

Discrete Framework

November 5, 2018

Contents

1 One-population	1
1.1 Problem and assumptions	1
1.2 Gradient and Hessian	3
2 Multi-populations	4
2.1 Problem and assumptions	4
2.2 Gradient and Hessian	5
3 Neurons centered on a subset of positions	5
3.1 Problem and assumptions	5
3.2 Gradient	7

1 One-population

1.1 Problem and assumptions

Probability distributions:

$$p(\theta) = 1$$

$$p(r_i|\theta) = \frac{(g_i(\theta)\tau)^{r_i}}{r_i!} e^{-g_i(\theta)\tau}$$
$$p(r_1, \dots, r_M|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{(g_j(\theta)\tau)^{r_j}}{r_j!} e^{-g_j(\theta)\tau}$$

where:

- θ : stimulus on the circle $\mathcal{C}=[0, 1)$;
- f : the tuning curve defined on the circle (can be considered as a periodic function);
- $g(\theta) = \int_0^1 f(\theta - y)s(y)dy$: the firing rate (can be considered as a periodic function);
- s : the convolution kernel, $\int_0^1 s(\theta)d\theta = 1$;
- M : the number of neurons;
- r_i : the number of spikes of the i -th neuron in a given time τ , conditionally independent Poisson r.v.;
- τ : the time for stimulus to be active; we always set $\tau = 1$.

Optimization Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{f_1(\cdot), \dots, f_M(\cdot)} I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) \\ f_- \leq f_i(\cdot) \leq f_+ \\ \int g_i(\theta) p(\theta) d\theta = \bar{f} \end{aligned}$$

where f_- , f_+ , \bar{f} are positive constants. Note that when $p(\theta) = 1$, $\int g_i(\theta) d\theta = \bar{f}$ is equivalent to $\int f_i(\theta) d\theta = \bar{f}$:

$$\bar{f} = \int g_i(\theta) d\theta = \int \int f_i(\gamma) s(\theta - \gamma) d\gamma d\theta = \int f_i(\gamma) \int s(\theta - \gamma) d\theta d\gamma = \int f_i(\gamma) d\gamma$$

Therefore the problem we consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{f_1(\cdot), \dots, f_M(\cdot)} I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) \\ f_- \leq f_i(\cdot) \leq f_+ \\ \int f_i(\theta) d\theta = \bar{f} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Discretization and Cyclic Invariance:

Discretize $\theta \in [0, 1)$ to be $\theta_i \triangleq \frac{i}{M}$, $f_0(\theta_i) \triangleq f_{-i}$, $g_0(\theta_i) \triangleq g_{-i}$, $i = 0, \dots, M-1$. Here the number of bins M is equal to the number of neurons.

The convolution can be written as:

$$g_i = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} f_{i-j} s_j = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} f_j s_{i-j}$$

Assume the tuning curves are rotationally invariant, i.e. different f_i 's are the translations (rotations in $[0, 1)$) of the same function:

$$f_j(\theta) \triangleq f_0(\theta - \theta_j)$$

Therefore,

$$f_i(\theta_j) = f_0(\theta_j - \theta_i) = f_{i-j}$$

Similarly, g 's are rotationally invariant:

$$g_i(\theta_j) = \int f_i(\gamma) s(\theta_j - \gamma) d\gamma = \int f_0(\gamma - \theta_i) s(\theta_j - \gamma) d\gamma = g_0(\theta_j - \theta_i) = g_{i-j}$$

Note:

- Because of periodicity of f , $(i-j)$ actually equals $(i-j) \bmod M$.
- The cyclic invariance notation is consistent with Lorenzo's notes where $f_i(0) = f_i$.

Mutual Information:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) &= D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}, \theta) \| p(\mathbf{r}) p(\theta)) \\ &= \int_{\theta} \int_{\mathbf{r}} p(\mathbf{r}, \theta) \ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r}, \theta)}{p(\mathbf{r}) p(\theta)} \right) d\mathbf{r} d\theta \\ &= \int_{\theta} \int_{\mathbf{r}} p(\mathbf{r} | \theta) p(\theta) \ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r} | \theta)}{p(\mathbf{r})} \right) d\mathbf{r} d\theta \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{\mathbf{r}} p(\mathbf{r} | \theta = \theta_i) \frac{1}{M} \ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r} | \theta = \theta_i)}{p(\mathbf{r})} \right) d\mathbf{r} \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r} | \theta = \theta_i) \| p(\mathbf{r})) \\ &= D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r} | \theta = 0) \| p(\mathbf{r})) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Note that the equation (2) follows from a conclusion

$$D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta = \theta_k)||p(\mathbf{r})) = D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta = \theta_0)||p(\mathbf{r})), \forall k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1 \quad (3)$$

derived from rotational invariance. This conclusion is proved as a special case of equation (18) in Section 3. Applying this conclusion, we obtain the expression of Mutual Information as an expectation:

$$I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) = E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \left[-\ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta=0)} \right) \right] = E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} [-\ln(S(\mathbf{r}))] \quad (4)$$

$$S(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta=0)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^M g_k^{r_{i+k}-r_k} \quad (5)$$

Therefore we have the discretized version of the optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{f_i\}_{i=1,\dots,M}} & [-E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \ln(S(\mathbf{r}))] \\ & f_- \leq f_i \leq f_+ \\ & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M f_i = \bar{f} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

1.2 Gradient and Hessian

Reference: Lorenzo's notes. Notice that in there, the derivatives of $-I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) = E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \ln(S(\mathbf{r}))$ are derived.

Summarized below:

- The partial derivative of $P := p(\mathbf{r}|\theta=0) = \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{g_j^{r_j}}{r_j!} e^{-g_j}$ with respect to g_i :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial g_i} &= \left(\frac{r_i}{g_i} - 1 \right) P \\ \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial g_i \partial g_j} &= \left[\left(\frac{r_i}{g_i} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r_j}{g_j} - 1 \right) + 1_{\{i=j\}} \left(-\frac{r_i}{g_i^2} \right) \right] P \end{aligned}$$

- The 1st derivatives of $I(\mathbf{r}; \theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I}{\partial g_i} &= - \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (\partial_i P) \ln(S) \\ &= - \sum_{\mathbf{r}} P \cdot \left(\frac{r_i}{g_i} - 1 \right) \ln(S) \\ &= E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \left[\left(1 - \frac{r_i}{g_i} \right) \ln(S) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial f_i} = \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{\partial I}{\partial g_k} s_{k-i} \quad (8)$$

- The 2nd derivatives of $I(\mathbf{r}; \theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial g_i \partial g_j} &= - \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left((\partial_{ij}^2 P) \ln(S) + (\partial_i P) \frac{\partial_j S}{S} \right) \\ &= - \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\left(\left(\frac{r_i}{g_i} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r_j}{g_j} - 1 \right) + 1_{\{i=j\}} \left(-\frac{r_i}{g_i^2} \right) \right) P \ln(S) + \frac{1}{g_i g_j} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\sum_k r_i (r_{j+k} - r_j) \prod_l g_l^{r_{l+k}+r_l}}{\sum_k \prod_l g_l^{r_{k+l}} e^{g_l} r_l!} \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \left[- \left(\frac{r_i}{g_i} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r_j}{g_j} - 1 \right) \ln(S) + \frac{1}{2g_i g_j} \frac{\sum_k (r_i - r_{i+k})(r_j - r_{j+k}) \prod_l g_l^{r_{l+k}}}{\sum_k \prod_l g_l^{r_{k+l}}} + 1_{\{i=j\}} \cdot \left(\frac{r_i}{g_i^2} \right) \ln(S) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$= E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \left[- \left(\frac{r_i}{g_i} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r_j}{g_j} - 1 \right) \ln(S) + \frac{1}{g_i g_j} \frac{\sum_k r_i (r_j - r_{j+k}) \prod_l g_l^{r_{l+k}}}{\sum_k \prod_l g_l^{r_{k+l}}} + 1_{\{i=j\}} \cdot \left(\frac{r_i}{g_i^2} \right) \ln(S) \right] \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial f_i \partial f_j} = \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{l=1}^M \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial g_k \partial g_l} s_{k-i} s_{l-j} \quad (11)$$

2 Multi-populations

2.1 Problem and assumptions

Probability distributions:

Assume different populations are conditionally independent on θ :

$$P(\mathbf{r}|\theta) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^M P(r_{n,j}|\theta) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{(g_{n,j}(\theta)\tau)^{r_{n,j}}}{r_{n,j}!} e^{-g_{n,j}(\theta)\tau}$$

where:

- M : the number of neurons in each population;
- N : the number of populations;
- Denote $\mathbf{r} = (r_{n,k})$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, $k = 1, \dots, M$;
- Same stimulus for all populations: $p(\theta) = 1$ uniform on the circle $[0, 1)$;
- $f_{n,j}$: the firing rate of the j -th neuron in the n -th population;
- Same convolution kernel for all populations: $g_n(\theta) = \int_0^1 f_n(\theta - y)s(y)dy$;
- Always set $\tau = 1$.

From now on, for convenience we **do not distinguish** f and its convolution g . It only affects the formulas of gradients which follow the same relations as in (8), (11).

Discretization and Cyclic Invariance:

Similar to the one-population case, $f_{n,0}(\theta_i) \triangleq f_{n,-i}$,
 $f_{n,i}(\theta_j) = f_{n,0}(\theta_j - \theta_i) = f_{n,i-j}$

Mutual Information:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{i=1}^M p(\theta_i) p(\mathbf{r}|\theta = \theta_i) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{f_{n,k-i}^{r_{n,k}}}{r_{n,k}!} e^{-f_{n,k-i}} \\ \frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta = 0)} &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^M \left(\frac{f_{n,k-i}}{f_{n,k}} \right)^{r_{n,k}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^M f_{j,k}^{r_{n,k} + i - r_{n,k}} \\ I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) &= E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \left[-\ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta = 0)} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Optimization Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{f_{n,j}\}_{n=1,\dots,N}^{j=1,\dots,M}} E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} [-\ln(S(\mathbf{r}))] \\ 0 < (f_-)_n \leq f_{n,j} \leq (f_+)_n, \quad n = 1, \dots, N \\ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M f_{n,j} = \bar{f}_n, \quad n = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $(r_{j,k}|\theta = 0) \sim \text{Poisson}(f_{j,k})$,

$$S(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^M f_{j,k}^{r_{n,k} + i - r_{n,k}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M Q^i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (14)$$

$$Q^i(\mathbf{r}) := \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^M f_{j,k}^{r_{n,k} + i - r_{n,k}} \quad (15)$$

2.2 Gradient and Hessian

Denote

$$P := p(\mathbf{r}|\theta = 0) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{f_{n,k}^{r_{n,k}}}{r_{n,k}!} e^{-f_{n,k}}$$

the partial derivatives of P are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial f_{n,k}} &= \left(\frac{r_{n,k}}{f_{n,k}} - 1 \right) P \\ \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial f_{n,i} \partial f_{l,j}} &= \left[\left(\frac{r_{n,i}}{f_{n,i}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r_{l,j}}{f_{l,j}} - 1 \right) + 1_{\{(n,i) \neq (l,j)\}} \left(-\frac{r_{n,i}}{f_{n,i}^2} \right) \right] P \end{aligned}$$

- The 1st derivatives of $I(\mathbf{r}; \theta)$: similar to the arguments in Lorenzo's notes, the term $\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{P}{S} \frac{\partial S}{\partial f_{n,k}}$ is zero, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I}{\partial f_{n,k}} &= - \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{P}{S} \frac{\partial S}{\partial f_{n,k}} - \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial P}{\partial f_{n,k}} \ln(S) \\ &= E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta=0} \left[- \left(\frac{r_{n,k}}{f_{n,k}} - 1 \right) \ln(S) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $n \in [N]$, $k \in [M]$.

- The 2nd derivatives of $I(\mathbf{r}; \theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial f_{p,i} \partial f_{q,j}} &= E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta} \left[- \left(\frac{r_{p,i}}{f_{p,i}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r_{q,j}}{f_{q,j}} - 1 \right) \ln(S) + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^M (r_{p,i+k} - r_{p,i})(r_{q,j+k} - r_{q,j}) \prod_{l,m} f_{l,m}^{r_{l,m}+k}}{2f_{p,i}f_{q,j} \sum_{k=1}^M \prod_{l,m} f_{l,m}^{r_{l,m}+k}} + 1_{(p,i) \neq (q,j)} \left(\frac{r_{p,i}}{f_{p,i}^2} \right) \ln(S) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta} \left[- \left(\frac{r_{p,i}}{f_{p,i}} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{r_{q,j}}{f_{q,j}} - 1 \right) \ln(S) - \frac{r_{p,i}}{f_{p,i}f_{q,j}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^M (r_{q,j+k} - r_{q,j}) \prod_{l,m} f_{l,m}^{r_{l,m}+k}}{\sum_{k=1}^M \prod_{l,m} f_{l,m}^{r_{l,m}+k}} + 1_{(p,i) \neq (q,j)} \left(\frac{r_{p,i}}{f_{p,i}^2} \right) \ln(S) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $p, q \in [N]$, $i, j \in [M]$.

3 Neurons centered on a subset of positions

3.1 Problem and assumptions

Assume the neurons are centered on equi-distant positions on the circle:

- N : the number of centers=the number of neurons
- δ : the distance between centers (integer);
- M : the number of positions on the circle $[0, 1)$, $M = N\delta$;
- c_k : the position of the k -th center, $c_k = \delta k$, $k = 1, \dots, N$;
- r_k : the number of spikes at the k -th center in a given time $\tau = 1$;
- f_{c_k} : the tuning curve of the k -th center on the circle;
- $g(\theta) = \int_0^1 f(\theta - y)s(y)dy$: the firing rate; we do not distinguish between f and g from now on.

Probability distributions:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta) &= 1 \\ p(r_k|\theta) &= \frac{(f_{c_k}(\theta)\tau)^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{c_k}(\theta)\tau} \\ p(r_1, \dots, r_N|\theta) &= \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{(f_{c_k}(\theta)\tau)^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{c_k}(\theta)\tau} \end{aligned}$$

Discretization and Cyclic Invariance:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_i &:= \frac{i}{M} \\
f_i &:= f_0(\theta_i) \\
f_{c_k}(\theta) &= f_0(\theta - c_k) \\
f_k(\theta_m) &= f_0(\theta_m - c_k) = f_{m-c_k}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $r_k|\theta_m$ satisfies Poisson distribution:

$$p(r_1, \dots, r_N | \theta_m) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}}$$

Mutual Information:

First we show the following conclusion:

$$D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)||p(\mathbf{r})) = D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_{m+\delta})||p(\mathbf{r})) \quad (18)$$

Proof.

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)} = \frac{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{i-c_k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{i-c_k}}}{\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{f_{i-c_k}}{f_{m-c_k}} \right)^{r_k} e^{-(f_{i-c_k} - f_{m-c_k})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)||p(\mathbf{r})) &= -E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta_m} \left[\ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)} \right) \right] \\
&= -\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{f_{i-c_k}}{f_{m-c_k}} \right)^{r_k} e^{-(f_{i-c_k} - f_{m-c_k})} \right) \\
&= -\sum_{\mathbf{r}} C_1(\mathbf{r}) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}} \ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-c_k}^{-r_k} e^{f_{m-c_k}} \cdot C_2(\mathbf{r}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^M r_k!}$, $C_2(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N f_{i-c_k}^{r_k} e^{-f_{i-c_k}}$ does not depend on m . Since $c_k = k\delta$, $m + \delta - c_k = m - c_{k-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_{m+\delta})||p(\mathbf{r})) &= -\sum_{\mathbf{r}} C_1(\mathbf{r}) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_{k-1}}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_{k-1}}} \ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-c_{k-1}}^{-r_k} e^{f_{m-c_{k-1}}} \cdot C_2(\mathbf{r}) \right) \\
&= -\sum_{\mathbf{r}} C_1(\mathbf{r}) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_{k+1}}}{r_{k+1}!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}} \ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-c_k}^{-r_{k+1}} e^{f_{m-c_k}} \cdot C_2(\mathbf{r}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the cyclic permutation of \mathbf{r} by 1 such that $\tilde{r}_k = r_{k+1}$. One can easily verify that $C_1(\mathbf{r})$ and $C_2(\mathbf{r})$ are invariant under cyclic permutations of \mathbf{r} . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_{m+\delta})||p(\mathbf{r})) &= \sum_{\mathbf{r}} C_1(\mathbf{r}) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_{k+1}}}{r_{k+1}!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}} \ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-c_k}^{-r_{k+1}} e^{f_{m-c_k}} \cdot C_2(\mathbf{r}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}} C_1(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{-1}) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{\tilde{r}_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}} \ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-c_k}^{-\tilde{r}_k} e^{f_{m-c_k}} \cdot C_2(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{-1}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}} C_1(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{\tilde{r}_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}} \ln \left(\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-c_k}^{-\tilde{r}_k} e^{f_{m-c_k}} \cdot C_2(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \right) \\
&= D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)||p(\mathbf{r}))
\end{aligned}$$

Note that a special case is when $\delta = 1$, then $D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_{m+1})||p(\mathbf{r})) = D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)||p(\mathbf{r})) = D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_0)||p(\mathbf{r}))$ for all m , which gives our conclusion (2) in Section 1. \square

Now we derive the mutual information:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) &= D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}, \theta)||p(\mathbf{r})p(\theta)) \\
&= \int_{\theta} \int_{\mathbf{r}} p(\mathbf{r}, \theta) \ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r}, \theta)}{p(\mathbf{r})p(\theta)} \right) d\mathbf{r} d\theta \\
&= \int_{\theta} \int_{\mathbf{r}} p(\mathbf{r}|\theta)p(\theta) \ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta)}{p(\mathbf{r})} \right) d\mathbf{r} d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)||p(\mathbf{r})) \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\delta} D_{KL}(p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)||p(\mathbf{r})) \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta_m} \left[-\ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)} \right) \right] \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\delta} E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta_m} \left[-\ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)} \right) \right] \tag{20}$$

Optimization Problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{\{f_j\}_{j=1, \dots, M}} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\delta} E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta_m} [-\ln(S_m(\mathbf{r}))] \\
&0 < f_- \leq f_j \leq f_+ \\
&\frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M f_j = \bar{f}
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$S_m(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{f_{i-c_k}}{f_{m-c_k}} \right)^{r_k} e^{-(f_{i-c_k} - f_{m-c_k})}$$

3.2 Gradient

For simplicity, we introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_m(\mathbf{r}) &:= P(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}} \\
i \sim j &: (i - j) \bmod \delta = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\mathbf{r}; \theta) &= -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta_m} \left[\ln \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{r})}{p(\mathbf{r}|\theta_m)} \right) \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{\mathbf{r}} P_m(\mathbf{r}) [\ln S_m(\mathbf{r})] \\
\frac{\partial I(\mathbf{r}; \theta)}{\partial f_i} &= -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\partial P_m(\mathbf{r})}{\partial f_i} \ln S_m(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{P_m(\mathbf{r})}{S_m(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\partial S_m(\mathbf{r})}{\partial f_i} \right] \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

First, we compute the partial derivatives of $P_m(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{m-c_k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{m-c_k}}$. Notice that it only contains certain f_i 's such that $i \sim m$:

$$\frac{\partial P_m}{\partial f_i} = 1_{\{i \sim m\}} \left(\frac{r_{\hat{m}}}{f_i} - 1 \right) P_m$$

where $\hat{m} := \frac{m-i}{\delta}$. Next, we show that the second term in equation (22) is zero (this conclusion is also used in deriving the gradient in Section 1):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial f_i} &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{\partial}{\partial f_i} \left[\frac{\prod_{k=1}^N f_{j-\delta k}^{r_k} e^{-f_{j-\delta k}}}{\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-\delta k}^{r_k} e^{-f_{m-\delta k}}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \left(1_{\{i \sim j\}} \left(\frac{r_j}{f_i} - 1 \right) + 1_{\{i \sim m\}} \left(-\frac{r_{\hat{m}}}{f_i} + 1 \right) \right) \frac{\prod_{k=1}^N f_{j-\delta k}^{r_k} e^{-f_{j-\delta k}}}{\prod_{k=1}^N f_{m-\delta k}^{r_k} e^{-f_{m-\delta k}}} \\ f_i \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{P_m(\mathbf{r})}{S_m(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\partial S_m(\mathbf{r})}{\partial f_i} &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{P_m(\mathbf{r})}{S_m(\mathbf{r})} \sum_{j=1}^M \left[1_{\{i \sim j\}} (r_j - f_i) - 1_{\{i \sim m\}} (r_{\hat{m}} - f_i) \right] \frac{P_j(\mathbf{r})}{P_m(\mathbf{r})} \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{S_m(\mathbf{r})} \sum_{j=1}^M P_j(\mathbf{r}) \left[1_{\{i \sim j\}} (r_j - f_i) - 1_{\{i \sim m\}} (r_{\hat{m}} - f_i) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\sum_{j=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{f_{j-\delta k}^{r_k}}{r_k!} e^{-f_{j-\delta k}} \left[1_{\{i \sim j\}} (r_j - f_i) - 1_{\{i \sim m\}} (r_{\hat{m}} - f_i) \right]}{\sum_{l=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{f_{l-\delta k}}{f_{m-\delta k}} \right)^{r_k} e^{-(f_{l-\delta k} - f_{m-\delta k})}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N (f_{m-\delta k} f_{j-\delta k})^{r_k} e^{-(f_{j-\delta k} + f_{m-\delta k})} \cdot 1_{\{i \sim j\}} (r_j - f_i)}{\sum_{l=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N f_{l-\delta k}^{r_k} r_k! e^{-f_{l-\delta k}}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N (f_{m-\delta k} f_{j-\delta k})^{r_k} e^{-(f_{j-\delta k} + f_{m-\delta k})} \cdot 1_{\{i \sim m\}} (r_{\hat{m}} - f_i)}{\sum_{l=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N f_{l-\delta k}^{r_k} r_k! e^{-f_{l-\delta k}}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I(\mathbf{r}; \theta)}{\partial f_i} &= -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial P_m(\mathbf{r})}{\partial f_i} \ln S_m(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\delta} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial P_m(\mathbf{r})}{\partial f_i} \ln S_m(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\delta} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} 1_{\{i \sim m\}} \left(\frac{r_{\hat{m}}}{f_i} - 1 \right) P_m(\mathbf{r}) \ln S_m(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\delta} E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta_m} \left[1_{\{i \sim m\}} \left(1 - \frac{r_{\hat{m}}}{f_i} \right) \ln S_m(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\delta} E_{\mathbf{r}|\theta_m} \left[\sum_{k=1}^N 1_{\{i=m+\delta k\}} \left(1 - \frac{r_k}{f_{m+\delta k}} \right) \ln S_m(\mathbf{r}) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where the second equality can be shown in a similar way as in the proof of (18).