

## QUESTIONS IN LEMMA 5.19

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

### 1. RESULTS FROM THE PAPER

I'll first reference a previous lemma, and then reproduce Lemma 5.19 and its proof here.

**Lemma 2.67.** *Let  $(X, G)$ ,  $(Y, H)$  be connected locally-finite graphs. For a coarse embedding  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$ ,  $\text{diam}(\partial_v f^{-1}(A))$  is uniformly bounded in terms of  $\text{diam}(\partial_v A)$ , and also  $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$ .*

**Lemma 5.19.** *The class of connected locally-finite graphs in which  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}$  is dense towards ends for some  $R < \infty$  is invariant under coarse equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, G)$ ,  $(Y, T)$  be connected locally-finite graphs,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a coarse equivalence with quasi-inverse  $g : Y \rightarrow X$ , and suppose  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$  is dense towards ends for some  $S < \infty$ . By Lemma 2.67, pick some  $R < \infty$  so that for any  $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$ , we have  $f^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$ . Then for any  $U \in \widehat{X} \setminus X$  and  $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$  with  $U \in \widehat{A}$ , letting  $B := \neg \text{Ball}_{d(1_X, g \circ f)}(\neg A)$ , we have  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \text{Ball}_{d(1_X, g \circ f)}(B) \subseteq A$ , and  $A \triangle B$ ,  $B \triangle f^{-1}(g^{-1}(B))$  are finite, so  $U \in \widehat{f^{-1}(g^{-1}(B))}$ , so  $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{g^{-1}(B)}$ , so there is  $g^{-1}(B) \supseteq H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$  with  $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{H}^1$ , so  $f^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$  with  $U \in \widehat{f^{-1}(H)}$  and  $f^{-1}(H) \subseteq f^{-1}(g^{-1}(B)) \subseteq A$ . ■

### 2. DETAILED PROOF TO CHECK MY UNDERSTANDING

I'll give some details in the proof and rewrite it in a way that I can understand, in order to ask you if my understanding of this proof is correct (as it is a very important step towards a generalization/modification).

*Proof.* Let  $(X, G)$ ,  $(Y, T)$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be as above,  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$  be dense towards ends, and  $R < \infty$  be so that for any  $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$ , we have  $f^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$ .

Fix an end  $U \in \widehat{X} \setminus X$  with  $U \in \widehat{A}$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$ . We need to find some<sup>2</sup>  $B \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$  such that  $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{B}$  and  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ , for then  $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{H}$  for some  $B \supseteq H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$ , and hence we have

$$U \in \widehat{f^{-1}(H)} \subseteq \widehat{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq \widehat{A}$$

with  $f^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$ . For convenience, let  $D < \infty$  be the uniform distance  $d(1_X, g \circ f)$ .

To this end, note that  $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{B}$  iff  $U \in \widehat{f^{-1}(B)}$ . Since  $U \in \widehat{A}$ , the latter can occur if  $|A \triangle f^{-1}(B)| < \infty$ , and so we need to find such a  $B \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$  with the additional property that  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ .

- *Attempt 1:* Set  $B := g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{Ball}_D(A)$  since if  $(g \circ f)(x) \in A$ , then

$$d(x, A) \leq d(x, (g \circ f)(x)) \leq d(1_X, g \circ f) = D.$$

By local-finiteness of  $G$ , we see that  $A \triangle f^{-1}(B) = A \setminus f^{-1}(B)$  is finite, as desired.

However, it is *not* the case that  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ . To remedy this, we 'shrink'  $A$  by  $D$  to  $A'$  so that  $\text{Ball}_D(A') \subseteq A$ , and take  $B := g^{-1}(A')$  instead. Indeed,  $A' := \neg \text{Ball}_D(\neg A) \subseteq A$  works, since  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{Ball}_D(A')$  as before, so  $A' \triangle f^{-1}(B) = A' \setminus f^{-1}(B)$  is finite. Also,  $A \triangle A'$  is finite since  $x \in A \triangle A'$  iff  $x \in A$  and  $d(x, \neg A) \leq D$ , so  $A \triangle f^{-1}(B)$  is finite too. It remains to show that  $\text{Ball}_D(A') \subseteq A$ , for then  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$  as desired.

Indeed, if  $y \in \text{Ball}_D(A')$ , then by the (reverse) triangle-inequality we have  $d(y, \neg A) \geq d(x, \neg A) - d(x, y)$  for all  $x \in A'$ . But  $d(x, \neg A) > D$ , strictly, so  $d(y, \neg A) > D - D = 0$ , and hence  $y \in A$ . ■

---

*Date:* July 13, 2024.

<sup>1</sup>I think this should be  $H \in \widehat{f}(U)$ , or equivalently  $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{H}$ .

<sup>2</sup>Warning: My  $B \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$  is *not* the same  $B$  as in the original proof.