$$\Sigma$$
(2250)

$$I(J^P) = 1(??)$$
 Status: ***

Results from partial-wave analyses are too weak to warrant separating them from the production and cross-section experiments. LASINSKI 71 in \overline{K} N using a Pomeron + resonances model, and DEBELLEFON 76, DEBELLEFON 77, and DEBELLEFON 78 in energy-dependent partial-wave analyses of \overline{K} $N \to \Lambda \pi$, $\Sigma \pi$, and $N\overline{K}$, respectively, suggest two resonances around this mass.

Σ(2250) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
2210 to 2280 (≈ 2250) OUR ESTIMATE						
2270 ± 50	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	$D_{f 5}$ wave		
2210 ± 30	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	G ₉ wave		
2275 ± 20	DEBELLEFON	77	DPWA	D_5 wave		
2215 ± 20	DEBELLEFON	77	DPWA	G ₉ wave		
2300 ± 30	¹ DEBELLEFON	75 B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^{*0} K^0$		
2251^{+30}_{-20}	VANHORN	75	DPWA	${\it K}^-{\it p} ightarrow {\it \Lambda} \pi^0$, ${\it F}_5$ wave		
2280 ± 14	AGUILAR	70 B	HBC	$K^- p$ 3.9, 4.6 GeV/ c		
2237 ± 11	BRICMAN	70	CNTR	Total, charge exchange		
2255 ± 10	COOL	70	CNTR	K^-p , K^-d total		
2250± 7	BUGG	68	CNTR	K^-p , K^-d total		
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
2260	DEBELLEFON	76	IPWA	D_{5} wave		
2215	DEBELLEFON	76	IPWA	G ₉ wave		
2250 ± 20	LU	70	CNTR	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ Y^*$		
2245	BLANPIED	65	CNTR	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ Y^*$		
2299± 6	BOCK	65	HBC	<i>p</i> p 5.7 GeV/c		

Σ (2250) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT			
60 to 150 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE							
120 ± 40	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	D_5 wave			
80 ± 20	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	G ₉ wave			
70 ± 20	DEBELLEFON	77	DPWA	D_5 wave			
60 ± 20	DEBELLEFON			G ₉ wave			
130 ± 20	¹ DEBELLEFON			$K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^{*0} K^0$			
192 ± 30	VANHORN	75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0, F_5$			
				wave			
100 ± 20	AGUILAR	70 B	HBC	$K^- p$ 3.9, 4.6 GeV/c			
164 ± 50	BRICMAN	70	CNTR	Total, charge exchange			
230 ± 20	BUGG	68	CNTR	K^-p , K^-d total			

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • IPWA D_5 wave 100 DEBELLEFON 76 140 **DEBELLEFON 76** IPWA G₉ wave 170 COOL CNTR K^-p , K^-d total 70 125 LU 70 CNTR $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ Y^*$

BLANPIED

65

 21^{+17}_{-21} HBC <u>p</u> p 5.7 GeV/c **BOCK** 65

Σ (2250) DECAY MODES

CNTR $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ Y^*$

Created: 5/30/2017 17:20

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
-	NK	<10 %
Γ_2	$\Lambda\pi$	seen
Γ ₃	$\Sigma \pi$	seen
Γ_4	$rac{\Sigma\pi}{{\sf N}{f K}\pi}$	
Γ ₅	$\Xi(1530)K$	

Σ (2250) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on \varLambda and \varSigma Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ ₁ /Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<0.1 OUR ESTIMATE					
0.08 ± 0.02	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	D_{5} wave	
0.02 ± 0.01	DEBELLEFON	78	DPWA	G ₉ wave	
$(J+\frac{1}{2})\times\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ ₁ /Γ	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following d	lata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •	
0.16 ± 0.12	BRICMAN	70	CNTR	Total, charge exchange	
0.42	COOL	70	CNTR	K^-p , K^-d total	
0.47	BUGG	68	CNTR	, .	
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N \overline{K} \to \Sigma(2250) \to \Lambda \pi$ VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECH COMMENT TECH COMMENT					
-0.16 ± 0.03	VANHORN	75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0, F_5$ wave	
 ◆ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. 					
+0.11	DEBELLEFON	76	IPWA	D_5 wave	
-0.10	DEBELLEFON	76		G_0 wave	
-0.18	BARBARO			$K^{-} p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{0}, G_{9}$ wave	

150

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \to \Sigma(2)$	$(250) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$				$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$+0.06\pm0.02$	DEBELLEFON	77		$D_{f 5}$ wave	
-0.03 ± 0.02	DEBELLEFON	77	DPWA	G_9 wave	
+0.07	BARBARO	70	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow$	$\Sigma\pi$, G_9 wave
$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$					Γ_1/Γ_3
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>	
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •	
<0.18	BARNES	69	HBC	1 standard	l dev. limit
$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$					Γ_2/Γ_3
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>	
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •	
< 0.18	BARNES	69	HBC	1 standard	l dev. limit
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \to \Sigma(2)$	2 50) → <i>Ξ</i> (153	0) <i>K</i>			$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$
VALUE				<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.18 ± 0.04	¹ DEBELLEFON	75 B	HBC	$K^-p \rightarrow$	$=$ * 0 κ^{0}
	_				

Σ (2250) FOOTNOTES

Σ (2250) REFERENCES

DEBELLEFON	78	NC 42A 403	A. de Bellefon et al.	(CDEF, SACL) IJP
DEBELLEFON	77	NC 37A 175	A. de Bellefon et al.	(CDEF, SACL) IJP
DEBELLEFON	76	NP B109 129	A. de Bellefon, A. Berthon	` (CDEF) IJP
Also		NP B90 1	A. de Bellefon et al.	(CDEF, SACL) IJP
DEBELLEFON	75B	NC 28A 289	A. de Bellefon et al.	(CDEF, SACL)
VANHORN	75	NP B87 145	A.J. van Horn	` (LBL) IJP
Also		NP B87 157	A.J. van Horn	(LBL) IJP
LASINSKI	71	NP B29 125	T.A. Lasinski	(EFI) IJP
AGUILAR	70B	PRL 25 58	M. Aguilar-Benitez et al.	(BNL, SÝRA)
BARBARO	70	Duke Conf. 173	A. Barbaro-Galtieri	` (LRL) IJP
Hyperon Re	esonan	ces, 1970		
BRICMAN	70	PL 31B 152	C. Bricman et al.	(CERN, CAEN, SACL)
COOL	70	PR D1 1887	R.L. Cool et al.	(BNL) I
Also		PRL 16 1228	R.L. Cool et al.	(BNL) I
LU	70	PR D2 1846	D.C. Lu et al.	(YALE)
BARNES	69	PRL 22 479	V.E. Barnes et al.	(BNL, SYRA)
BUGG	68	PR 168 1466	D.V. Bugg et al.	(RHEL, BIRM, CAVE) I
BLANPIED	65	PRL 14 741	W.A. Blanpied et al.	(YALE, CEA)
BOCK	65	PL 17 166	R.K. Bock et al.	(ČERN, SACL)

Created: 5/30/2017 17:20

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{Seen}$ in the (initial and final state) D_{5} wave. Isospin not determined.