

$$I(J^P) = 0(?^?)$$
 Status: *

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE ZHANG 13A claims a $J^P = 1/2^-$ state.

We list here all the ambiguous resonance possibilities with a mass around 2 GeV. The proposed quantum numbers are D_3 (BARBARO-GALTIERI 70 in $\Sigma\,\pi$), $D_3+F_5,\,P_3+D_5,$ or P_1+D_3 (BRANDSTETTER 72 in $\Lambda\omega$), and S_1 (CAMERON 78B in $N\overline{K}^*$). The first two of the above analyses should now be considered obsolete. See also NAKKASYAN 75.

1 (2000)	MASS
-----------------	------

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
≈ 2000 OUR ESTIMATE				
2020 ± 16	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	Multichannel
2030 ± 30	CAMERON			•
1935 to 1971	¹ BRANDSTET			
1951 to 2034	¹ BRANDSTET	.72	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \omega$
2010 ± 30	BARBARO	70	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$

Λ(2000) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
255 ± 63	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	Multichannel
125 ± 25				$K^- p \rightarrow N \overline{K}^*$
180 to 240	¹ BRANDSTET			
73 to 154	$^{ m 1}$ BRANDSTET	.72	DPWA	(higher mass)
130 ± 50	BARBARO	70	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$

Λ(2000) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\overline{\Gamma_1}$	NK	(27±6) %
Γ_2	$\Sigma \pi$	
Γ3	$\Lambda\eta$	(16±7) %
Γ_4	$\Lambda\omega$	
Γ_5	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$, $S=1/2$, S -wave	
Γ ₆	$N\overline{K}^*(892)$, $S=3/2$, D -wave	

Created: 5/30/2017 17:20

Λ(2000) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on \varLambda and \varSigma Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
0.27 ± 0.06	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	Multichann	el
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K}$	$\overline{\Lambda} \to \Lambda(2000) \to \Sigma \pi$ DOCUMENT ID		<u>TECN</u>		(┌ ₁ ┌ ₂) ^½ /┌
-0.07 ± 0.03	ZHANG				el
-0.20 ± 0.04	BARBARO	70	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow 2$	$\Sigma \pi$
$\Gamma(\Lambda\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Г ₃ /Г
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
0.16 ± 0.07	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	Multichann	el
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K}$	$\overline{\Lambda} \to \Lambda(2000) \to \Lambda \omega$				(Γ ₁ Γ ₄) ^½ /Γ
VALUE			<u>TECN</u>	COMMENT	
0.17 to 0.25	1 BRANDSTET. 1 BRANDSTET.	72	DPWA	(lower mass	s)
0.04 to 0.15	* BRANDSTET.	72	DPWA	(higher ma	ss)
	$\overline{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(2000) \rightarrow N\overline{K}^*(8)$ DOCUMENT ID				
$\frac{\textit{VALUE}}{-0.12 \pm 0.03}$	DOCUMENT ID 2 CAMERON	78 B	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow I$	V <i>K</i> *
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K}$	$\overline{C} \rightarrow \Lambda(2000) \rightarrow N\overline{K}^*(8)$ DOCUMENT ID				(Γ₁Γ ₆) ^½ /Γ
$+0.34\pm0.05$	ZHANG				el
$+0.09\pm0.03$	CAMERON				

∧(2000) FOOTNOTES

∧(2000) REFERENCES

ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
CAMERON	78B	NP B146 327	W. Cameron et al.	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
NAKKASYAN	75	NP B93 85	A. Nakkasyan	(CERN) IJP
BRANDSTET	. 72	NP B39 13	A.A. Brandstetter et al.	(RHEL, CDEF+)
BARBARO	70	Duke Conf. 173	A. Barbaro-Galtieri	(LRL) IJP
Hyperon Re	esonand	ces, 1970		` ,

Created: 5/30/2017 17:20

 $^{^1}$ The parameters quoted here are ranges from the three best fits; the lower state probably has $J \leq 3/2$, and the higher one probably has $J \leq 5/2$.

² The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.