

TREE-LIKE GRAPHINGS OF COUNTABLE BOREL EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. We present a streamlined exposition of a construction presented recently by R. Chen, A. Poulin, R. Tao, and A. Tserunyan, where it is proven that every locally-finite Borel graph with each component a quasi-tree induces a canonical treeable equivalence relation. **Write some more details...**

0. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to provide a streamlined proof of a particular case of a construction presented in [CPTT23], in order to better understand the general formalism developed therein. We attempt to make this note self-contained, but nevertheless urge the reader to refer to the original paper for more detailed discussions and some generalizations of the results we have selected to include here.

0.1. Treeings of equivalence relations. A *countable Borel equivalence relation (CBER)* on a standard Borel space X is a Borel equivalence relation $E \subseteq X^2$ with each class countable. We are interested in special types of *graphings* on a CBER $E \subseteq X^2$, i.e. a Borel graph $G \subseteq X^2$ whose connectedness relation is precisely E . For instance, a graphing of E such that each component is a tree is called a *treeing* of E , and the CBERs that admit treeings are said to be *treeable*. The main results of [CPTT23] provide new sufficient criteria for treeability of certain classes of CBERs, and in particular, they prove the following

Theorem A ([CPTT23, Theorem 1.1]). *If a CBER admits a locally-finite graphing whose components are quasi-trees, then it is treeable.*

Recall that metric spaces X and Y are *quasi-isometric* if they are isometric up to a bounded multiplicative and additive error, and X is a *quasi-tree* if it is quasi-isometric to a simplicial tree; see [Gro93] and [DK18].

0.2. Outline of the proof. Roughly speaking, the existence of a quasi-isometry $G|C \rightarrow T_C$ to a simplicial tree T_C for each component $C \subseteq X$ induces a collection $\mathcal{H}(C)$ of ‘cuts’ (subsets $H \subseteq C$ with finite boundary such that both H and $C \setminus H$ are connected), which are ‘tree-like’ in the sense that

1. $\mathcal{H}(C)$ is *finitely-separating*: each pair $x, y \in C$ is separated by finitely-many $H \in \mathcal{H}(C)$, and
2. $\mathcal{H}(C)$ is *dense towards ends*: each end in $G|C$ has a neighborhood basis in $\mathcal{H}(C)$.

By Condition (1), these cuts have the structure of a profinite pocset with non-trivial points isolated, which in turn provide exactly the data to construct a ‘median graph’ whose vertices are ‘ultrafilters’¹ thereof. Condition (2) then ensures that this graph has finite ‘hyperplanes’, which allows us to apply a Borel ‘cycle-cutting’ algorithm and obtain a canonical spanning tree thereof. Each step above can be done in a uniform way to each component $C \subseteq G$, giving us the desired treeing of the CBER.



Write some more stuff to tie things together...

Remark. We follow [CPTT23, Convention 2.7], where for a family $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^X$ of subsets of a fixed set X , we write $\mathcal{H}^* := \mathcal{H} \setminus \{\emptyset, X\}$ for the *non-trivial* elements of \mathcal{H} .

Date: July 29, 2024.

¹As in [CPTT23], we call them *orientations* instead, to avoid confusion with the more standard notion; see Definition 3.1.

1. GRAPHS WITH DENSE FAMILIES OF CUTS

1.1. Ends of graphs. Let (X, G) be a connected locally-finite graph, which, in the context of Theorem A, will stand for a single component of the locally-finite graphing of a CBER.

Definition 1.1. For a subset $A \subseteq X$, we let $\partial_{iv}A := A \cap \text{Ball}_1(\neg A)$ be its *inner vertex boundary*, $\partial_{ov}A := \partial_{iv}(\neg A)$ be its *outer vertex boundary*, and let $\partial_{ie}A := G \cap (\partial_{ov}A \times \partial_{iv}A)$ and $\partial_{oe}A := \partial_{ie}(\neg A)$ respectively be its *inner* and *outer edge boundaries*. Let $\partial_vA := \partial_{iv}A \cup \partial_{ov}A$ be the *(total) vertex boundary* of A .

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X) \subseteq 2^X$ be the Boolean algebra of all $A \subseteq X$ with finite vertex boundary, called *cuts* in X .

Definition 1.2. The *end compactification* of (X, G) is the Stone space \widehat{X} of $\mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$, whose non-principal ultrafilters are the *ends* of (X, G) .

We identify $X \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}$ via principal ultrafilter map $x \mapsto p_x$, so $\widehat{X} \setminus X$ is the set of ends of G . By definition, \widehat{X} admits a basis of clopen sets of the form $\widehat{A} := \{p \in \widehat{X} : A \in p\}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$.

Definition 1.3. A family $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$ of cuts is *dense towards ends* of (X, G) if \mathcal{H} contains a neighborhood basis for every end in $\widehat{X} \setminus X$.

In other words, \mathcal{H} is dense towards ends if for every $p \in \widehat{X} \setminus X$ and every (clopen) neighborhood $\widehat{A} \ni p$, where $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$, there is some $H \in \mathcal{H}$ with $p \in \widehat{H} \subseteq \widehat{A}$; it is useful to note that $\widehat{H} \subseteq \widehat{A}$ iff $H \subseteq A$.

1.2. Dense cuts induced by quasi-trees. If X is a quasi-tree – and thus does not have arbitrary long cycles – we expect that there is some finite bound $R < \infty$ such that the ends in $\widehat{X} \setminus X$ are ‘limits’ of cuts $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$ with boundary diameter bounded by R . We show that this is indeed the case, in the sense that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$ is dense towards ends of (X, G) .

Lemma 1.4. *Let $f : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ be a coarse-equivalence between connected graphs. For a fixed $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$, $\text{diam}(\partial_v f^{-1}(H))$ is uniformly bounded in terms of $\text{diam}(\partial_v H)$.*

Proof. Since f is bornologous, let $S < \infty$ be such that xGx' implies $d(f(x), f(x')) \leq S$, so that for any $(x, x') \in \partial_{ie} f^{-1}(H)$, there is a path of length $\leq S$ between $f(x) \notin H$ and $f(x') \in H$. Thus both $d(f(x), \partial_v H)$ and $d(f(x'), \partial_v H)$ are bounded by S , so $f(\partial_v f^{-1}(H)) \subseteq \text{Ball}_S(\partial_v H)$ and hence

$$\text{diam}(f(\partial_v f^{-1}(H))) \leq \text{diam}(\partial_v H) + 2S.$$

That f is a coarse-equivalence gives us a uniform bound of $\text{diam}(\partial_v f^{-1}(H))$ in terms of $\text{diam}(\partial_v H)$. ■

In particular, if $\text{diam}(\partial_v H)$ is itself also uniformly bounded, then so is $\text{diam}(\partial_v f^{-1}(H))$.

Proposition 1.5. *The class of connected locally-finite graphs in which $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}$ is dense towards ends for some $R < \infty$ is invariant under coarse equivalence.*

Proof. Let $(X, G), (Y, T)$ be connected locally-finite graphs, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse equivalence with quasi-inverse $g : Y \rightarrow X$, and suppose $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$ is dense towards ends for some $S < \infty$. By Lemma 1.4, pick some $R < \infty$ so that for any $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$, we have $f^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$.

Fix an end $U \in \widehat{X} \setminus X$ with $U \in \widehat{A}$ for some $A \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(X)$. We need to find some $B \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$ such that $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{B}$ and $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$, for then $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{B}$ for some $B \supseteq H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq S}(Y)$, and hence we have

$$U \in \widehat{f^{-1}(H)} \subseteq \widehat{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq \widehat{A}$$

with $f^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$. For convenience, let $D < \infty$ be the uniform distance $d(1_X, g \circ f)$.

To this end, note that $\widehat{f}(U) \in \widehat{B}$ iff $U \in \widehat{f^{-1}(B)}$. Since $U \in \widehat{A}$, the latter can occur if $|A \triangle f^{-1}(B)| < \infty$, and so we need to find such a $B \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$ with the additional property that $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$.

Attempt 1. Set $B := g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}(Y)$. Then $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{Ball}_D(A)$ since if $(g \circ f)(x) \in A$, then

$$d(x, A) \leq d(x, (g \circ f)(x)) \leq d(1_X, g \circ f) = D.$$

By local-finiteness of G , we see that $A \triangle f^{-1}(B) = A \setminus f^{-1}(B)$ is finite, as desired.

However, it is *not* the case that $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$. To remedy this, we ‘shrink’ A by D to A' so that $\text{Ball}_D(A') \subseteq A$, and take $B := g^{-1}(A')$ instead. Indeed, $A' := \neg \text{Ball}_D(\neg A) \subseteq A$ works, since $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{Ball}_D(A')$ as before, so $A' \triangle f^{-1}(B) = A' \setminus f^{-1}(B)$ is finite. Also, $A \triangle A'$ is finite since $x \in A \triangle A'$ iff $x \in A$ and $d(x, \neg A) \leq D$, so $A \triangle f^{-1}(B)$ is finite too. It remains to show that $\text{Ball}_D(A') \subseteq A$, for then $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ as desired.

Indeed, if $y \in \text{Ball}_D(A')$, then by the (reverse) triangle-inequality we have $d(y, \neg A) \geq d(x, \neg A) - d(x, y)$ for all $x \in A'$. But $d(x, \neg A) > D$, strictly, so $d(y, \neg A) > D - D = 0$, and hence $y \in A$. ■

Corollary 1.6. *If (X, G) is a locally-finite quasi-tree, then $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$ is dense towards ends for some $R < \infty$.*

Proof. Observe that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq 2}(T)$ is dense towards ends for any tree T , and invoke Proposition 1.5. ■

2. POCSETS OF DENSE FAMILIES OF CUTS

2.1. Pocsets of cuts. The family $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam} \partial \leq R}(X)$ of cuts have the structure of a ‘profinite pocset’, which we first study abstractly. We then deduce some properties of the pocset induced by a *dense* family of cuts.

Definition 2.1. A *pocset* $(\mathcal{H}, \leq, \neg, 0)$ is a poset (\mathcal{H}, \leq) equipped with an order-reversing involution $\neg : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and a least element $0 \neq \neg 0$ such that 0 is the only lower-bound of $H, \neg H$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$. We call the elements in \mathcal{H} *half-spaces*.

A *profinite pocset* is a pocset \mathcal{H} equipped with a compact topology making \neg continuous and is *totally order-disconnected*, in the sense that if $H \not\leq K$, then there is a clopen upward-closed $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $H \in U \not\leq K$.

Remark 2.2. Such a topology is automatically Hausdorff and zero-dimensional.

We are primarily interested in subpocsets of $(2^X, \subseteq, \neg, \emptyset)$, which is profinite if equipped with the product topology of the discrete space 2 . Indeed, 2^X admits a base of *cylinder sets* – which are finite intersections of sets of the form $\pi_x^{-1}(i)$ where $x \in X, i \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\pi_x : 2^X \rightarrow 2$ is the projection – making \neg continuous since cylinders are clopen. **Show that it is totally order-disconnected.**

The following proposition gives a sufficient criteria for subpocsets of 2^X to be profinite. We also show in this case that every non-trivial element $H \in \mathcal{H}^*$ is isolated, which will be important in Section 3.1.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a set and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^X$ be a subpocset. If \mathcal{H} is finitely-separating, then $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^X$ is closed and every non-trivial element is isolated.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the limit points of \mathcal{H} are trivial, so let $A \in 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset, X\}$. Fix $x \in A \not\leq y$. Since \mathcal{H} is finitely-separating, there are finitely-many $H \in \mathcal{H}$ with $x \in H \not\leq y$, and for each such $H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{A\}$, we have either some $x_H \in A \setminus H$ or $y_H \in H \setminus A$. Let $U \subseteq 2^X$ be the family of all subsets $B \subseteq X$ containing x and each x_H but not y or any y_H .

This is the desired neighborhood isolating $A \in U$. Indeed, it is (cl)open since it is the *finite* intersection of cylinders prescribed by the x_H ’s and y_H ’s, and it is disjoint from $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{A\}$ by construction. ■

Example 2.4. For a connected graph² (X, G) , a subpocset $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^X$ is finitely-separating if each $x \in X$ is on the boundary of finitely-many half-spaces. Indeed, any $H \in \mathcal{H}$ separating $x, y \in X$ separates some edge on any fixed path between x and y , and there are only finitely-many such H for each edge.

In particular, the subpocset $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$ for any fixed $R < \infty$ (see Section 1.2) is finitely-separating.

2.2. Finiteness conditions on \mathcal{H} induced by dense cuts. Let (X, G) be a connected locally-finite graph and consider a family $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\partial < \infty}$ of cuts that are dense towards ends of G . **TODO**

3. THE DUAL MEDIAN GRAPH WITH FINITE HYPERPLANES

3.1. The dual median graph of a pocset. Let \mathcal{H} be a profinite subpocset with every non-trivial element isolated; for instance, if \mathcal{H} is finitely-separating, and in particular the cuts $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(\partial) \leq R}(X)$ for some locally-finite connected graph (X, G) . We present a classical construction in geometric group theory (see [Dun79], [Rol98], [Sag95], and [NR03] for applications) of a ‘tree-like’ graph associated to such a pocset.

Definition 3.1. An *orientation* on \mathcal{H} is an upward-closed subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ containing exactly one of $H, \neg H$ for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$. We let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the set of all orientations on \mathcal{H} and let $\mathcal{U}^\circ(\mathcal{H})$ denote the clopen ones.

²If (X, G) is locally-finite, then this condition is necessary, since then each $x \in X$ is separated from each of its finitely-many neighbors by finitely-many $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Intuitively, an orientation is a ‘maximally consistent’ choice of half-spaces³.

Example 3.2. Each $x \in X$ induces its *principal orientation* $\hat{x} := \{H \in \mathcal{H} : x \in H\} = \mathcal{H} \cap \pi_x^{-1}(1)$ – which is clearly clopen in \mathcal{H} – and gives us a canonical map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^\circ(\mathcal{H})$. However, this map is *not necessarily* injective, and we call a fiber $[x]_{\mathcal{H}} := \{y \in X : \hat{x} = \hat{y}\}$ thereof an \mathcal{H} -*block*.

The goal of this section is to canonically construct a graph whose vertices are clopen orientations on \mathcal{H} .

Definition 3.3. A *median graph* is a connected graph (X, G) such that for any $x, y, z \in X$, the intersection

$$[x, y] \cap [y, z] \cap [x, z]$$

is a singleton. Its unique element $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ is called the *median* of x, y, z .

An exposition on some generalities of median graphs can be found in [CPTT23, Section 2.B/2.C], from which we refer to as needed in Section 4. For more comprehensive references, see [Rol98] and [Bow22].

Proposition 3.4. Let \mathcal{H} be a profinite pocset with every non-trivial element isolated. Then the graph $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, whose vertices are clopen orientations $\mathcal{U}^\circ(\mathcal{H})$ and whose edges are pairs $\{U, V\}$ with $V = U \triangle \{H, \neg H\}$ for some \subseteq -minimal $H \in \mathcal{H}^*$, is a median graph with path metric $d(U, V) = (U \triangle V)/2$ and medians

$$\langle U, V, W \rangle := \{H \in \mathcal{H} : H \text{ belongs to at least two of } U, V, W\}.$$

Proof. First, $V := U \triangle \{H, \neg H\}$ as above is clopen since $H, \neg H \in \mathcal{H}^*$ are isolated (whence $\{H\}, \{\neg H\}$ are clopen), and it is an orientation by \subseteq -minimality of H . That $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is connected follows from the following claim by noting that $U \triangle V$ is clopen, so it is a compact set of isolated points, whence finite.

Claim. There is a path between U, V iff $U \triangle V$ is finite, in which case $d(U, V) = (U \triangle V)/2$.

Proof. If $(U_i)_{i < n}$ is a path from $U := U_0$ to $V := U_{n-1}$, then, letting $\{H_i, \neg H_i\} := U_i \triangle U_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i < n$ gives us a sequence $(H_i)_{i < n}$ inducing^a this path, whence $U \triangle V$ consists of $\{H_i\}_{i < n}$ and their complements. Thus $U \triangle V = 2n = 2d(U, V)$, as desired.

Conversely, if $U \triangle V = \{H_1, \dots, H_n\} \sqcup \{K_1, \dots, K_m\}$ with $U \setminus V = \{H_i\}$ and $V \setminus U = \{K_j\}$, then $\neg H_i \in V \setminus U$ and $\neg K_j \in U \setminus V$ for all $i < n$ and $j < m$, so $n = m$ and $V = U \cup \{\neg H_i\} \setminus \{H_i\}$. We claim that there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $(H_{\sigma(i)})$ induces a path from $U := U_0$, which is the desired path from U to V . Choose a minimal $H \in \{H_i\}$, which is also minimal in U : if $K \subseteq H$ for some $K \in U$, then $\neg H \subseteq \neg K$, and hence $\neg K \in V$, so $K = H_i \subseteq H$ for some i , forcing $K = H$. Set $U_1 := U \triangle \{H, \neg H\}$, which is a clopen orientation. Continuing in this manner by choosing a minimal element in $\{H_i\} \setminus \{H\}$ – and so on – gives us the desired path with $d(U, V) = n$. \square

^aIn the sense that $U_i = U_{i-1} \triangle \{H_i, \neg H_i\}$ and $H_i \in U_i$ for each $1 \leq i < n$; see [Tse20, Definition 2.20].

Finally, we show that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is a median graph. Fix $U, V, W \in \mathcal{U}^\circ(\mathcal{H})$, and note that for any $M \in \mathcal{U}^\circ(\mathcal{H})$, we have by the triangle inequality that $M \in [U, V]$ iff $(U \setminus M) \cup (M \setminus V) \subseteq U \setminus V$, which clearly occurs iff $U \cap V \subseteq M \subseteq U \cup V$. Thus, a vertex M lies in the triple intersection $[U, V] \cap [V, W] \cap [U, W]$ iff

$$(U \cap V) \cup (U \cap W) \cup (U \cap W) \subseteq M \subseteq (U \cup V) \cap (V \cup W) \cap (U \cup W),$$

so $M = \langle U, V, W \rangle$ – which is clopen if U, V, W are – is as claimed. \blacksquare

Given such a pocset \mathcal{H} , the graph $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ constructed above is called the *dual*⁴ median graph of \mathcal{H} . It is worth noting that if \mathcal{H} is *nested*, then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is in fact a tree (see Appendix A):

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^X$ be a pocset. Two half-spaces $H, K \in \mathcal{H}$ are *nested* if $\neg^i H \cap \neg^j K = \emptyset$ for some $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, where $\neg^0 H := H$ and $\neg^1 H := \neg H$. We say that \mathcal{H} is *nested* if every pair $H, K \in \mathcal{H}$ is nested.

Nonetheless, in the general non-nested case, there is a sufficient criteria for the existence of a *canonical* spanning tree of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, which we now explore.

³This can be formalized by letting \sim be the equivalence relation on \mathcal{H} given by $H \sim \neg H$. Letting $\partial : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\sim$ denote the quotient map, orientations $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ then correspond precisely to sections $\varphi : \mathcal{H}/\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of ∂ such that $\varphi(\partial H) \not\subseteq \neg \varphi(\partial K)$ for every $H, K \in \mathcal{H}$; the latter condition rules out ‘orientations’ of the form $\leftarrow | \rightarrow$.

⁴The name is justified by a Stone-type duality between median graphs with median homomorphisms and profinite pocsets whose non-trivial points are isolated with continuous maps, where from a median graph one can construct a canonical pocset of ‘convex’ half-spaces (see [CPTT23, Section 2.D] for details).

3.2. Finiteness conditions on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ induced by ???

4. MEDIAN GRAPHS AND THEIR CANONICAL SPANNING TREES

4.1. Convex half-spaces of median graphs. Let (X, G) be a median graph.

Lemma 4.1. *Two half-spaces $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{cvx}}^*(X)$ are non-nested iff $\partial_v H \cap \partial_v K \neq \emptyset$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Each edge $(x, y) \in G$ is on a unique hyperplane, namely the inward boundary of $\text{cone}_x(y)$, and conversely, each half-space $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{cvx}}^*(X)$ is $\text{cone}_x(y)$ for every $(x, y) \in \partial_{ie} H$.*

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\text{cvx}}(X)$ be a subpocset of convex half-spaces. Then:*

- (1) \mathcal{H} is finitely-separating, and hence $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is a median graph by Propositions 2.3 and 3.4.
- (2) The principal orientations map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^\circ(\mathcal{H}) : x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is surjective, and hence induces a median graph structure on the set of \mathcal{H} -blocks X/\mathcal{H} .

Explicitly, $([x]_{\mathcal{H}}, [y]_{\mathcal{H}})$ is an edge in X/\mathcal{H} iff $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, which occurs iff

Proof. That \mathcal{H} is finitely-separating follows from Example 2.4 and Lemma 4.2. ■

4.2. Canonical spanning trees.

Proposition 4.4. *Every countable median graph with finite hyperplanes admits a canonical spanning tree.*

Proof. Let (X, G) be a countable median graph with finite hyperplanes. By Lemma 4.1, two half-spaces $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{cvx}}^*(X)$ are non-nested iff $\partial_v H \cap \partial_v K \neq \emptyset$, so looking at the intersection graph of the boundaries furnishes a countable colouring $\mathcal{H}_{\text{cvx}}^*(X) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n^*$ such that each $H, \neg H$ receive the same color and that each $\mathcal{H}_n := \mathcal{H}_n^* \cup \{\emptyset, X\}$ is a *nested* subpocset. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{K}_n := \bigcup_{m \geq n} \mathcal{H}_m$.

We shall inductively construct an increasing chain of subforests $T_n \subseteq G$ such that the components of T_n are exactly the \mathcal{K}_n -blocks. Then, the increasing union $T := \bigcup_n T_n$ is a spanning tree, since each $(x, y) \in G$ lies in a \mathcal{K}_n -block for sufficiently large n (namely, the n such that $\text{cone}_x(y) \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}^*$, since $\text{cone}_x(y)$ and its complement are the only half-spaces separating x and y by Lemma 4.2).

Since each pair of distinct points is separated by a half-space, the $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{H}_{\text{cvx}}(X)$ -blocks are singletons, so put $T_0 := \emptyset$. Suppose that T_n is constructed as required; we wish to connect certain \mathcal{K}_n -blocks to form the \mathcal{K}_{n+1} -blocks, without creating cycles. Let $Y \in X/\mathcal{K}_{n+1}$, which is not separated by any half-spaces in \mathcal{H}_m for $m > n$, but is separated (by Lemma 4.2) by \mathcal{H}_n into the intersections of the \mathcal{K}_n -blocks with Y . Since \mathcal{H}_n is nested, Corollary A.3 gives that the G -adjacency graph Y/\mathcal{H}_n induced by Proposition 4.3 is a tree. Thus, two G -adjacent $A, B \in Y/\mathcal{H}_n$ are exactly the \mathcal{K}_n -blocks contained in the same \mathcal{K}_{n+1} -block Y . ■

Corollary 4.5. *If a CBER admits a graphing whose components are median graphs, then it has a subtreeing.*

A. TREE OF ORIENTATIONS ON A NESTED POCSET OF SETS

Let X be a set and let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^X$ be a profinite pocset with non-trivial elements isolated (see Definition 2.1); say, if \mathcal{H} is finitely-separating. By Proposition 3.4, the clopen orientations $\mathcal{U}^\circ(\mathcal{H})$ form the vertices of a median graph $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, whose edges are given by ‘minimal half-space flippings’.

We use in this appendix that if \mathcal{H} is also *nested* (see Definition 3.5), then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is in fact a tree, and so we may bypass the Borel cycle-cutting algorithm in Section 4 (hence Section 3.2 too) and obtain a treeing directly. This result is motivated by a similar construction in [Tse20], and is proved with similar methods.

Lemma A.1. *A path in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ from U_0 induced by $(H_i)_{i < n}$, $n \geq 3$, has no backtracking iff $H_i \neq \neg H_{i-1}$ for every $1 \leq i < n$.*

Proof. Take $2 \leq i \leq n$. It suffices to show that $U_{i-2} = U_i$ iff $H_{i-1} = \neg H_{i-2}$.

(\Rightarrow). We have by definition that $U_i = U_{i-2} \cup \{\neg H_{i-1}, \neg H_{i-2}\} \setminus \{H_{i-1}, H_{i-2}\}$, so since $H_{i-2} \in U_{i-2} = U_i$, we have $H_{i-2} = \neg H_{i-1}$ as desired.

(\Leftarrow). Again by definition, by noting that the half-space flippings cancel out. ■

Proposition A.2. *If $(H_i)_{i < n}$ induces a path in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ with no backtracking, then (H_i) is strictly increasing.*

Proof. By Lemma A.1, we have $H_i \neq \neg H_{i-1}$ for every $1 \leq i < n$. Thus, since $H_i \in U_i = U_{i-1} \cup \{\neg H_{i-1}\} \setminus \{H_{i-1}\}$, we see that $H_i \in U_{i-1}$. Clearly $H_i \neq H_{i-1}$. It suffices to remove the three cases when $H_i \subseteq H_{i-1}$, $H_{i-1} \subseteq \neg H_i$, and $\neg H_i \subseteq H_{i-1}$, since then nestedness of \mathcal{H} gives us $H_{i-1} \subsetneq H_i$, as desired.

- If $H_i \subseteq H_{i-1}$, then $H_{i-1} \in U_i$, contradicting the definition of U_i .
- If $H_{i-1} \subseteq \neg H_i$, then $\neg H_i \in U_{i-1}$ by upward-closure of U_{i-1} , a contradiction.
- If $\neg H_i \subseteq H_{i-1}$, then $H_{i-1} \in U_{i+1}$ by upward-closure of $U_{i+1} \ni \neg H_i$. But since $H_{i-1} \neq \neg H_i$, we have by definition of U_{i+1} that $H_{i-1} \in U_i$, a contradiction. ■

Corollary A.3. *If \mathcal{H} is a nested profinite pocset with non-trivial elements isolated, then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is a tree.*

REFERENCES

- [CPTT23] Ruiyuan Chen, Antoine Poulin, Ran Tao, and Anush Tserunyan, *Tree-like graphings, wallings, and median graphings of equivalence relations* (2023), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.13010>.
- [Gro93] Mikhail Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric Group Theory, vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 182, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [DK18] Cornelia Druţu and Michael Kapovich, *Geometric Group Theory*, with appendix by Bogdan Nica, Vol. 63, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 2018.
- [Rol98] Martin Roller, *Poc sets, median algebras and group actions*, Universität Regensburg (1998), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07747>. Ph.D. thesis.
- [Bow22] Brian H. Bowditch, *Median Algebras* (2022), available at <https://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/~masgak/papers/median-algebras.pdf>.
- [Tse20] Anush Tserunyan, *A descriptive construction of trees and Stallings' theorem*, Trends in Set Theory, Contemporary Mathematics **752** (2020), 191-207.
- [Dun79] M. J. Dunwoody, *Accessibility and Groups of Cohomological Dimension One*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **38** (1979), no. 2, 193-215.
- [Sag95] Michah Sageev, *Ends of Group Pairs and Non-Positively Curved Cube Complexes*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **71** (1995), no. 3, 585-617.
- [NR03] G.A. Niblo and L.D. Reeves, *Coxeter Groups act on CAT(0) cube complexes*, Journal of Group Theory **6** (2003), no. 3, 399-413.