

# STA 243 Assignment 2

Chen Zihao 915490404

1.

Let input the distance matrix into R first by pasted from the pdf.

1.1 First, we need to define the path in a vector form. label the city with numbers instead of alphabet, e.g. 1 for A , 2 for B, etc. then we can get the path which is also the candidate in this problem:

$\theta = (i_1, \dots, i_{15})$  where  $i_j \neq i_k$  and  $i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 15\}$

the candidate space contains all the probable  $\theta$ .

To solve the travel problem, we should also compute the total distance. Here is the function to calculate the total distances for each candidate path.

$$Distance(\theta) = d(i_{15}, i_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{14} d(i_j, i_{j+1})$$

where  $d(i_j, i_k)$  is the distance from  $i_j$  city to the  $i_k$  city which is given in the matrix mentioned in the beginning  $d(i_j, i_k) = D_{jk}$

1.2 Second, the Simulated Annealing algorithm part.

1.2.0 Initialization

initialize  $\tau_1 = 400$  and other things mentioned in the question.

1.2.1 step 1: sample a candidate

From the first part, we have define what is the candidate space. To use a uniform distribution for the proposal density. Get the random number  $j$  and  $k$  uniformly without replacement and then switch  $i_j$  and  $i_k$  and I did it twice to get the neighbour which means in this step I will exchange at least 2 at most 4 variables.

1.2.2 step 2: calculate the distance and compare them.

a) if the new candidate is better than the previous best one, take the candidate as the best.

$\Delta = Distance(\theta^*) - Distance(\theta_k) < 0$  where  $\theta_k$  is the recent best solution and  $\theta^*$  is the new candidate drawn by the step 1, then take  $\theta^*$  as  $\theta_{k+1}$

b) Otherwise,  $\theta_{k+1} = \theta^*$  with a probability  $\exp(-\frac{\Delta}{\tau_j})$ , keep  $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k$  o.w.

1.2.3 step 3: repeat step 1 and 2  $m_j = 100$  times.

1.2.4 step 4: update  $\tau_{j+1} = \alpha(\tau_j)$ ,  $m_{j+1} = \beta(m_j)$  and move to stage  $j+1$ .

1.2.5 step 5: reheat.

run the algorithm again with the initial point as the previous result.

here is the result for different parameters:

1.  $p = 0.999$ ,  $\tau = 400$

the result path is of length:

## [1] 55

the result is bad because  $\exp(-30/(400 * 0.999^{1000})) = 0.815$ , the chance to accept the worse case is still high even in the final stage. The temperature is still high in the end.

2.  $p = 0.99, \tau = 400$

## [1] 18

I run it several times, it is stable around 17 to less than 20, I think it is good enough.

The best path (with distance 17) i found is (3,9,1,2,8,12,10,15,11,13,6,14,4,7,5)