"
  - Do say "would it be better to...?"
  - Do say "is there a way to...?"
- Be clear about expectations for Approval

# **Reviews of you are not attacks (hopefully)**

Don't consider a Review a pass/fail

- much like your review notes in class
  - not every comment is a loss of points
- Instructing you on codebase conventions
  - you may have had no way to know!
- Instructing you on best practices
  - Chance to learn!
- May be bad advice/needlessly critical
  - Did they test your review skill before hire?

# Agile In Practice

If you fall behind, *must* change one of:

- Resources (people)
- Features
- Deadline

Why identifying blockage is so important

# Watery Agile falling

Not Agile:

- set deadline
- mostly "set" features
  - "nice to haves"

Not Waterfall:

- no per-day schedule
- requirements adapt
  - within features



# Common issues

- Too many meetings
  - = too many low-value meetings
- Sprints/Tasks as time-tracking
  - Different purposes
- Coders are not fungible
  - Different areas (mobile, frontend, backend)
  - Different skills (service calls, arch, UI)
- Not done tasks