QUESTIONS IN LEMMA 2.61

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

1. Results from the Paper

I'll first reference some previous results, and then reproduce Lemma 2.61 and its proof here.

Corollary 2.34. Every interval [x, y] in a median graph is finite. More generally, a convex hull $\operatorname{cvx}(\{x_0, \dots, x_n\})$ of finitely many points is finite.

Lemma 2.58. For a median graph (X,G) with finite hyperplanes, $\mathcal{H}_{\partial<\infty}(X)\subseteq 2^X$ is the Boolean subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{H}_{cvx}(X)$.

Lemma 2.61. For a locally-finite median graph (X,G) with finite hyperplanes, each end has a neighborhood basis of half-spaces.

Proof. Let $U \in \widehat{X} \setminus X$ be an end, $\widehat{A} \ni U$ be a clopen neighborhood where $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$. By Corollary 2.34, $\operatorname{cvx}(\partial_{\mathsf{ov}}A) \subseteq X$ is finite. By Lemma 2.58 (applied to it and each point on its outer boundary), it is a finite intersection of half-spaces. One such half-space H must not contain U, since $U \notin \operatorname{cvx}(\partial_{\mathsf{ov}}A)$. Then $U \in \neg \widehat{H}$, whence $A \cap \neg H \neq \emptyset$, whence $\neg H \subseteq A$ since $\partial_{\mathsf{ov}}A \cap \neg H = \emptyset$.

2. Discussion and Questions

I'll give some more details in the proof. Let $U \in \widehat{A}$ be as above.

Proof. ... $Y := \operatorname{cvx}(\partial_{\text{ov}} A)$ is finite, so there are $H_0, \ldots, H_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{cvx}}(X)$ such that $Y = \bigcap_{i < n} H_i$. Then there is some i < n such that $H := H_i \notin U$, since otherwise we have $Y \in U$, a contradiction since Y is finite and U is a non-principal ultrafilter. Thus $\neg H \in U$, so $U \in \neg \widehat{H}$. Since $A \in U$, we have $A \cap \neg H \neq \varnothing$. We claim that $\neg H \subseteq A$, so that $U \in \neg \widehat{H} \subseteq \widehat{A}$ as desired.

Indeed, fix some $x_0 \in A \cap \neg H$. If there is some $x \in \neg A \cap \neg H$, then the interval $[x_0, x]$ contains some $y \in \partial_{ov} A$. Since $\neg H$ is convex, we see that $y \in [x_0, x] \subseteq \neg H$, so that $y \in \partial_{ov} A \cap \neg H$. This is absurd, since $\partial_{ov} A \subseteq Y \subseteq H$.

Question 2.1. Lemma 2.58 only guarantees that every $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$ is a finite boolean combination of half-spaces in X, not finite intersections thereof.

Assuming that $Y = \bigcap_{i < n} H_i$, I think the rest of the proof applies also for non-locally-finite graphs, so somehow this should be justified by local-finiteness.

Question 2.2.

Date: July 13, 2024.

1