

# CSC 503 Homework Assignment 4 Sample Solutions

(Corrected September 24, 2018)

Out: September 19, 2018

Due: September 26, 2018

Unity ID: jdoyle2

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be constant symbols,  $f$  a function symbol with three arguments,  $g$  a function symbol with one argument,  $h$  a function symbol with two arguments,  $P$  a predicate symbol with three arguments, and  $Q$  a predicate symbol with two arguments, and  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  variable symbols.

Indicate, for each of the following strings, which strings are formulas in predicate logic, and state a reason for failure for strings which are not. No credit will be given for strings correctly identified as not being formulas without correct identification of a reason why the string is not a formula.

1. [5 points]  $\exists y P(x, b, y) \wedge P(h(b, x), h(y, b), g(y)(a))$

**Answer**

This is not a formula because  $g(y)$  is a term, which cannot act as a function or predicate taking the argument  $a$ .

2. [5 points]  $\exists b \forall x Q(f(b, y, x), g(h(a, x)))$

**Answer**

This is not a formula because  $b$  is a constant, and so cannot be used as a variable by the  $\exists$  quantifier.

3. [5 points]  $\forall z \exists x P(g(h(b, x), z), f(a, y))$

**Answer**

Any of the following reasons suffices. This is not a formula because  $g$  takes only one argument and is given two here. It is also not a formula because  $f$  takes three arguments and is given only two here. It is also not a formula because  $P$  takes three arguments and is given only two.

4. [5 points]  $\forall y g(Q(z, y)) \rightarrow P(h(f(y, z, z), z))$

**Answer**

This is not a formula, because  $g$  is a function and so cannot take a formula as an argument.

5. [5 points]  $P(a, g(g(f(a, \neg b, a))), a) \rightarrow P(a, f(a, \neg b, a), a)$

**Answer**

This is not a formula as the negations here precede terms rather than formulas, and appear in places in which terms are needed rather than formulas.

Now let  $R$  be a predicate symbol with arity 2,  $f$  be a function of arity 2, and  $\phi$  be the formula

$$\forall x [(R(x, z) \wedge \exists z \neg R(z, f(x, y))) \rightarrow \forall y R(y, z)]$$

6. [5 points] Indicate, for each occurrence of each variable in  $\phi$ , whether that occurrence is free or bound.

**Answer**

We number the quantifiers and mark each quantified variable with the number of the quantifier that binds it, leaving the unquantified variables unchanged. This marked formula is then

$$\forall x_1 [(R(x_1, z) \wedge \exists z_2 \neg R(z_2, f(x_1, y))) \rightarrow \forall y_3 R(y_3, z)].$$

We see that in the antecedent,  $x$  and the second occurrence of  $z$  are bound, but  $y$  and the first occurrence of  $z$  are free. In the consequent,  $y$  is bound but  $z$  is free.  $x$  is also bound in the consequent, but does not appear in that subformula.

7. [5 points] List all variables which occur both free and bound in  $\phi$ .

**Answer**

$y$  and  $z$

8. [5 points] Compute  $\phi[t/x]$  for  $t = h(f(g(y), x), a, x)$ . Is  $t$  free for  $x$  in  $\phi$ ?

**Answer**

There is no free variable  $x$  in  $\phi$ , so  $t$  is free for  $x$  in  $\phi$ , and substituting  $t$  for  $x$  leaves the formula unchanged.

$$\phi[t/x] = \forall x [(R(x, z) \wedge \exists z \neg R(z, f(x, y))) \rightarrow \forall y R(y, z)]$$

9. [5 points] Compute  $\phi[t/y]$  for  $t = h(f(g(y), x), a, x)$ . Is  $t$  free for  $y$  in  $\phi$ ?

**Answer**

Because  $t$  contains the variable  $x$  and the free occurrence of  $y$  appears within the scope of  $\forall x$ ,  $t$  is not free for  $y$  in  $\phi$ . Substituting  $t$  for  $y$  yields

$$\phi[t/y] = \forall x [(R(x, z) \wedge \exists z \neg R(z, f(x, h(f(g(y), x), a, x)))) \rightarrow \forall y R(y, z)]$$

which displays the capture of the  $x$ 's in  $t$  by the first  $\forall x$  quantifier.

10. [5 points] Compute  $\phi[t/z]$  for  $t = h(f(g(y), x), a, x)$ . Is  $t$  free for  $z$  in  $\phi$ ?

**Answer**

$t$  is not free for  $z$  in  $\phi$  because  $t$  contains the variable  $x$  and the free occurrences of  $z$  appear within the scope of  $\forall x$ , and because  $t$  contains the variable  $y$  and the second free occurrence of  $z$  appears within the scope of  $\forall y$ . Substituting  $t$  for  $z$  yields

$$\phi[t/z] = \forall x [(R(x, h(f(g(y), x), a, x)) \wedge \exists z \neg R(z, f(x, y))) \rightarrow \forall y R(y, h(f(g(y), x), a, x))]$$

which displays the capture of  $x$  and  $y$  by two of the quantifiers.

Now consider a language in which the only nonlogical symbols are a predicate symbol  $R$  of two arguments and a function symbol  $f$  of two arguments. Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be the sentences

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 &= \forall x \forall y \forall z R(x, y) \wedge R(y, z) \rightarrow R(x, z) \\ \phi_2 &= \forall x \forall y \forall z R(x, y) \rightarrow R(f(x, z), f(y, z))\end{aligned}$$

Recall that an interpretation of the language identifies a domain of interpretation  $M$  (a set), and maps each of the predicate and function symbols to a set of tuples of elements of  $M$  of the appropriate size.

11. [15 points]: Give a formal interpretation  $I$  that makes  $\phi_1$  true and  $\phi_2$  false.

**Answer**

Let  $I$  be the interpretation with domain  $M = \{a, b\}$  such that  $R^I = \{(a, a)\}$  and  $f^I = \{(a, a, b), (a, b, b), (b, a, b), (b, b, b)\}$ .

12. [5 points]: Briefly explain why  $I$  makes  $\phi_1$  true.

**Answer**

In interpretation  $I$ , the only case in which the antecedent of  $\phi_1$  is true is when  $x = y = z = a$ , in which case the consequent requires  $R(a, a)$ , which is true, thus making the implication true. In all other cases, at least one of the conjuncts in the antecedent must be false, so the implication is true for all values of  $x, y$ , and  $z$ . Thus  $\phi_1$  is true.

13. [5 points]: Briefly explain why  $I$  makes  $\phi_2$  false.

**Answer**

In interpretation  $I$ ,  $f$  has the value  $b$  on all arguments, and  $R(b, b)$  is false, so the consequent is always false. The antecedent is true when  $x$  and  $y$  are both  $a$ , so the implication is false in this case, making the universally quantified statement  $\phi_2$  false.

14. [15 points]: Give the formal definition of an interpretation  $J$  that makes  $\phi_1$  false and  $\phi_2$  true.

**Answer**

Let  $J$  be the interpretation with domain  $M = \{a, b\}$  such that  $R^J = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$  and  $f^J = \{(a, a, a), (a, b, a), (b, a, b), (b, b, b)\}$ .

15. [5 points]: Briefly explain why  $J$  makes  $\phi_1$  false.

**Answer**

In interpretation  $J$ ,  $R$  is intransitive, as  $R(a, b)$  and  $R(b, a)$  are true but  $R(a, a)$  is false. This makes  $\phi_1$  false.

16. [5 points]: Briefly explain why  $J$  makes  $\phi_2$  true.

**Answer**

In  $J$ , the value of  $f$  is always its first argument. This means that whenever the antecedent of  $\phi_2$  is true, so is the consequent, because  $f$  makes the consequent into the same statement as the antecedent. Thus  $\phi_2$  is true.