#### TREE OF ORIENTATIONS ON A NESTED COLLECTION OF SETS

#### ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^X$  be a collection of non-empty subsets of a set X. With the definitions in Section 1, we prove the following

**Theorem.** If C is nested, then the graph  $\mathcal{T}_C$ , whose:

- Vertices are finitely-based orientations on C; and whose
- Neighbors of  $\mathcal{U} \in V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}})$  are  $\mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$  for every minimal  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ ;

is acyclic. Furthermore, if  ${\mathcal C}$  is closed under complements, then  ${\mathcal T}_{\mathcal C}$  is a tree.

In particular, this applies to when (X,G) is a graph and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a nested collection of cuts on X. No further assumptions (like local-finiteness) is needed.

#### 1. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1.** A collection C is said to be *nested* if every  $C_1, C_2 \in C$  has an empty corner, i.e.,  $C_1^i \cap C_2^j = \emptyset$  for some  $i, j \in \{1, -1\}$ , where  $C^i := C$  if i = 1 and  $C^i := C^c$  if i = -1.

1.1. **Orientations.** Since we do not assume that C is closed under complements, we slightly modify the definition of orientations, as follows.

**Definition 1.2.** An *orientation* on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  such that

- 1. (Upward-closure). If  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  contains A, then  $B \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- 2. (Ultra). If  $A, A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , then either  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $A^c \in \mathcal{U}$ , but not both.

**Remark 1.3.** This coincides with the standard definition when  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subposset of  $2^X$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** If  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an orientation, then for any  $\subseteq$ -minimal  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , so is  $\mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$ .

*Proof.* That  $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{A^c\} \setminus \{A\}$  is upward-closed follows from  $\subseteq$ -minimality of A. Now, if  $B, B^c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $B^c \notin \mathcal{V}$ , we need to show that  $B \in \mathcal{V}$ .

To this end, note that  $B^c \notin \mathcal{V}$  implies  $A \neq B$  and either  $B = A^c$  or  $B^c \notin \mathcal{U}$ . The former case follows since  $A^c \in \mathcal{V}$ , and for the latter, we have  $B \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{A\}$  since  $\mathcal{U}$  is ultra.

**Remark 1.5.** In the above notations, clearly  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$ . Furthermore, for any other such orientation  $\mathcal{U}'$  and  $A' \in \mathcal{U}'$ , that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}'$  and  $\mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\} = \mathcal{U}' \triangle \{A', A'^c\}$  together imply A = A'.

## 1.2. Finitely-based orientations.

**Definition 1.6.** A base for an orientation  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a  $\subseteq$ -minimal subset  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U} = \uparrow \mathcal{B}$ , where

$$\uparrow \mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \uparrow B := \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \left\{ A \in \mathcal{C} : A \supseteq B \right\}.$$

**Remark 1.7.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is nested, then every  $\subseteq$ -minimal  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  induces an orientation  $\uparrow B := \{A \in \mathcal{C} : A \supseteq B\}$ , called the *principal* orientation. Indeed,  $\uparrow B$  is clearly upward-closed, and if  $A, A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , then, by  $\subseteq$ -minimality of B and nestedness of  $\mathcal{C}$ , either  $A \supseteq B$  or  $A^c \supseteq B$  (but clearly not both).

This construction generalizes to any collection  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  with each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  being  $\subseteq$ -minimal, in that  $\uparrow \mathcal{B}$  is an orientation on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 1.8.** An orientation  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is said to be *finitely-based* if it admits a finite base.

**Remark 1.9.** If  $\mathcal{U} = \uparrow \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  is finitely-based, then for any  $\subseteq$ -minimal  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , so is the orientation  $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$ . Indeed, we have  $A = B_i$  for some  $1 \le i \le n$ , and  $\mathcal{V} = \uparrow (\{A\} \cup \{B_i\}_{i \ne i})$ .

### 2. The graph $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$

Fix a nested collection of non-empty subsets of a set X. Using Lemma 1.4 and Remarks 1.5 and 1.9, we construct a graph  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  whose:

- Vertices of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  are finitely-based orientations on  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- Neighbors of  $\mathcal{U} \in V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}})$  are  $\mathcal{U} \triangle \{A, A^c\}$  for every minimal  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ .

The goal of this section is to establish the main theorem, stating that  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is acyclic (Proposition 2.5), and furthermore,  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is a tree when  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under complements (Proposition 2.6).

**Definition 2.1.** Fix  $\mathcal{U}_0 \in V(\mathcal{T}_C)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $(A_i)_{i < n} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is said to induce a path from  $\mathcal{U}_0$  if  $(\mathcal{U}_i)_{i < n}$ , defined by  $\mathcal{U}_i \coloneqq \mathcal{U}_{i-1} \triangle \{A_{i-1}, A_{i-1}^c\}$  for every  $1 \le i < n$ , is a path in  $\mathcal{T}_C$  with each  $A_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Any path in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is induced by its sequence of flipped basis elements.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $n \geq 3$ . A path in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  from  $\mathcal{U}_0$  induced by  $(A_i)_{i < n}$  has no backtracking iff  $A_i \neq A_{i-1}^c$  for every  $1 \leq i < n$ .

*Proof.* Take  $2 \le i \le n$ . It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{U}_{i-2} = \mathcal{U}_i$  iff  $A_{i-1} = A_{i-2}^c$ .

- $(\Rightarrow)$ . We have by definition that  $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}_{i-2} \cup \{A_{i-1}^c, A_{i-2}^c\} \setminus \{A_{i-1}, A_{i-2}\}$ , so since  $A_{i-2} \in \mathcal{U}_{i-2} = \mathcal{U}_i$ , we have  $A_{i-2} = A_{i-1}^c$  as desired.
- $(\Leftarrow)$ . Again by definition, by noting that the basis-flipping cancels out.

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $(A_i)_{i < n}$  induces a path in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  with no backtracking, then  $(A_i)_{i < n}$  is strictly increasing.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, we have  $A_i \neq A_{i-1}^c$  for every  $1 \leq i < n$ . Thus, since  $A_i \in \mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}_{i-1} \cup \{A_{i-1}^c\} \setminus \{A_{i-1}\}$ , we see that  $A_i \in \mathcal{U}_{i-1}$ . Clearly  $A_i \neq A_{i-1}$ . It suffices to remove the three cases when  $A_i \subseteq A_{i-1}$ ,  $A_{i-1} \subseteq A_i^c$ , and  $A_i^c \subseteq A_{i-1}$ , since then nestedness of  $\mathcal{C}$  gives us  $A_{i-1} \subsetneq A_i$ , as desired.

- If  $A_i \subseteq A_{i-1}$ , then  $A_{i-1} \in \mathcal{U}_i$ , contradicting the definition of  $\mathcal{U}_i$ .
- If  $A_{i-1} \subseteq A_i^c$ , then  $A_i^c \in \mathcal{U}_{i-1}$  by upward-closure of  $\mathcal{U}_{i-1}$ , a contradiction.
- If  $A_i^c \subseteq A_{i-1}$ , then  $A_{i-1} \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  by upward-closure of  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1} \ni A_i^c$ . But since  $A_{i-1} \neq A_i^c$ , we have by definition of  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  that  $A_{i-1} \in \mathcal{U}_i$ , a contradiction.

# **Proposition 2.5.** $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is acyclic.

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathcal{U}_i)_{i < n}$  be a cycle in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  induced by  $(A_i)_{i < n}$ . Since cycles are non-backtracking, we have  $A_0 \subsetneq A_0$  by Lemma 2.4, a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.6.** If C is closed under complements, then  $T_C$  is connected (and hence a tree).

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}' \in V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}})$  are two finitely-based orientations on  $\mathcal{C}$ , then swapping their basis elements one by one as in Remark 1.9 gives us a path between  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}'$ ; the closure of  $\mathcal{C}$  is needed to ensure that those basis elements induce a path between the vertices, in that their complement lies in  $\mathcal{C}$ .