

TABLE V: Review of previous works on model-parameter-transfer methods in AMC

| model-parameter-transfer methods | Task of Source Domain | Task of Target Domain | Data of Source Domain (including modulation and signal types) | Data of Target Domain (including modulation and signal types) | Network Input | Network type | Specific Strategy | Performance | Calculation Complexity | Paper |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|-------|
| Fine-tune Classifier | AMC | AMC | Simulation Dataset (OOK, 4/8ASK, BPSK, Q/8/16/32/PSK, 16/32/64/128APSK, 16/32/64/128/256QAM, AM-SSB-WC, AM-SSB-SC, AM-DSB-WC, AM-DSB-SC, FM, GMSK, OQPSK) | OTA dataset(same as source domain) | IQ sequence | ResNet | Freeze the weight parameters of the network except for the fully connected layers, and fine-tune the fully connected layers. | Compared to previous fine-tuning methods, there has been a 10% improvement in classification accuracy. | NVIDIA V100 Training Time:16h (before finetuning) NVIDIA Titan X:60s/epoch (finetuning) | [4] |
| | AMC | AMC | 8PSK, BPSK, CPFSK, GFSK, PAM4, 16QAM | QPSK, 64QAM, WBFM, AM-SSB, AM-DSB | IQ sequence | CNN | Freeze the convolutional layers and penalize the weights causing negative transfer, fine-tune the fully connected layers. | When SNR was 6 dB, compared to direct training, the performance increased on average by 15.77% and 10.32%. Compared to traditional fine-tuning, this resulted in an average increase of 6.1% and 2.73%. | — | [18] |
| | AMC | AMC | QPSK,8APSK, 16APSK,32APSK | Signals with 3 kinds of interruption signals | Time-frequency plot with wavelet transformation. | AlexNet, VGG16, GoogleNet, ResNet18 | Freeze the convolutional layers of the pretrained network to serve as feature extractors. | All networks achieved a classification accuracy greater than 90%. ResNet18 achieved an accuracy of 98.3%, while AlexNet was more effective in identifying modulation types under different noise power levels. | NVIDIA Titan Black Training Time: AlexNet:86.97min, VGG16:9922.18min, GoogleNet:243.58min, ResNet18:206.94min | [25] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | LFM, Costas, T1-T4 codes (Multi-time code), Frank Code, BPSK | Time-frequency Spectrum | AlexNet, VGGNet | Fine-tune the last fully connected layer while keeping the rest of the layers as feature extractors. | Deep features of signals can be effectively extracted | — | [11] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | BPSK, QPSK, OQPSK, 8PSK, 4ASK, QAM16, QAM32, QAM64 | STFT spectrogram, Choi-Williams distribution spectrogram, cyclic spectrogram | AlexNet, VGGNet, GoogleNet, ResNet | The solution space of using the network architecture and corresponding layers of a pre-trained network as feature extractors. | Deep features of signals can be effectively extracted. | $O(ITA \times N \times D)$ ITA: Maximum iteration count, N: iteration count, D: Dimension of the target search space | [26] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | RML2016.10B& RML2018.01A | STFT spectrum | ResNet | Use Instagram to retrieve images and then employ a pre-trained Weakly Supervised Learning (WSL) model for weakly supervised learning, followed by fine-tuning with the ImageNet dataset to obtain a feature extractor. | Deep features of signals can be effectively extracted. | — | [27] |
| | AMC | AMC | SP, LFM, V-LFM, BPSK, QPSK, FSK | S-shaped nonlinear frequency modulation signal (SNLLF), Linear Frequency Modulation (LFM), Binary Phase Shift Keying (BPSK), Signal with random Gaussian white noise | IQ sequence | CNN | Fine-tune the classification layer. | It is possible to differentiate unknown composite modulation signals with limited training data. | — | [14] |
| | AMC | AMC | Simulation Dataset (OFDM-QPSK, SEFDM-QPSK, SEFDM-QPSK, SEFDM-QPSK) | Dataset with transmission over the air | IQ sequence | CNN | Fine-tune the last two layers of the neural network and freeze the weight parameters of the remaining layers. | Able to efficiently distinguish between different modulation types in both line-of-sight (LOS) and non-line-of-sight (NLOS) scenarios is essential. | — | [34] |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|------|
| | AMC | AMC | Simulation Dataset(2FSK, 4FSK, 8FSK, BPSK, QPSK, DFDM, Scanning Spread Spectrum Carrier (S2C)) | Real-world dataset with hydrological channels.2FSK, 4FSK, 8FSK, BPSK, QPSK, DFDM, Scanning Spread Spectrum Carrier (S2C) | IQ sequence | Att-CNN + SAE | Fine-tune the last few layers of Att-CNN and freeze the weight parameters of the remaining layers. | It exhibits robustness to UWA channels and environmental noise, enabling effective identification of common UWA communication signals. | NVIDIA TITAN RTX GFLOPs:0.1415 | [36] |
| | AMC | AMC | RML2018.01A | RML2016.10B | IQ sequence + AP sequence | CNN + LSTM | Fine-tune the fully connected layer while freezing the remaining layers. | The accuracy of identifying high-order modulation types exceeds 90% in a 5G communication environment. | NVIDIA RTX A5000 Laptop Training Time: 10778s Transfer learning time: 3140s Re-training time: 3963s | [39] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | BPSK, LFM, Costas, Frank, P1-P4 codes(Phase Shift Keying (PSK)), and T1-T4 codes(Time Division Multiplexing (TDM)) | The time-frequency spectrums are calculated by integrating multiple kernel functions through PCA. | AlexNet | Freeze the first seven layers as feature extractors for time-frequency plots, except for the final classification layer. | The proposed method Average accuracy was over 95% when SNR is -6 dB and robustness remained with SNR ranging from -6 dB to 4 dB. | — | [10] |
| | AMC | AMC | Few-shot dataset with AWGN (2PSK, 4PSK, 8PSK, 16QAM, 16APSK, 32APSK, 64QAM, noise) | Common instances (2PSK, 4PSK, 8PSK, 16QAM, 16APSK, 32APSK, 64QAM) | IQ sequence | CNN | Fine-tune the output layer, where during pre-training, the output vectors are in binary form, while in the fine-tuning stage, the output layer adopts normalized likelihood vectors. | In a context unrelated to the scenario, the classification accuracy was 90% at a SNR of 1.6 dB, and 100% at an SNR of 4 dB. | NVIDIA GTX-960 Coherent Scenario: Non-coherent Scenario: Flat Fading Channel: : Coefficients of the corresponding AMC models : Instance Length : Amount of antennas | [29] |
| | AMC | AMC | RML2016.10B | RML 2018.01A | IQ sequence + AP sequence | IRS (improved residual stacks) + LSTM | Fine-tune the classification layer and freeze the other layers. | High robustness to different modulation schemes | NVIDIA Quadro RTX 8000 Training parameter amount:318266 Training Time:1589.185106s Testing Time:4.0533302s | [30] |
| | AMC | AMC | 12 categories in RML 2018.01A | Other 12 categories in RML 2018.01A | IQ sequence | CNN | Fine-tune the classification layer and freeze the other layers. | It is possible to reduce the network size to alleviate computational burden without significantly sacrificing accuracy, achieving performance comparable to a network with 52,104 trainable parameters. | NVIDIA's TX2 Training parameters:4152 | [37] |
| Fine-tune feature extractors and classifiers | AMC | AMC | RML2016.10B | HisarMod 2019.1 | IQ sequence + AP sequence | IRS(the improved residual stacks)+ LSTM | Initialize weights of the pre-trained model and retrain it. | At an SNR of 10 dB, all modulation schemes achieve 100% accuracy. | NVIDIA Quadro RTX 8000 Training Parameters:318266 Training Time:1589.185106s Testing Time:4.0533302s | [30] |
| | Audio Classification | AMC | UrbanSound8K | RML2016.10B | IQ sequence | CNN + GRU | Initialize weights of the pre-trained network and fine-tune all layers. | Overall classification accuracy exceeds that of other benchmark models. | — | [31] |
| | AMC | AMC | Modulated signal with AWGN (BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, QAM16, 2FSK, MSK, FM, AM, ASK2, FSK4, OQPSK) | Modulation signals in complex Rayleigh multipath channels.(BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, QAM16, 2FSK, MSK, FM, AM, ASK2, FSK4, OQPSK) | IQ sequence | CNN | Initialize weights of the pre-trained network and fine-tune all layers. | Under small sample conditions, transfer learning improves classification accuracy by 23% compared to non-transfer learning, while reducing training time by half. | Fine-tuning Time:0.40s | [33] |
| | AMC | AMC | Instances with original sampling rate(8PSK, BPSK, QPSK, QAM16, CPFSK, GFSK, WBFM and PAM4) | Signals downsampled by a factor of 2.(8PSK, BPSK, QPSK, QAM16, CPFSK, GFSK, WBFM and PAM4) | IQ sequence | CNN+ LSTM | Initialize weights of the pre-trained network and fine-tune all layers. | Compared to non-transfer learning, the classification accuracy increased by 23%, and the training time was reduced by half. | — | [13] |
| | AMC | AMC | Instances with 0dB SNR(2ASK, BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16QAM) | Instanceswith 6 -1dB SNR(2ASK, BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16QAM) | IQ sequence | CNN | Using the weights trained under high signal-to-noise ratio conditions as initial weights, fine-tune all layers. | The instability during training has been resolved, resulting in a 13.3% improvement in recognition accuracy compared to ResNet32. | Multiplication quantity: $1.66 \times 10^5 \times l$ l: Instance Length | [35] |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|------|
| | AMC | AMC | Instances with 0/4/8/12dB SNR (BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM) | Instances with 0 20dB SNR(BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM) | Two-dimensional density plot | CNN | Fine-tune the first convolutional layer and the first fully connected layer, while freezing the remaining layers. | While maintaining excellent classification performance, it is possible to significantly reduce the number of parameters requiring retraining due to signal-to-noise ratio variations. The number of trainable parameters has been reduced from 462,044 to 29,320. | — | [38] |
| | AMC | AMC | Instances with multiple SNRs(8PSK, AM-DSB, AM-SSB, BPSK, CPFSK, GFSK, PAM4, 16QAM, 64QAM, QPSK, WBFM) | Instances with one certain SNR(8PSK, AM-DSB, AM-SSB, BPSK, CPFSK, GFSK, PAM4, 16QAM, 64QAM, QPSK, WBFM) | IQ sequence | CNN | Fine-tune three layers and freeze other layers | The performance improvement ranges from 1% to 14% compared to the baseline model (ResNet, CNN2 ICAMCNet, CycDNN). | — | [40] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | Sig1024, Sig1024 ₂ , RealSig, OFDMSig (BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, OQPSK, 2FSK, 4FSK, 8FSK, 16QAM, 32QAM, 64QAM, 4PAM and 8PAM) | Using signal reordering methods or convolutional mapping methods to transform signals into images. | 7 classical ImageNet models | Fine-tune all layer weights using target domain signals. | The overall classification accuracies of SRM and MM are 65.99% and 65.49%, respectively, surpassing those achieved with constellation diagrams (40.27%) and time-frequency images (55.79%). | — | [24] |
| | Clustering | AMC | RML2016.10A, RML2018.01A | RML2016.10A, RML2018.01A | Time-frequency spectrum+ Constellation chart | Multi-frequency ResNet | Minimizing clustering loss to obtain optimal model initialization parameters. | Only five signal supports per sample are needed to achieve approximately 70% accuracy for 24 types of signal spectrograms and 96% accuracy for 16 types of signal constellations (under 10 dB conditions). | 1080GPU (FLOPs) ProtoNet:1.25G RelationNet:95.61G GNN:5.00G | [28] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | BPSK, LFM, Costas, Frank, P1-P4 codes (M-ary phase shift keying), and T1-T4 codes (Multi-time shift keying) | Time-frequency spectrum | AlexNet | Pretrain network by initializing weights, fine-tune all layers. | When SNR is -6 dB, the average recognition accuracy is 95.5%. | NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2060 Training time:1141s Testing time:0.29s | [12] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | 2ASK, 2FSK, 2DPSK, LFM, VFM, FH | SPWVD Time-frequency spectrum | ResNet34 | Pretrain network by initializing weights, fine-tune all layers. | At a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 0 dB, the overall recognition rate approaches 94%. It demonstrates adaptability to cross-domain scenarios with variations in code width, SNR, and sampling frequency. Following changes in parameters such as code width, SNR, and sampling frequency, the overall recognition rate remains above 82%, reaching a maximum of 94%. | — | [32] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | 2/4/8/16/32/64QAM | Colored constellation chart | AlexNet/ GoogLeNet | Freeze parameters of certain layers and fine-tuning the rest. | Compared to training from scratch, the average accuracy of AlexNet and GoogLeNet using transfer learning increased by 2.85% and 9.26%, respectively. | — | [41] |
| | Image Classification | AMC | ImageNet | BPSK, QPSK, and 8PSK | Time-frequency spectrum | Resnet50 | Fine-tune the last two layers and freeze the remaining layers. | When the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) exceeds 10 dB, the recognition accuracy remains above 70%. At SNRs exceeding 15 dB, the classification accuracy reaches above 85%. | — | [42] |

TABLE VI: Review of previous works on feature-representation-transfer methods in AMC

| Feature-representation-transfer methods | Task of Source Domain | Task of Target Domain | Data of Source Domain (including modulation and signal types) | Data of Target Domain (including modulation and signal types) | Network Input | Network type | Performance | Calculation Complexity | Papers |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Adversary | Communication modulation classification | Communication modulation classification | Instances with a sampling length of 128. The modulation types include 8PSK, AM-DSB, AMSSB, BPSK, CPFSK, GFSK, PAM4, QAM16, QAM64, QPSK, WBFM | Instances with a sampling length of 64. | IQ sequence | CNN | With 50% training data, the target model achieves similar classification accuracy to supervised learning. Using 10% of the training data, the accuracy is improved by 17.3% compared with the method without transfer. | — | [15] |
| | Communication modulation classification | Communication modulation classification | The modulation signals have a symbol rate of 8 and consist of 8 modulation categories, including BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, PAM4, QAM16, GFSK, CPFSK, QAM64 | The modulation signals have a symbol rate of 4 and consist of either 1 or 3 modulation categories. | IQ sequence | CNN | Compared to the method without transfer learning, the accuracy has improved by 48.39%. | — | [17] |
| | Communication modulation classification | Communication modulation classification | High SNR instances including RML2018.01A, RML2016.01A | Low SNR instances | IQ sequence | Residual Block + CNN | The proposed method can achieve higher classification accuracy with fewer training parameters and samples. The training parameters of Resnetv1 and Resnetv2 are 8% and 16% of CNN, respectively. | FLOPs:4.51M Parameter Amount:46173 | [19] |
| | Communication modulation classification | Communication modulation classification | Training sets consisting of 1%, 3%, and 5% of the total samples. The modulation types include BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16QAM, 32QAM, 64QAM, PAM4, 2ASK, GFSK, 2FSK, 4FSK | Rest data acts as the testing datasets | IQ sequence +AP sequence +high-order spectrum | CNN+ Convolution Block with Attention module (CBAM) | When the SNR exceeds 4 dB, the average classification accuracy of the proposed algorithm surpasses 90%. It outperforms the comparison methods in terms of classification performance and stability, especially in high-order modulation classification. | — | [16] |
| Diversity | Communication modulation classification | Communication modulation classification | 2/4/8PSK, 16/64/128QAM (The 6 types of modulation signal categories are divided into 4:2 or 3:3 to form the source domain and target domain.) | | Higher Order Cumulants + Semantic Attribute Matrix | KNN linear classifier | It achieves an average recognition accuracy of over 85%. | — | [22] |
| | Communication modulation classification | Communication modulation classification | 2/4/8/16PSK, 16/64/128QAM(The 8 types of modulation signal categories are divided into 6:2 to form the source domain and target domain.) | | Local sequence pattern features and constellation similarity matrix | SVM | At a SNR of 10 dB, the classification accuracy is close to 100%. | — | [23] |
| Reconstruction | Signal Reconstruction | Radar modulation classification | Instances with SNR at -30 dB. The modulation types include LFM, BPSK, QPSK | Instances with SNR ranging 5~30 dB | Graphical features and positional features extracted from the Wigner-Ville distribution | Autoencoder and Coherent SVM | When trained with 300 samples, the recognition accuracy can reach over 98%. | — | [20] |
| | Signal Reconstruction | Communication modulation classification | Large amount of unlabeled instances. The modulation types include BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16QAM | Few amount of unlabeled instances | IQ sequence | Convolution Autoencoder+CNN | Under limited sample conditions, the performance is far superior to supervised learning methods; in a higher SNR range, the classification accuracy is close to that of supervised learning methods trained with a large number of labeled samples. | — | [21] |