

# TREE-LIKE GRAPHINGS

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. We present a streamlined exposition of a construction presented in [CPTT23], where it is proven that every locally-finite Borel graph with each component a quasi-tree induces a canonical treeable equivalence relation. **Write some more details...**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to provide a streamlined proof of a particular case of a construction presented in [CPTT23], in order to better understand the general formalism developed therein. We attempt to make this note self-contained, but nevertheless urge the reader to refer to the original paper for more detailed discussion and generalizations of the results we have selected to include here.

**1.1. Treeings of Equivalence Relations.** A *countable Borel equivalence relation* (CBER) on a standard Borel space  $X$  is a Borel equivalence relation  $E \subseteq X^2$ , such that each class is countable. We are interested in special types of *graphings* on a CBER  $E \subseteq X^2$ , i.e. a Borel graph  $G \subseteq X^2$  whose connectedness relation is precisely  $E$ . For instance, a graphing of  $E$  such that each component is a tree is called a *treeing* of  $E$ , and the CBERs that admit a treeing are said to be *treeable*. The main results of [CPTT23] is to provide new sufficient criteria for treeability of CBERs, and in particular, they prove the following

**Theorem A** ([CPTT23, Theorem 1.1]). *If a CBER admits a locally-finite graphing whose components are quasi-trees, then it is treeable.*

Recall that metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are *quasi-isometric* if they are isometric up to a bounded multiplicative and additive error, and  $X$  is a *quasi-tree* if it is quasi-isometric to a simplicial tree; see [Gro93] and [DK18].

**1.2. Outline of the Proof.** Roughly speaking, the existence of a quasi-isometry  $G|_C \rightarrow T_C$  to a simplicial tree  $T_C$  for each component  $C \subseteq G$  induces a collection  $\mathcal{H}(C)$  of ‘cuts’ (subsets  $H \subseteq C$  with finite boundary such that both  $H$  and  $C \setminus H$  are connected), which are ‘tree-like’ in the sense that

1.  $\mathcal{H}(C)$  is a *walling*: each vertex  $x \in C$  is on the boundary of finitely-many  $H \in \mathcal{H}(C)$ , and
2.  $\mathcal{H}(C)$  is *dense towards ends*: each end in  $G|_C$  has a neighborhood basis in  $\mathcal{H}(C)$ .

These cuts provide exactly the data to construct a ‘median graph’ whose vertices are ‘ultrafilters’ thereof, and condition (2) ensures that this graph has finite ‘hyperplanes’. This finiteness condition allows us to apply a Borel ‘cycle-cutting’ algorithm to obtain a subtree thereof. Each step above can be done in a uniform way to each component  $C \subseteq G$ , giving us the desired treeing of the CBER.

$$\text{Quasi-tree} \xrightarrow{2.1} \text{Dense walling of cuts} \xrightarrow{2.2} \text{Median graph w/ finite hyperplanes} \xrightarrow{2.3} \text{Tree}$$

## 2. DETAILED CONSTRUCTIONS

### 2.1. Dense walling of Cuts.

### 2.2. Median Graph of Orientations.

### 2.3. Canonical Construction of a Sub-treeing.

## REFERENCES

- [CPTT23] Ruiyuan Chen, Antoine Poulin, Ran Tao, and Anush Tserunyan, *Tree-like graphings, wallings, and median graphings of equivalence relations* (2023), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.13010>.
- [Gro93] Mikhail Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric Group Theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 182, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [DK18] Cornelia Druţu and Michael Kapovich, *Geometric Group Theory*, with appendix by Bogdan Nica, Vol. 63, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 2018.