

# CSC 503 Homework Assignment 3 Sample Solutions

Out: September 10, 2018

Due: September 17, 2018

Unity ID: jdoyle2

1. **[30 points]** Using the method described in lecture, construct a formula  $\phi$  in **DNF** to match the following truth table. Show and explain any intermediate steps.

$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	$\phi$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	T

## Answer

To construct a DNF formula from a truth table, one conjoins the formulas in each line labeled T and then disjoins these conjunctions. In this case there are five lines labeled T, so one DNF formula is

$$(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) \vee (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \neg\gamma) \vee (\alpha \wedge \neg\beta \wedge \neg\gamma) \vee (\neg\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) \vee (\neg\alpha \wedge \neg\beta \wedge \neg\gamma).$$

There are other equivalent DNF formulas. One can replace the first and second disjuncts just given by  $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ .

2. **[30 points]** Using the method described in lecture, construct a formula  $\psi$  in **CNF** to match the following truth table. Show and explain any intermediate steps.

$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	$\psi$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	T

## Answer

To construct a CNF formula from a truth table, one conjoins the formulas in each line labeled F, negates each such conjunction to form a disjunction, and then conjoins these disjunction, basically finding a DNF representation of the negation of the formula and negating it to obtain a CNF representation of the un-negated formula. In this case there are three lines labeled F, so the equivalent formula is

$$(\neg\alpha \vee \beta \vee \neg\gamma) \wedge (\neg\alpha \vee \beta \vee \neg\gamma) \wedge (\alpha \vee \neg\beta \vee \gamma)$$

Each of these conjuncts ensures the conjunction is false in the interpretation corresponding to the corresponding F line of the truth table.

There are other correct answers. One can replace the first and fourth conjuncts just given by  $(\neg\beta \vee \gamma)$ , or the second and third conjuncts by  $(\alpha \vee \neg\beta)$ , or the second and fourth conjuncts by  $(\alpha \vee \neg\gamma)$ .

3. [30 points total] Apply the following version of the algorithm HORN from pages 66–67 of the textbook to the following Horn formula  $\varphi$ .

- (a) Mark each occurrence of  $\top$  in each conjunct of  $\varphi$  with a “1” to indicate  $\top$  is marked on the first pass.
- (b) Search the list of conjuncts of  $\varphi$  in order until either
  - i. the end of the list is reached, or
  - ii. a “markable” conjunct is found, that is, one with each of its antecedent propositions marked and its consequent proposition unmarked.
- (c) If the end of the list was reached,
  - i. If  $\perp$  is not marked, return “satisfiable” and halt.
  - ii. If  $\perp$  is marked, return “unsatisfiable” and halt.
- (d) Otherwise, mark every occurrence of the consequent proposition of the first markable conjunct with the pass number (2, 3, ...) in each conjunct of  $\varphi$ .
- (e) Continue with step (b) again.

$$\varphi = \left| \begin{array}{ll} 1. & (\top \rightarrow w) & \wedge \\ 2. & (w \rightarrow q) & \wedge \\ 3. & (x \wedge t \rightarrow \perp) & \wedge \\ 4. & (q \wedge r \rightarrow p) & \wedge \\ 5. & (v \rightarrow s) & \wedge \\ 6. & (w \rightarrow r) & \wedge \\ 7. & (r \wedge s \rightarrow x) & \wedge \\ 8. & (\top \rightarrow v) & \wedge \\ 9. & (v \wedge q \rightarrow u) & \wedge \\ 10. & (p \wedge r \wedge s \rightarrow u) & \wedge \\ 11. & (u \rightarrow v) & \end{array} \right.$$

Your answer should list propositional letters in the order in which they are marked and indicate the returned value.

**Answer**

The algorithm begins by marking each occurrence of  $\top$  and then marking the consequent of every implication all of whose antecedents are marked. In this case, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. & (\top^1 \rightarrow w^2) & \wedge \\ 2. & (w^2 \rightarrow q^3) & \wedge \\ 3. & (x^8 \wedge t \rightarrow \perp) & \wedge \\ 4. & (q^3 \wedge r^4 \rightarrow p^5) & \wedge \\ 5. & (v^6 \rightarrow s^7) & \wedge \\ 6. & (w^2 \rightarrow r^4) & \wedge \\ 7. & (r^4 \wedge s^7 \rightarrow x^8) & \wedge \\ 8. & (\top^1 \rightarrow v^6) & \wedge \\ 9. & (v^6 \wedge q^3 \rightarrow u^9) & \wedge \\ 10. & (p^5 \wedge r^4 \wedge s^7 \rightarrow u^9) & \wedge \\ 11. & (u^9 \rightarrow v^6) & \end{array}$$

The formula is satisfiable because  $\perp$  is unmarked after all markable propositions have been marked. The order of marking of variables is  $w, q, r, p, v, s, x, u$ . The variable  $t$  is never marked.

4. [10 points] Can one determine whether the following subformula of  $\varphi$  is satisfiable more quickly than by

applying the HORN algorithm? Explain your answer.

$$\varphi = \left( \begin{array}{ll} (\top \rightarrow p) & \wedge \\ (\perp \rightarrow q) & \wedge \\ (p \wedge q \rightarrow v) & \wedge \\ (q \rightarrow r) & \wedge \\ (v \rightarrow s) & \wedge \\ (\top \rightarrow r) & \wedge \\ (\top \rightarrow s) & \wedge \\ (v \wedge t \rightarrow u) & \wedge \\ (p \wedge r \wedge s \rightarrow u) & \end{array} \right.$$

**Answer**

Yes. The subformula is satisfiable because  $\perp$  does not appear as a consequent in any conjunct, and so never is marked.