

Chapter 9 The Analysis of Covariance

- 9.1 Single factor Covariance Model
单因素协方差模型
- 9.2 Multifactor Covariance Mode
多因素协方差模型

1

□ Analysis of covariance (ANOCOVA) is a technique that combines features of analysis of variance and regression.

□ Covariance is used when the response variable y , in addition to being affected by the treatments, but also related to another variable x .

□ It augments the analysis of variance model containing the factor effects with one or more additional quantitative variables that are related to the dependent variable.

2

减少残差的影响。

□ It intended to reduce the variance of the error terms in the model.

□ ANOCOVA utilizes the relationship between the dependent variable and one or more independent quantitative variables for which observations are available in order to reduce the error term variability and make the study a more powerful one for comparing treatment effects.

3

□ Each independent quantitative variable added to the study is called a concomitant variable (相伴变量)。协变量

□ The choice of concomitant variables is an important one.

□ If such variables have no relation to the dependent variable, nothing is to be gained by covariance analysis.

□ Concomitant variables frequently used with human subjects include pre-study attitudes, age, IQ, and aptitude.

4

①协变量与因变量是线性 ②不同组间残差方差相同且独立、正态。③不同组间回归系数一致。

9.1 Single-factor Covariance Model

Case Studied (1)

□ A company wished to study the effects of three different types of promotions on sales of its crackers. 不同促销策略对销量影响。

□ Treatment 1: Sampling of product by customers in store and regular shelf space

□ Treatment 2: Additional shelf space in regular location

□ Treatment 3: Special display shelves at ends of aisle in addition to regular shelf space

5

□ Fifteen stores were selected for the study.

□ Each store was randomly assigned one of the promotion types, with five stores assigned to each type of promotion.

□ Other relevant conditions under the control of the company, such as price and advertising, were kept the same for all stores in the study.

□ Dependent Variable Y : sales of the product during the promotional period

□ Concomitant variable X : sales of the product in the preceding period.

6

促销前销售量。

		Study Unit (j)									
		1		2		3		4		5	
Treatment	i	Y	X	Y	X	Y	X	Y	X	Y	X
1		38	21	39	26	36	22	45	28	33	19
2		43	34	38	26	38	29	27	18	34	25
3		24	23	32	29	31	30	21	16	28	29

7

```

Data Cracker;
Input Treat @;
  Do R = 1 to 5;
    Input Y X @;
    Output;
  End;

```

Note: the data is input in the do...end loop, where the output means that an observation will be output during each loop

```
Datalines;
1 38 21 39 26 36 22 45 28 33 19
2 43 34 38 26 38 29 27 18 34 25
3 24 23 32 29 31 30 21 16 28 29
;
PROC GLM;
Class Treat;
MODEL Y = Treat;
LsMeans Treat/STDERR PDIF Adjust=Tukey;
RUN;
PROC GLM; 若放入X,就变成ANOVA了。
Class Treat;
MODEL Y = X Treat;
LsMeans Treat/STDERR PDIF Adjust=Tukey;
RUN;
```

ANOVA

AND COVA

8

Table of ANOVA

ANOVA Table for Model without Covariate (Type III)

Source	df	SS(Adj)	MS(Adj)	F value	P-value
R($\alpha \mu$)	$r-1=2$	338.8000000	169.4000000	6.61	0.0116
Error(μ, α)	$r(r-1)=12$	307.6000000	25.6333333		

ANOVA Table for Model with One Covariate (Type III)

Source	df	SS(Adj)	MS(Adj)	F value	P-value
$R(\beta \mu, \alpha)$	1	269.0286915	269.0286915	76.72	<.0001
$R(\alpha \mu, \beta)$	$t-1=2$	417.1509137	208.5745669	59.48	<.0001
Error (μ, α, β)	$t(r-1)-1=11$	38.5713085	3.5064826		

X与Y有显著差异
三和四有
机制之间
有显著差异

残差MS减少.

9

Treat	YLSMEAN	SE	Pr> t	Number
1	38.2000000	2.2642144	<.0001	1
2	36.0000000	2.2642144	<.0001	2
3	27.2000000	2.2642144	<.0001	3

ANOVA.

Uj	1	2	3
1		0.7753	0.0127
2	0.7753		0.0434
3	0.0127	0.0434	

不显著

Analysis with One Covariate

Treat	Y LSMEAN	SE	Pr > t	Number
1	39.8174070	0.8575507	<.0001	1
2	34.7420168	0.8496605	<.0001	2
3	26.8405762	0.8384192	<.0001	3

ÁNDRAS

I/J	1	2	3
1		0.0044	<.0001
2	0.0044		<.0001
3	<.0001	<.0001	

不同促销方法之间显著差异

10

Case Studied (2)

□ A study on the effects of two treatments and control to the learning-disabled children.

Groups

Treatment 1		Treatment 2		Control	
Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
85	100	86	92	90	93
80	98	82	99	87	80
92	105	95	108	78	82
257	303	263	299	255	257

11

↓
- 开始不同组别即可就不一样

Data Child;
Input Treat \$ Pre Post @@;

Datalines;					
Trt1	85	100	Trt1	80	98
Trt1	92	105	Trt2	86	92
Trt2	82	99	Trt2	95	108
Trt3	90	95	Trt3	87	80
Trt3	78	82			

```
PROC GLM;
Class Treat;
MODEL Post = Treat;
LsMeans Treat/STDERR PDIF Adjust=Tukey;
RUN;
```

ANOVÁ

```
PROC GLM; with
class Treat;
MODEL Post = PreTreat;
LsMeans Treat/STDERR PDIF Adjust=Tukey;
RUN;
```

ANOLINA

12

Table of ANOVA

ANOVA Table for Model without Covariate (Type III)

Source	df	SS(Adj)	MS(Adj)	F value	P-value
T μ	2	432.8888889	216.4444444	4.52	0.0635
Error	6	287.3333333	47.8888889		

ANOVA Table for Model with One Covariate (Type III)

Source	df	SS(Adj)	MS(Adj)	F value	P-value
X μ, T	1	137.8946147	137.8946147	4.61	0.0845
T μ, X	2	366.2012282	183.1006141	6.13	0.0452
Error	5	149.4387187	29.8877437		

13

Analysis without Covariate

Treat	YLSMEAN	SE	Pr> t	Number
1	101.000000	3.995368	<.0001	1
2	99.666667	3.995368	<.0001	2
3	85.666667	3.995368	<.0001	3

U	1	2	3
1		0.9699	0.0778
2	0.9699		0.1050
3	0.0778	0.1050	

Analysis with One Covariate

Treat	YLSMEAN	SE	Pr> t	Number
1	101.337357	3.160261	<.0001	1
2	98.485918	3.203866	<.0001	2
3	86.510059	3.180684	<.0001	3

U	1	2	3
1		0.8105	0.0467
2	0.8105		0.1004
3	0.0467	0.1004	

14

第一种与第二种差别不大。
第一种与 Control 有显著差异。有治疗效果。

9.2 Multifactor Covariance Model

- Two-factor Factorial in a Randomized Complete Block Design with One Covariable

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta X_{ijk} + \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \alpha\gamma_{ij} + \rho_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, a; j = 1, 2, \dots, b; k = 1, 2, \dots, r;$

ANOVA Table for Model with One Covariate (Type III)

Source	df	SS(adj)
R(β μ, α, γ, αγ, ρ)	1	SSX
R(α μ, γ, αγ, ρ, β)	a-1	SSA
R(γ μ, α, αγ, ρ, β)	b-1	SSB
R(αγ μ, α, γ, ρ, β)	(a-1)(b-1)	SSAB
R(ρ μ, α, γ, αγ, β)	r-1	SSR
Error	(ab-1)(r-1)-1	SSE

15

Case Study (3)

- A horticulturist conducted an experiment to study the effects of flower variety (factor A: LP, WB) and moisture level (factor B: low, high) on yield of salable flowers (Y).
- Because the plots were not of the same size, the horticulturist wished to use plot size (X) as the concomitant variable.
- Six replications were made for each treatment.

16

Factor A (flower variety) i	Factor B (moisture level) j			
	B_1 (low)		B_2 (high)	
	Y	X	Y	X
A_1 (variety LP)	98	15	71	10
	80	4	80	12
	77	7	86	14
	80	9	82	13
	95	14	46	2
	64	5	55	3
A_2 (variety WB)	55	4	76	11
	60	5	68	10
	75	8	43	2
	65	7	47	3
	87	13	62	7
	78	11	70	9

17

SAS Program

```

Data Flower;
Input A $ B $ Y X @@;
Datalines;
LP Low 98 15 LP High 71 10
LP Low 80 4 LP High 80 12
LP Low 77 7 LP High 86 14
LP Low 80 9 LP High 82 13
LP Low 95 14 LP High 46 2
LP Low 64 5 LP High 55 3
WB Low 55 4 WB High 76 11
WB Low 60 5 WB High 68 10
WB Low 75 8 WB High 43 2
WB Low 65 7 WB High 47 3
WB Low 87 13 WB High 62 7
WB Low 78 11 WB High 70 9
;
PROC GLM;
Class A B;
MODEL Y = X A|B;
LSMeans A B/STDERR PDIF Adjust=Tukey ETTYPE=3;
RUN;

```

The ETTYPE= option specifies the type (1, 2, 3, or 4, corresponding to Type I, II, III, and IV tests, respectively) of the E= effect. If you specify the E= option but not the ETTYPE= option, the highest type computed in the analysis is used. If you omit the E= option, the ETTYPE= option has no effect.

18

Table of ANOVA

ANOVA Table for Model without Covariate (Type III)					
Source	df	SS	MS	F value	P-value
A μ , B, AB	1	486.0000000	486.0000000	2.36	0.1399
B μ , A, AB	1	486.0000000	486.0000000	2.36	0.1399
AB μ , A, B	1	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.00	1.0000
Error	20	4114.0000000	205.7000000		

ANOVA Table for Model with One Covariate (Type III)					
Source	df	SS(Adj)	MS(Adj)	F value	P-value
X μ , A, B, AB	1	3994.518817	3994.518817	635.21	<.0001
A μ , B, AB, X	1	96.601826	96.601826	15.36	0.0009
B μ , A, AB, X	1	323.849473	323.849473	51.50	<.0001
AB μ , A, B, X	1	16.042244	16.042244	2.55	0.1267
Error	19	119.481183	6.288483		

使误差大大减小

19

Standard H0:LSMEAN=0 H0: LSMean1=LSMean2				
A	Y LSMEAN	Error	Pr > t	Pr > t
LP	74.5000000	4.1402496	<.0001	0.1399
WB	65.5000000	4.1402496	<.0001	

Standard H0:LSMEAN=0 H0:LSMEAN1=LSMean2				
B	Y LSMEAN	Error	Pr > t	Pr > t
High	65.5000000	4.1402496	<.0001	0.1399
Low	74.5000000	4.1402496	<.0001	

Adjusted by One Covariable H0:LSMEAN=0 H0:LSMEAN1=LSMean2				
A	Y LSMEAN	Error	Pr > t	Pr > t
LP	72.0423387	0.7304444	<.0001	0.0009
WB	67.9576613	0.7304444	<.0001	

Standard H0:LSMEAN=0 H0: LSMean1= LSMean2				
B	Y LSMEAN	Error	Pr > t	Pr > t
High	66.3192204	0.7246356	<.0001	<.0001
Low	73.6807796	0.7246356	<.0001	

20

□ The covariance analysis with two covariates

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_1 X_{ijk(1)} + \beta_2 X_{ijk(2)} + \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \alpha\gamma_{ij} + \rho_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, a; j = 1, 2, \dots, b; k = 1, 2, \dots, r;$$

ANOVA Table for Model with One Covariate (Type III)

Source	df	SS(Adj)
R($\beta_1 \mu, \alpha, \gamma, \alpha\gamma, \rho, \beta_2$)	1	SSX ₍₁₎
R($\beta_2 \mu, \alpha, \gamma, \alpha\gamma, \rho, \beta_1$)	1	SSX ₍₂₎
R($\alpha \mu, \gamma, \alpha\gamma, \rho, \beta_1, \beta_2$)	a-1	SSA
R($\gamma \mu, \alpha, \alpha\gamma, \rho, \beta_1, \beta_2$)	b-1	SSB
R($\alpha\gamma \mu, \alpha, \gamma, \rho, \beta_1, \beta_2$)	(a-1)(b-1)	SSAB
R($\rho \mu, \alpha, \gamma, \alpha\gamma, \beta_1, \beta_2$)	r-1	SSR
Error	(ab-1)(r-1)-2	SSE

21