

Federated On-Device Training on Arduino Nano 33 BLE

Haoran Li Jiuen Feng Yuhe Bian Zhehao Chen

December 2025

Outline

- 1 Motivation & Task
- 2 Data & Feature Extraction
- 3 On-Device Neural Network
- 4 Federated Learning Design
- 5 Summary

Project Context


- Hardware: **Arduino Nano 33 BLE** + TinyML Shield.
- Sensors: **IMU** – accelerometer, gyroscope, orientation.
- Target task: classify IMU segments into multiple gestures / movements in “Spell Game”.
- Federated learning on two boards, communication through BLE
- Constraint: training **directly on the board** with very limited RAM and compute. BLE transmitting limitation.

Raw Data from Edge Impulse

- Data collected with Edge Impulse pipeline.
- Stored as .cbor messages:
 - Payload includes:
 - interval_ms, sensors, values.
 - Each sample: around **2000 ms**, sampled at **100 Hz**.
- Shape of values:

$$(T, 9) \approx (201, 9),$$

where 9 channels are (accel, gyro, orientation).



75-D Feature Extraction (Python)

- For each segment $v \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 9}$:
 - Global statistics per channel (9 dims):
 - mean, std, min, max $\Rightarrow 9 \times 4 = 36$.
 - Split time into 3 segments:
 - mean in each segment $\Rightarrow 3 \times 9 = 27$.
 - Per-channel energy:

$$\text{energy} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t v_{t,c}^2 \Rightarrow 9 \text{ dims.}$$

- Magnitude RMS for 3 groups (accel / gyro / orientation):

$$\text{RMS}_{\text{acc}}, \text{RMS}_{\text{gyro}}, \text{RMS}_{\text{ori}} \Rightarrow 3 \text{ dims.}$$

- Total: $36 + 27 + 9 + 3 = \mathbf{75}$ features per sample.

Network Architecture on Nano 33 BLE

- Simple fully connected network:

$75 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow \text{classes_cnt.}$

- Activation:
 - Hidden layer: ReLU.
 - Output layer: softmax.
- Loss:
 - Cross-entropy between predicted probabilities and one-hot labels.
- Implemented in C with:
 - Manually allocated layers and neurons.
 - Forward and backward propagation using SGD.

From Single Board to Federated Setup

- We have two Nano 33 BLE boards:
 - Each board has its own local dataset from different users' gesture recordings.
- Idea: In each round
 - Each board trains locally for several epochs.
 - Boards use BLE to **exchange network weights**.
 - Then **average** the weights as a simple federated aggregation.
- **Only parameters are sent over BLE.** No raw sensor data is transmitted.
- Our federated on-device training protocol is based on an open-source GitHub repository.

Reference:

<https://github.com/niil87/Machine-Learning-for-IoT--Fall-2022-Batch-Lun>


BLE Communication

Board A: Leader (BLE Peripheral)

- Advertises BLE service MLeader and accepts a connection.
- **Sends** the current **global weights** over BLE (split into batches).
- **Receives** updated weights from Board B over BLE.
- Aggregates parameters and starts the next round.

Board B: Worker (BLE Central)

- Scans for MLeader, connects, and subscribes to updates.
- **Receives** global weights over BLE and loads them into the NN.
- Runs **local on-device training** on its own IMU dataset.
- **Uploads** its updated weights back to Board A over BLE.



Simple Federated Averaging

- In standard FedAvg:

$$w^{(t+1)} = \sum_k \frac{n_k}{N} w_k^{(t+1)},$$

where w_k is client k 's local weights.


- In our prototype with two boards: Use equal weighting as an approximation:

$$w_{\text{new}} = \frac{w_A + w_B}{2}.$$

On-Device Training Curves




Local Train with data_byh




Local Train with data_fje

- Federated training improves **cross-user generalization** without sharing raw IMU data.

On-Device Training Curves




TODO



TODO


- Federated training improves **cross-user generalization** without sharing raw IMU data.

Confusion Matrices: Local Training one Board




Train byh \rightarrow Test byh

acc = 82.50%



Train byh \rightarrow Test czh

acc = 58.67%




Train byh \rightarrow Test fje


acc = 52.83%

- Best performance on **in-domain** test ($A \rightarrow A$).
- Accuracy drops on B/C due to **non-IID user motion patterns**.


Confusion Matrices: Federated Training (fje+byh, FedAvg)



FedAvg → Test byh



FedAvg → Test fje



FedAvg → Test czh

- Federated model reduces cross-user confusion compared to local models.
- Gains come with communication cost (BLE weight exchange each round).


PC-Side Reference Training (PyTorch)

- Use exactly the same 75-D feature vectors as on the Arduino:
 - Dataset merged from all .npz files: about 180 training, 45 validation and 58 test samples, 4 classes.
 - Network on PC is identical to on-device network:

$$75 \rightarrow 64 \rightarrow \text{classes_cnt}$$

- Loss: cross-entropy, optimizer: SGD with $\text{lr} = 0.0015$, batch size 1 (to mimic on-device training).
- Results:
 - After about 50 epochs, validation accuracy reaches $\approx 95\%$.
 - This PC model is used as an **upper-bound reference** for on-device learning performance.


Training / Validation Curves



PC-side centralized training reaches $\sim 95\%$ val. accuracy in ~ 50 epochs.

Federated Training on PC (MPI + FedAvg)

- Federated set-up (FedAvg):
 - Each MPI rank $r = 1, \dots, K$ loads its local `client_r.npz` and trains a local model for `LOCAL_EPOCHS` with SGD.
 - Rank 0 acts as the server:
 - broadcasts global weights to all clients,
 - gathers updated weights,
 - performs weighted averaging (FedAvg),
 - evaluates on a held-out validation set and logs accuracy.
 - Hyper-parameters:
`NUM_ROUNDS = 50, LOCAL_EPOCHS = 1, BATCH_SIZE = 1, LR = 0.0015.`



Demo Video

Click to watch demo video

Summary

- Built a **full pipeline**:
 - Raw IMU segments \Rightarrow 75-D features.
 - Python preprocessing and PC training.
 - Exported `data.h` for on-device training.
- Implemented a small **on-device neural network**:
 - 75-64-classes_cnt, ReLU + softmax, SGD training.
- Designed and tested a **federated learning prototype**:
 - Two Nano 33 BLE boards exchanging weights via BLE.
 - Simple parameter averaging after local training epochs.
- Demonstrated that even with tight resource constraints, federated ideas can be prototyped on microcontrollers.

Thank you for listening!