$$\Sigma(1940) \ 3/2^-$$

$$I(J^P) = 1(\frac{3}{2}^-)$$
 Status: ***

For results published before 1974 (they are now obsolete), see our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

Not all analyses require this state. It is not required by the GOYAL 77 analysis of $K^- n \to (\Sigma \pi)^-$ nor by the GOPAL 80 analysis of $K^- n \to K^- n$. See also HEMINGWAY 75.

Σ(1940) MASS

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN COMMENT | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1900 to 1950 (≈ 1940) OUR ESTIMATE | | | | | | |
| 1920 ± 50 | GOPAL | 77 | DPWA $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | | | |
| 1950 ± 30 | BAILLON | 75 | IPWA $\overline{K}N 	o \Lambda\pi$ | | | |
| $1949 + 40 \\ -60$ | VANHORN | 75 | DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$ | | | |
| 1935 ± 80 | KANE | | DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$ | | | |
| 1940 ± 20 | LITCHFIELD | 74 B | DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \pi^0$ | | | |
| 1950 ± 20 | LITCHFIELD | 74 C | DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Delta(1232) \overline{K}$ | | | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • | | | | | | |
| 1886 or 1893 | | | DPWA $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | | | |
| 1940 | DEBELLEFON | l 76 | IPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$, F_{17} wave | | | |

Σ(1940) WIDTH

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN COMMENT | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 150 to 300 (≈ 220) OUR ESTIMATE | | | | | |
| 170 ± 25 | CAMERON | 78 B | DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow N \overline{K}^*$ | | |
| 300 ± 80 | GOPAL | 77 | DPWA $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | | |
| $150\!\pm\!75$ | BAILLON | 75 | IPWA $\overline{K}N 	o \Lambda\pi$ | | |
| 160^{+70}_{-40} | VANHORN | 75 | DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ | | |
| 330 ± 80 | KANE | 74 | DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$ | | |
| 60 ± 20 | LITCHFIELD | 74 B | DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \pi^0$ | | |
| 70^{+30}_{-20} | LITCHFIELD | 74 C | DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\overline{K}$ | | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • | | | | | |
| 157 or 159 | ¹ MARTIN | 77 | DPWA $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | | |

Created: 5/30/2017 17:20

Σ (1940) DECAY MODES

| | Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|
| $\overline{\Gamma_1}$ | NK | <20 % |
| Γ_2 | $\Lambda\pi$ | seen |
| Γ ₃ | $\Sigma \pi$ | seen |
| Γ_4 | $\Sigma(1385)\pi$ | seen |
| Γ_5 | $\Sigma(1385)\pi$, $\it S-wave$ | |
| Γ_6 | $\Lambda(1520)\pi$ | seen |
| Γ_7 | $arLambda(1520)\pi$, $\mathit{P}	ext{-}$ wave | |
| Γ ₈ | $arLambda(1520)\pi$, $\mathit{F}	ext{-}$ wave | |
| | $\Delta(1232)\overline{K}$ | seen |
| Γ_{10} | $\Delta(1232)\overline{K}$, $\mathit{S}	ext{-}$ wave | |
| Γ_{11} | ${\it \Delta}(1232)\overline{\it K}$, ${\it D}$ -wave | |
| Γ_{12} | $N\overline{K}^{*}(892)$ | seen |
| Γ ₁₃ | $N\overline{K}^*(892)$, $S=3/2$, S -wave | |

Σ (1940) BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on \varLambda and \varSigma Resonances.

| $\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ | | | | Γ_1/Γ | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|-------------|---|--|
| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | , | TECN | COMMENT | |
| <0.2 OUR ESTIMATE | | | | | |
| < 0.04 | GOPAL | 77 | DPWA | $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | |
| 0.14 or 0.13 | ¹ MARTIN | 77 | DPWA | $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | |
| $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \to \Sigma$ | - | | TECN | $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$ | |
| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN DDAG | COMMENT | |
| -0.06 ± 0.03 | GOPAL | | | K N multichannel | |
| -0.04 ± 0.02 | BAILLON | 75 | IPWA | $\overline{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$ | |
| $-0.05 \begin{array}{c} +0.03 \\ -0.02 \end{array}$ | VANHORN | 75 | DPWA | $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$ | |
| $-0.153\!\pm\!0.070$ | DEVENISH | 74 B | | Fixed-t dispersion rel. | |
| • • • We do not use the following | g data for averag | es, fits, | limits, e | tc. • • • | |
| -0.15 or -0.14 | $^{ m 1}$ MARTIN | 77 | DPWA | $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | |
| $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N \overline{K} \to \Sigma (1940) \to \Sigma \pi$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma$ | | | | | |
| VALUE | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u> </u> | <u>TECN</u> | COMMENT | |
| -0.08 ± 0.04 | GOPAL | 77 | DPWA | $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | |
| -0.14 ± 0.04 | KANE | 74 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$ | |
| ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following | g data for averag | es, fits, | limits, e | tc. • • • | |
| +0.16 or +0.16 | $^{ m 1}$ MARTIN | 77 | DPWA | $\overline{K}N$ multichannel | |

Created: 5/30/2017 17:20

| $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \to \Sigma(1)$ | 940) → Λ(152 | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| < 0.03 | CAMERON | 77 | DPWA | $K^- p \rightarrow$ | $\Lambda(1520) \pi^{0}$ |
| < 0.03 -0.11±0.04 | LITCHFIELD | 74 B | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow$ | $\Lambda(1520)\pi^{0}$ |
| $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \to \Sigma (1)$ | 940) → Λ(152 | • | | | . = -, , |
| 0.062 ± 0.021 -0.08 ± 0.04 | CAMERON | 77 | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow$ | $\Lambda(1520) \pi^{0}$ |
| -0.08 ± 0.04 | LITCHFIELD | 74 B | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow$ | $\Lambda(1520) \pi^{0}$ |
| $\frac{\left(\Gamma_{i}\Gamma_{f}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1)}{\frac{VALUE}{-0.16 \pm 0.05}}$ | $940) \rightarrow \triangle(123)$ $DOCUMENT ID$ | 32) <i>K</i> | , S-wav | e <u>COMMENT</u> | (Γ ₁ Γ ₁₀) ^{1/2} /Γ |
| -0.16 ± 0.05 | LITCHFIELD | 74C | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow$ | $\Delta(1232) K$ |
| $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \to \Sigma(1)$ | 940) → Δ(123 | 32) <i>K</i> | , <i>D</i> -wav | re <u>COMMENT</u> | (Γ ₁ Γ ₁₁) ^½ /Γ |
| <u>VALUE</u> −0.14±0.05 | LITCHFIELD | 74 C | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow$ | Δ (1232) \overline{K} |
| $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \to \Sigma(1)$ | 940) → Σ(138 | $35)\pi$ | | | (Γ ₁ Γ ₄) ^½ /Γ |
| <u>VALUE</u> +0.066±0.025 | ² CAMERON | 78 | DPWA | $K^- p \rightarrow$ | $\Sigma(1385)\pi$ |
| $\frac{\left(\Gamma_{i}\Gamma_{f}\right)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total} \text{ in } N\overline{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1)}{\frac{VALUE}{-0.09 \pm 0.02}}$ | 940) → <i>N</i> \(\overline{K}^* (| (892) | | | $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_{12})^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$ |
| -0.09 ± 0.02 | ³ CAMERON | 78 B | DPWA | $K^-p \rightarrow$ | N K * |
| | | | | | |

Σ (1940) FOOTNOTES

Σ (1940) REFERENCES

| PDG GOPAL CAMERON CAMERON GOPAL GOYAL MARTIN Also Also DEBELLEFON BAILLON HEMINGWAY VANHORN Also DEVENISH KANE LITCHFIELD | 82 80 78 78B 77 77 77 77 76 75 75 75 74B 74 74B | PL 111B 1 Toronto Conf. 159 NP B143 189 NP B146 327 NP B131 399 NP B119 362 PR D16 2746 NP B127 349 NP B126 266 NP B126 285 NP B109 129 NP B94 39 NP B91 12 NP B87 145 NP B87 157 NP B81 330 LBL-2452 NP B74 19 | M. Roos et al. G.P. Gopal W. Cameron et al. W. Cameron et al. W. Cameron et al. G.P. Gopal et al. D.P. Goyal, A.V. Sodhi B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock A. de Bellefon, A. Berthon P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield R.J. Hemingway et al. A.J. van Horn A.J. van Horn R.C.E. Devenish, C.D. Froggatt, D.F. Kane P.J. Litchfield et al. | (LOUC) (LOUC) IJP (CDEF) IJP (CERN, RHEL) IJP (CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP (LBL) IJP (LBL) IJP |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| LITCHFIELD | 74B | NP B74 19 | P.J. Litchfield <i>et al.</i> | (CERN, HÈIDH) IJP |
| LITCHFIELD | 74C | NP B74 39 | P.J. Litchfield <i>et al.</i> | (CERN, HEIDH) IJP |

Created: 5/30/2017 17:20

 $^{^1}$ The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit. 2 The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention. 3 Upper limits on the D_1 and D_3 waves are each 0.03.