

## Document Analysis Assignment3

u5782545 Zhaolian Zhou

### Q2

<s>	I	am	Sam	do	not	like	green	apple	and	</s>
4	4	3	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	4

$$x_{i-1} = "am"$$

$$x_i = "Sam"$$

$$\text{count}(x_{i-1}) = 3$$

$$\text{count}(x_i, x_{i-1}) = \text{count}("Sam", "am") = 0$$

$$\text{count}(x_{i-1}, x_i) = \text{count}("am", "Sam") = 2$$

$$d = 0.75$$

$$\lambda(x_{i-1}) = \frac{d}{\text{count}(x_{i-1})} |\{x | \text{count}(x_{i-1}, x) > 0\}| = \frac{0.75}{3} |\{Sam, \langle /s \rangle\}| = \frac{0.75}{3} * 2$$

$$P_{\text{continuation}}(x_i) = \frac{|\{x_{i-1} | \text{count}(x_{i-1}, x_i) > 0\}|}{|\{(x_{j-1}, x_j) | \text{count}(x_{j-1}, x_j) > 0\}|} = \frac{|\{am, \langle s \rangle, and\}|}{|\{(\langle s \rangle, I), (I, am), (am, Sam), (Sam, \langle /s \rangle), (\langle s \rangle, Sam), (Sam, I), (am, \langle /s \rangle), (I, do), (do, not), (not, like), (like, green), (green, apples), (apples, and), (and, Sam)\}|} = 3/14$$

$$P_{NK}(x_i | x_{i-1}) = \frac{\max(\text{count}(x_{i-1}, x_i) - d, 0)}{\text{count}(x_{i-1})} + \lambda(x_{i-1}) P_{\text{continuation}}(x_i) = \frac{\max(2 - 0.75, 0)}{3} + \frac{0.75}{3} * 2 * \frac{3}{14} = 52.38\%$$

### Q3 Context-Free Grammars

The given context-free grammar rules can be represented as:

Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PNP | PRP\$ Nominal | *Nominal Noun* | *Noun*

PRP\$  $\rightarrow$  my | his | her | its

PNP  $\rightarrow$  nounEndWithS'

Det Nominal  $\rightarrow$  Det Noun

Apply the rules to the given cases:

- my uncle's bicycle:
  - my : PRP\$, uncle's : PNP, bicycle : Noun
  - So the final result should be:  
Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PRP\$ PNP Noun

- Start from PRP\$:
  - Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PRP\$ Nominal
- Then looking for PNP:
  - Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PNP
 However, the rules end here, we cannot reach “bicycle”.

So we should **add another rule** in the rule set:

**Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PNP Nominal**

And for apply for PNP, we only have: PNP  $\rightarrow$  nounEndWithS' , which cannot represent “uncle’s”. So we should add another rule: **PNP  $\rightarrow$  nounEndWith’S**

Then, apply Nominal  $\rightarrow$  *Noun*

- Companies’ workers
  - Companies’  $\rightarrow$  PNP, workers  $\rightarrow$  Noun
  - So the final result should be:
    - Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PRP\$ PNP Noun
  - Apply **the new added** rule:
    - Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PNP Nominal
  - Then apply Nominal  $\rightarrow$  *Noun*
- a car
  - a  $\rightarrow$  Det, car  $\rightarrow$  Noun
  - We cannot find a rule from Nominal to Det.
    - So we should **add another rule** instead of rule Det Nominal  $\rightarrow$  Det Noun in the rule set:
      - NP  $\rightarrow$  Det Nominal**
    - Apply Nominal  $\rightarrow$  Noun
    - We cannot find a rule from Det to “a”.
      - So we should **add another rule** in the rule set:
        - Det  $\rightarrow$  a | an | the**
  - his books
    - his  $\rightarrow$  PRP\$, books  $\rightarrow$  Noun
    - First, apply Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PRP\$ Nominal
    - Then apply Nominal  $\rightarrow$  *Noun*
  - the bus stop
    - the  $\rightarrow$  Det, bus  $\rightarrow$  Noun, stop  $\rightarrow$  Noun
    - Apply **the new added** rule:
      - Nominal  $\rightarrow$  Det Nominal**
    - Then apply Nominal  $\rightarrow$  *Nominal Noun*
    - Then apply Nominal  $\rightarrow$  *Noun*

Then set a start symbol, NP, and add a new to **NP  $\rightarrow$  Nominal**

To be conclusion: the **modified grammatical rules** that cover the given case are:

**NP  $\rightarrow$  Nominal | Det Nominal**

Nominal  $\rightarrow$  PNP | PRP\$ Nominal | *Nominal Noun* | *Noun* | **PNP Nominal**

PRP\$  $\rightarrow$  my | his | her | its

PNP  $\rightarrow$  nounEndWithS' | **nounEndWith'S**

**Det**  $\rightarrow$  a | an | the

## Q4 Word Embedding

1. Add a pseudo-word <UNK> to the training dataset, all words occurred only in test dataset will be considered as <UNK>.
2. We can add 1 (or k,  $0 < k < 1$ ) to all frequency counts. Which means, add 1 or k to the training dataset of each possible N-gram, and also add 1 or k to the training data of new occurred N-gram which contains the m unseen words in the test dataset. [1]
3. Use the frequency of all words occur only once in the training dataset to estimate the frequency of unseen words in the test dataset. [2]
4. For the N-gram in the test dataset that contains the word w which doesn't appear in the train dataset, we estimate the probability of (N-1)-gram, (N-2)-gram ... that don't contain w and add them up with some coefficient  $\lambda_i, 0 < \lambda_i < 1, \sum \lambda_i = 1$  [3]

## Q5 Transition-based Dependency Parsing

1.

According to Joakim Nivre [4], a dependency graph  $D = (N_w, A)$  is well formed iff it satisfied the following conditions:

**Single Head**  $(\forall n n' n'') (n \rightarrow n' \wedge n'' \rightarrow n') \Rightarrow n = n''$

**Acyclic**  $(\forall n n') \neg (n \rightarrow n' \wedge n' \rightarrow^* n)$

**Connected**  $(\forall n n') (n \leftrightarrow^* n')$

**Projective**  $(\forall n n' n'') (n \leftrightarrow n' \wedge n < n'' < n') \Rightarrow (n \rightarrow^* n'' \vee n' \rightarrow^* n'')$

Note:

1.  $\rightarrow^*$  denote the reflexive and transitive closure of the arc relation.
2.  $\leftrightarrow$  and  $\leftrightarrow^*$  represent undirected relations, i.e.  $n \leftrightarrow n'$  iff  $n \rightarrow n'$  or  $n' \rightarrow n$

Back to the Nivre's parsing algorithm, the transition Left-Arc

$\langle n | S, n' | I, A \rangle \rightarrow \langle S, n' | I, A \cup \{(n', n)\} \rangle, n \leftarrow n' \in R, \neg \exists n'' (n'', n) \in A$

adds an arc  $n' \rightarrow n$  from the next input token  $n'$  to the node n on top of the stack and reduces (pops) n from the stack.

The reason to remove the topmost element from the stack is to eliminate the possibility of adding an arc  $n \rightarrow n'$ , which would create a cycle in the graph, violate the acyclic condition.

With the same reason, the transition Right-Arc adds an arc  $n \rightarrow n'$  from the node  $n$  on top of the stack to the next input token  $n'$ . To prevent the create of cycles, the dependent node is immediately shifted.

## 2.

As described in Nivre's article [5], the time complexity of Nivre's algorithm is  $O(n)$ ,  $n$  is the length of the input sentence.

Parser configurations are represented by triples  $\langle S, I, A \rangle$ , where  $S$  is the stack,  $I$  is the list of remaining input tokens, and  $A$  is the current arc relation for the dependency graph. During the whole parsing process, only one configuration  $c = \langle \sigma, \beta, A, I \rangle$  needs to be stored at any given time.

Assuming that a single node can be stored in some constant space, the space needed to store  $\sigma$  and  $\beta$  is bounded by the number of nodes. And the same of  $A$ , number of arcs in dependency forest is bounded by the number of nodes, and each arc can be stored in constant space.

Hence, the worst-case space complexity is  $O(n)$ .

## References

- [1] "Additive smoothing," Wikipedia, 19 Jun 2016. [Online]. Available: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Additive\\_smoothing](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Additive_smoothing). [Accessed 22 09 2016].
- [2] "Good–Turing frequency estimation," Wikipedia, 21 Aug 2016. [Online]. Available: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Good%E2%80%93Turing\\_frequency\\_estimation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Good%E2%80%93Turing_frequency_estimation). [Accessed 22 Sep 2016].
- [3] "Linear interpolation," Wikipedia, 07 Jun 2016. [Online]. Available: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear\\_interpolation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_interpolation). [Accessed 22 Sep 2016].
- [4] J. Nivre, "An Efficient Algorithm For Projective Dependency Parsing".
- [5] J. Nivre, "Algorithms for Deterministic Incremental Dependency Parsing," *Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 513-553, 2008.