$$\frac{dP_{i}(t)}{dt} = -\lambda_{i}P_{i}(t)$$

$$\frac{dP_2(t)}{dt} = \lambda_1 P_1(t) - \lambda_2 P_2(t)$$

$$\frac{dP_3(t)}{dt} = \lambda_2 P_2(t)$$

$$\ln P_i(t) = -\lambda_i t$$
,  $P_i(t) = e^{-\lambda_i t}$ 

$$\frac{dP_2(t)}{dt} + \lambda_2 P_2(t) = \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}, \quad v(x) = \int \lambda_2 dt = \lambda_2 t + C$$

$$P_2(t) = e^{-\lambda_2 t} \int e^{-\lambda_2 t} \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i t} dt$$

= 
$$ce^{-\lambda_2 t} - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) t}$$

$$c = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

$$P_2(f) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \left[ e^{-\lambda_2 t} - e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) t} \right]$$

$$\begin{split} P_{3}(t) &= 1 - P_{1}(t) - P_{2}(t) \\ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda_{1}t} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}} \left[ e^{-\lambda_{2}t} - e^{-(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})t} \right] \\ P_{p}(t) &= P_{1}(t) + P_{2}(t) \\ &= e^{-\lambda_{1}t} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}} \left[ e^{-\lambda_{2}t} - e^{-(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})t} \right] \end{split}$$

MTTF = 
$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2}$$

Q2. 
$$D(t) = \beta_1 t$$
 $\beta_1 \sim LogNorm(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ 

Failure:  $D(t) > D_1 \notin D_2 \sim LogNorm(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ 
 $P(\beta_1 t > D_1) = F_1(t)$ 
 $\beta_1 = e^{\mu_1 + \sigma_1 Z}$ 
 $\beta_1 = e^{\mu_1 + \sigma_$ 

Q3. Jet engine 5 modules

once daily, average 5 hours

· From among the exponential, Weibull, normal, and lognormal distributions, find a best-fit failure distribution.

From histogram & best fit line plots, the best fit failure distributions:

23A: Weibull (B= 2.51787, B=1332.4332)

238: Exponential (7= 1/347.987)

230: Weibill (B= 2.089338, A= 500.781)

23D: Exponential (75 1/1798.82)

23E: Weibull (B=1.16203, 0= 2836.11)

Repair time: Weibull (B= 1.24127, 0= 17.0590)

· Compute performance measurements

First, calculate system hazard rarte, 2(t)

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_B + \lambda_D + \sum_{i \in \{A,C,E,R\}} \frac{\beta_i}{\theta_i} \left(\frac{t}{\theta_i}\right)^{B-1}$$

$$R(t) = e^{-\int \lambda(t) dt}$$

$$= e^{-\int \lambda(t) dt} + \sum_{i \in \{A,C,E,R\}} \left(\frac{t}{\theta_i}\right)^{\beta_i}$$

Now, we can plug the values into this formula in Python to calculate the measurements:

o  $R(1^{st} \text{ mission}) = R(5) = 0.982312$  your numbers are significantly off. o  $R(25^{th} \text{ mission} = R(125) = 6.598757$ o Median # of missions to failure =  $162 \rightarrow \frac{162}{5} \approx 32$  hours

o 90th percentile of the repair time = tao

- · Which subsystem displays the worst reliability?

  Clearly, the 23B module has the worst reliability out of all the modules, based on the MTTF and the distribution/ histogram of failure times.
- · What should the reliability of that subsystem be to achieve a system reliability of R(10 missions) = 0.9? \*Also rignificantly inflated

Current R(10 missions) = 0.827774 Should be in the 0.6-0.7

Need to solve for his in the equation below:

0.9 = 
$$e^{-\left[\lambda_{B}^{*}t + \lambda_{D}t + \sum_{i \in \{A,K,E,R\}} \left(\frac{t}{\theta_{i}}\right)^{\beta_{i}}\right]}$$

Use the Python solver, we get a  $\lambda_B^* = \frac{1}{832.92989}$ Reliability of 23B = R<sub>B</sub>(E) =  $e^{-\frac{t}{832.92989}}$ 

RB(10 missions) = 0.941737

Q4. a. Using TTF info (only): Solve using MLE on poll of 15 ild Weibull r.v.s L(β,θ)= ∏ δ(€;) ∏ R(120 000) where F= {1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9,103, (specimens with complete C= {4,11,12,13,14,153, (specimens v) censored failure data)  $f(t_i) = \frac{\beta}{a} \left( \frac{t_i}{a} \right)^{\beta - 1} - \left( \frac{t_i}{a} \right)^{\beta}, \ \theta > 0, \ \beta > 0$ R(120 000) = 1- f(120 000) = e (120 000/8) B h[2(β, θ)] = 6 ln[1-F(120000)] + ∑ ln b(ti) Using MLE solver on R: A= 166420.226 B= 1.07888043 F(t)= 1-R(t), R(t)= e ( + a) B let F(1)= x 0-(4/8) P = 1-0 (+/A) = -In(I-d) & (Int - Ind) = In (-In (1-a)) t= 0 In(-In(1-a)) + In 0

$$F(20669.94) = 0.1$$
  
 $F(52442.04) = 0.25$   
 $F(18486.6) = 0.5$   
 $F(225263.1) = 0.75$   
 $F(360528) = 0.9$ 

b. Assume that the degradation signals follow a linear degradation path:

Do = B1 + B2 t

B, 
$$\sim M\beta_1$$
,  $\beta_2 \sim N(M\beta_2, \sigma_{\beta_2}^2)$   
 $D_{\beta} \sim N(M\beta_1, M\beta_2, \sigma_{\beta_2}^2 t^2)$   
 $F_T(t) = P(\beta_1 + \beta_2 t > D_{\delta})$   
 $P(\beta_2 \leq x) = \Phi\left[\frac{x - M\beta_2}{\sigma_{\beta_2}}\right]$   
 $F_T(t) = P\{T \leq t\} \approx \Phi\left[\frac{t - M\beta_2}{M\beta_2}\right]$ 

From numerical estimation using the data, BIN MBI= 8.39793572

B2~ N(5.2731428×105, (8.9685798×10-6)2)

$$\frac{t - \frac{D_{\delta} - \mu_{\beta_1}}{\mu_{\beta_2}}}{\sqrt{\beta_2}} = N_{\alpha}, \qquad t - \frac{D_{\delta} - \mu_{\beta_1}}{\mu_{\beta_2}} = \frac{N_{\alpha} G_{\beta_2} t}{\mu_{\beta_2}}$$

$$t = \frac{D_{\delta} - \mu_{\beta_1}}{\mu_{\beta_2}}$$

$$t = \frac{D_{\delta} - \mu_{\beta_1}}{\mu_{\beta_2}}$$

$$1 - \frac{N_{\alpha} G_{\beta_2}}{\mu_{\beta_2}}$$



C. Assume the same linear degradation path, use approximate degradation path to estimate pseudo failure times.

$$S_{ij} = D_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

Pseudo random failure times = 108266.44, ..., 146556.57

After estimating the 15 pseudo random fulure times, the estimated weiball distribution has poverneters.

01

d. Bootstrapping:

From numerical estimation of the 15 paths

$$\beta_{1} \sim \mu_{B_{1}} = 8.39793572$$
 $\beta_{2} \sim N(5.2731428 \times 10^{5}, (8.9685798 \times 10^{-6})^{2})$ 

$$F_{\tau}(t) = p \{T \leq t\} \approx \Phi \left[ \frac{t - \frac{D_{\delta} - M\beta_{1}}{M\beta_{2}}}{\frac{\sigma_{\beta_{2}} t}{M\beta_{2}}} \right]$$

 $S_0^* = D(t_0; \beta_1, \beta_2^*) + \epsilon_0^*$ , where for each i, there will be 35 equally spaced to points from 0 to 120000, and  $\epsilon_0^* \sim N(0, 0.63382^2)$  which is the standard dev. of the residual data points.

After running algorithm to calculate Bootstrap (onfidence Intervals at B=50,000 &  $\alpha=0.1$ , the confidence intervals are as follows:

 $\hat{F}(102795.66) = 0.1$  $F(102795), F(102795)] = [7.7561 \times 10^{-5}, 0.27012]$ 

F(112 316,98)=0.25 [F(112 316), F(112 316)] = [0.016758, 0.49062]

 $\hat{F}(125201.70) = 0.5$ [F(125201), F(125201)] = [0.14228, 0.85985]

F(141425.69) = 0.75

[F(141 425), F(141 425)] = [0.49426, 0.98548]

Ê(160097.66) = 0.9

[F(160097), F(1600971) = [0.86178, 0.99901]