A review of fully homomorphic encryption

Zhenfei Zhang

Oct 18 2019

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 1/14

Introduction

Privacy Homomorphism

- Raised in 1978 by Rivest, Adleman and Dertouzous
- To evaluate arbitrary number of ciphertext, without knowing corresponding plaintext.

$$\text{Decrypt}(\mathbf{sk}, \text{Eval}^n(\mathbf{pk}, \mathcal{C}^n, c_1, c_2, c_3, ..., c_n)) = \mathcal{C}^n(m_1, m_2, m_3, ..., m_n)$$

- ullet Fully homomorphic encryption allows circuit ${\mathcal C}$ with any depth
- Leveled homomorphic encryption allows a limited number of circuit depth

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めなべ

Introduction

Constructions

- (Principal) Ideal lattice based schemes
 - Finding short generator for principal ideal lattice is easy
- Integer based schemes
 - Based on Approximate GCD problem.
- (Ring-)Learning with error based schemes
 - 2011, Brakerski-Vaikuntanathan, based on (Ring-)LWE
 - 2013, Brakerski-Gentry-Vaikuntanathan, modulus switching
 - 2013, Gentry-Sahai-Waters, approximate eigenvector method
 - 2014, Brakerski et al. leveled HE without bootstrapping
 - **.**..

Introduction

Some random thoughts

- Lattice based crypto invented 1996 (NTRU, GGH); matured 2006 (LWE); start standardization 2016 (NIST)
- FHE invented 2008; matured ???; start standardization 2017

How mature is FHE?

- Theoretician starts to build new toys
 - attribute based encryption, multi-linear map, program obfuscation
- Practitioner starts to write efficient implementation
 - HElib, SEAL, TFHE, cuHE

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 4/

Background

Gentry's Framework

• Construct a somewhat homomorphic encryption scheme that enables bitwise homomorphism;

	0		\otimes	Enc(0)	Enc(1)
0	0	0	Enc(0)	Enc(0)	Enc(0)
1	0	1	Enc(1)	Enc(0)	Enc(1)

 Use bootstrap technique to enable unlimited homomorphic circuit depth;

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 5 / 14

A symmetric system

- The secret key: an odd integer s;
- Encrypt(*m*):
 - Message $m \in \{0, 1\}$
 - Sample $r \ll s$ and a, random integers;
 - Return c = as + 2r + m
- Decrypt(c)
 - Output $m' = c \mod s \mod 2$
 - m' = m as long as r < s/2;

A symmetric system

- The secret key: an odd integer s;
- Encrypt(m):
 - Message $m \in \{0,1\}$
 - Sample $r \ll s$ and a, random integers;
 - Return c = as + 2r + m
- Decrypt(c)
 - Output $m' = c \mod s \mod 2$
 - m' = m as long as r < s/2;
- Is semantic secure assuming Approx-GCD is hard;
- Can be turned into a public key system using the subset sum problem;

A symmetric system

- The secret key: an odd integer s;
- Encrypt(m):
 - Message $m \in \{0,1\}$
 - Sample $r \ll s$ and a, random integers;
 - Return c = as + 2r + m
- Decrypt(c)
 - Output $m' = c \mod s \mod 2$
 - m' = m as long as r < s/2;
- Is indeed Homomorphic

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 6 / 14

A symmetric system

- The secret key: an odd integer s;
- Encrypt(m):
 - Message $m \in \{0, 1\}$
 - Sample $r \ll s$ and a, random integers;
 - Return c = as + 2r + m
- Decrypt(c)
 - Output $m' = c \mod s \mod 2$
 - m' = m as long as r < s/2;
- $Add(c_1, c_2)$
 - $c_1 = a_1 s + 2r_1 + m_1$
 - $c_2 = a_2 s + 2r_2 + m_2$
 - $c_1 + c_2 = (a_1 + a_2)s + 2(r_1 + r_2) + (m_1 + m_2)$
 - Decrypt $(c_1 + c_2) = m_1 + m_2 \mod 2$

A symmetric system

- The secret key: an odd integer s;
- Encrypt(m):
 - Message $m \in \{0,1\}$
 - Sample $r \ll s$ and a, random integers;
 - Return c = as + 2r + m
- Decrypt(c)
 - Output $m' = c \mod s \mod 2$
 - m' = m as long as r < s/2;
- $Mul(c_1, c_2)$
 - $c_1 = a_1 s + 2r_1 + m_1$
 - $c_2 = a_2 s + 2r_2 + m_2$
 - $c_1 \times c_2 = (\dots)s + 2(r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2) + (m_1 \times m_2)$
 - Decrypt $(c_1 + c_2) = m_1 \times m_2 \mod 2$ if $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2 < s/2$

A symmetric system

- The secret key: an odd integer s;
- Encrypt(m):
 - Message $m \in \{0, 1\}$
 - Sample $r \ll s$ and a, random integers;
 - Return c = as + 2r + m
- Decrypt(c)
 - Output $m' = c \mod s \mod 2$
 - m' = m as long as r < s/2;
- Decrypt $(c_1 \times c_2) = m_1 \times m_2 \mod 2$ if $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2 < s/2$
- Noise grows quadratic in circuit depth
- Capability $\tau = O(\log_r s)$

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 6 / 14

What we have

- Noise $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2$ grows quadratic during Mul.
- Can set $s \gg r$ to allow for a circuit depth $\tau = O(\log_r s)$;
- Decrypt circuit depth denoted by t.

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 7/14

What we have

- Noise $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2$ grows quadratic during Mul.
- Can set $s \gg r$ to allow for a circuit depth $\tau = O(\log_r s)$;
- Decrypt circuit depth denoted by t.

Want to achieve

• Homomorphic for any circuit

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 7 / 14

What we have

- Noise $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2$ grows quadratic during Mul.
- Can set $s \gg r$ to allow for a circuit depth $\tau = O(\log_r s)$;
- Decrypt circuit depth denoted by t.

Let's try to evaluate Decrypt circuit

- Suppose the decrypt circuit depth is t
- ullet Can be evaluated homomorphically if t < au

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

What we have

- Noise $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2$ grows quadratic during Mul.
- Can set $s \gg r$ to allow for a circuit depth $\tau = O(\log_r s)$;
- Decrypt circuit depth denoted by t.

What happens if we evaluate the decryption circuit

- Encrypt ciphertexts (Enc(c)) and secret keys (Enc(sk));
- Evaluate the decryption circuit homomorphically over Enc(c) and Enc(sk):

$$\text{EVAL}(\mathbf{pk}, \mathcal{C}_D, Enc(c), Enc(\mathbf{sk})) = Enc(m)$$

- C_D is the decryption circuit;
- The formula is correct so long as $t < \tau$;
- Enc(m) is a new ciphertext with minimum noise can be evaluated again;

What we have

- Noise $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2$ grows quadratic during Mul.
- Can set $s \gg r$ to allow for a circuit depth $\tau = O(\log_r s)$;
- Decrypt circuit depth denoted by t.

Achieving fully homomorphic encryption

- If $\tau > t+1$, then the scheme is fully homomorphic
- For any input circuit, evaluate it gate by gate
- Bootstrap after every evaluation to refresh the noise

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 7 / 14

What we have

- Noise $r_2m_1 + r_1m_2 + 2r_1r_2$ grows quadratic during Mul.
- Can set $s \gg r$ to allow for a circuit depth $\tau = O(\log_r s)$;
- Decrypt circuit depth denoted by t.

Caveat

- Efficiency improvement use lattice
- circular security open problem

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 7/14

Questions?

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 8 / 14

Warm up

Diffie-Hellman KEX

Alice		Bob
$A = s \cdot a$		
	Alice sends A to Bob	
		$B = r \cdot a$
	Bob sends B to Alice	
$C = (sr) \cdot a$		$C = (sr) \cdot a$

- a: group generator
- s, r: scalar
- ·: group multiplication

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 9 / 14

Warm up

Diffie-Hellman KEX, on a different setting

Alice		Bob
$A = s \cdot a + e_1$		
	Alice sends A to Bob	
		$B = r \cdot a + e_2$
	Bob sends B to Alice	
$C = (sr) \cdot a + e_1 b$		$C = (sr) \cdot a + e_2 a$

- G: a public, large polynomial
- *s*, *r*: small polynomials
- ·: polynomial multiplication

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 9 / 14

Warm up, continued

Setup

- Work over a polynomial ring $\mathcal{R}_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n+1)$
- May view polynomials as vectors

Definition (Ring-LWE)

Let s be a secret, *uniform* polynomial over \mathcal{R}_q . Let a_1,\ldots,a_t polynomials sampled uniformly from \mathcal{R}_q . Let e_1,\ldots,e_t be error polynomials whose norm are bounded by $\beta \ll q$. The LWE is given pairs $\{(a_i,b_i:=a_is+e_i)\}_{i=1}^t$, find s.

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 10 / 14

- Public key (a, b = as + 2e); secret key s.
- Encrypt(m)
 - $c_1 = ar + 2e_1$
 - $c_2 = br + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
- Decrypt $((c_1, c_2))$
 - $m' = c_2 c_1 s \mod 2$

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト を めへぐ

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 11 / 14

- Public key (a, b = as + 2e); secret key s.
- Encrypt(m)
 - $c_1 = ar + 2e_1$
 - $c_2 = br + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
- Decrypt $((c_1, c_2))$
 - $m' = c_2 c_1 s \mod 2$

correctness

$$m' = c_2 - c_1 s$$

= $asr + 2er + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle - asr - 2e_1 s$
= $2er + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle - 2e_1 s$
= $\langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ mod 2

- Public key (a, b = as + 2e); secret key s.
- Encrypt(m)
 - $c_1 = ar + 2e_1$
 - $c_2 = br + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
- Decrypt $((c_1, c_2))$
 - $m' = c_2 c_1 s \mod 2$
- The dual Regev cryptosystem
- Semantic secure assuming (Ring-)LWE is hard
- Most lattice based NIST PQC submissions follow this framework

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 める(*)

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 11 / 14

- Public key (a, b = as + 2e); secret key s.
- Encrypt(m)
 - $c_1 = ar + 2e_1$
 - $c_2 = br + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
- Decrypt((c₁, c₂))
 - $m' = c_2 c_1 s \mod 2$
- $Add(c_1 = (c_{1,1}, c_{1,2}), c_2 = (c_{2,1}, c_{2,2}))$
 - $c_{+,1} = a(r_1 + r_2) + 2(e_{1,1} + e_{2,1})$
 - $c_{+,2} = b(r_1 + r_2) + 2(e_{1,2} + e_{2,2}) + \langle m_1 + m_2, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
 - Decrypt $(c_{+,1}, c_{+,2}) = \langle m_1 + m_2, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle \mod 2$

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めるぐ

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 11 / 14

- Public key (a, b = as + 2e); secret key s.
- Encrypt(m)
 - $c_1 = ar + 2e_1$
 - $c_2 = br + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
- Decrypt $((c_1, c_2))$
 - $m' = c_2 c_1 s \mod 2$
- $Mul(c_1 = (c_{1,1}, c_{1,2}), c' = (c_{2,1}, c_{2,2}))$
 - $c_{\times,1} = c_{1,1}c_{2,1} = a^2r_1r_2 + 2(ar_1e_{2,1} + ar_2e_{1,1} + 2e_{1,1}e_{2,1})$
 - $c_{\times,2} = c_{1,2}c_{2,1} + c_{1,1}c_{2,2} = 2a^2sr_1r_2 + 4aer_1r_2 + 2ase_{1,1}r_2 + 2asr_1e_{2,1} + 4ee_{1,1}r_2 + 2ae_{1,2}r_2 + am_1r_2 + 4er_1e_{2,1} + 2ar_1e_{2,2} + ar_1m_2 + 4e_{1,2}e_{2,1} + 2m_1e_{2,1} + 4e_{1,1}e_{2,2} + 2e_{1,1}m_2$
 - $c_{\times,3} = a^2 s^2 r_1 r_2 + 4 a s e r_1 r_2 + 4 e^2 r_1 r_2 + 2 a s e_{1,2} r_2 + a s m_1 r_2 + 2 a s r_1 e_{2,2} + a s r_1 m_2 + 4 e e_{1,2} r_2 + 2 e m_1 r_2 + 4 e r_1 e_{2,2} + 2 e r_1 m_2 + 4 e_{1,2} e_{2,2} + 2 m_1 e_{2,2} + 2 e_{1,2} * m_2 + \langle m_1 \times m_2, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
- $m' = c_{\times,3} c_{\times,2}s c_{\times,1}s^2 \mod 2$

- Public key (a, b = as + 2e); secret key s.
- Encrypt(m)
 - $c_1 = ar + 2e_1$
 - $c_2 = br + 2e_2 + \langle m, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$
- Decrypt $((c_1, c_2))$
 - $m' = c_2 c_1 s \mod 2$

$$c_{\times,3} - c_{\times,2}s - c_{\times,1}s^{2}$$

$$\equiv 4e^{2}r_{1}r_{2} + 4ee_{1,2}r_{2} + 2em_{1}r_{2} + 4er_{1}e_{2,2} + 2er_{1}m_{2} + 4e_{1,2}e_{2,2} + 2m_{1}e_{2,2} + 2m_{2}e_{1,2} + m_{1}m_{2} \pmod{s}$$

Noise grows quadratic

• Red part $< |s|_{\infty}/2$

Achieving FHE

ullet Set appropriate parameters so that au>t+1

Comparing to Integer based solution

- More efficient decryption circuit is shallower
- Post-quantum secure
- SIMD
- Further optimization: re-linearlization; modulus switching

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 12 / 14

FHE in practice

- Only Leveled HE is being used;
- Analyze the use case to obtain its maximum circuit depth t
- ullet Set parameters for the system so that au>t

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 13 / 14

FHE in practice

- Only Leveled HE is being used;
- Analyze the use case to obtain its maximum circuit depth t
- ullet Set parameters for the system so that au>t

How to derive parameters

- Based on best known attacks
- Primal attack and dual attack

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 13 / 14

Thank you!

Zhenfei Zhang FHE review Oct 18 2019 14/1