## NESTED COLLECTION OF CUTS

## ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

## 1. Introduction

With the definitions in Section 2, we prove the following

**Theorem 1.1.** If (X,G) is a graph with a nested collection  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^X$  of cuts, then the graph  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  whose:

- ullet Vertices are finitely-based orientations on  $\mathcal{C}$ ; and whose
- Neighbors of  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  are  $\mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$  for every minimal  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ ;

is acyclic. Furthermore, if C is closed under complements, then  $T_C$  is a tree.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Orientations.** Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^X$  be a collection of non-empty subsets of a set X. Since we do not assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under complements, we slightly modify the definition of orientations, as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** An *orientation* on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  such that

- 1. (Upward-closure). If  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  contains A, then  $B \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- 2. (Ultra). If  $A, A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , then either  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $A^c \in \mathcal{U}$ , but not both.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an orientation, then for any  $\subseteq$ -minimal  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , so is  $\mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$ .

*Proof.* That  $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{A^c\} \setminus \{A\}$  is upward-closed follows from  $\subseteq$ -minimality of A. Now, if  $B, B^c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $B^c \notin \mathcal{V}$ , we need to show that  $B \in \mathcal{V}$ .

To this end, note that  $B^c \notin \mathcal{V}$  implies  $A \neq B$  and either  $B = A^c$  or  $B^c \notin \mathcal{U}$ . The former case follows from  $A^c \in \mathcal{V}$ , and for the latter, we have  $B \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{A\}$  since  $\mathcal{U}$  is ultra.

**Remark 2.3.** In the above notations, clearly  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$ . Furthermore, for any other such orientation  $\mathcal{U}'$  and  $A' \in \mathcal{U}'$ , that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}'$  and  $\mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\} = \mathcal{U}' \triangle \{A', A'^c\}$  together imply A = A'.

**Definition 2.4.** A base for an orientation  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a  $\subseteq$ -minimal subset  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U} = \uparrow \mathcal{B}$ , where

$$\uparrow\!\mathcal{B}\coloneqq\bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{B}}\uparrow\!B\coloneqq\bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{B}}\left\{A\in\mathcal{C}:A\supseteq B\right\}.$$

**Definition 2.5.** A collection C is said to be *nested* if every  $C_1, C_2 \in C$  has an empty corner, i.e.,  $C_1^i \cap C_2^j = \emptyset$  for some  $i, j = \pm 1$ , where  $C^i := C$  if i = 1 and  $C^i := C^c$  if i = -1.

**Remark 2.6.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is nested, then every  $\subseteq$ -minimal  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  induces an orientation  $\uparrow B \coloneqq \{A \in \mathcal{C} : A \supseteq B\}$ , called the *principal* orientation. Indeed,  $\uparrow B$  is clearly upward -closed, and if  $A, A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , then, by  $\subseteq$ -minimality of B and nestedness of  $\mathcal{C}$ , either  $A \supseteq B$  or  $A^c \supseteq B$  (but clearly not both).

This construction generalizes to any collection  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  with each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  being  $\subseteq$ -minimal, in that  $\uparrow \mathcal{B}$  is an orientation on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** An orientation  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is said to be *finitely-based* if it admits a finite base.

2.2. Cuts in graphs. Let (X, G) be a graph.

**Definition 2.8.** A *cut* in X is a subset  $C \subseteq X$  contained in a single connected component  $Y \subseteq X$  with  $\partial_{\mathsf{v}} C$  finite such that both C and  $Y \setminus C$  are infinite.

3. The graph  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$