Supplementary Material for the Paper: "Convergence Analysis and Latency Minimization for Retransmission-Based Semi-Federated Learning"

Jingheng Zheng*, Wanli Ni*, Hui Tian*, Wenchao Jiang[†], and Tony Q. S. Quek[†]

*State Key Laboratory of Networking and Switching Technology,
Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China

[†]Information System Technology and Design Pillar,
Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore
Email: {zhengih, charleswall, tianhui}@bupt.edu.cn; {wenchao jiang, tonyquek}@sutd.edu.sg

In the document, we present the detailed derivations for Lemma 1, Lemma 2, and Theorem 1.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF LEMMA 1

Define $X_{t,k,n^{\mathrm{D}}} = |\mathbf{b}_t^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{h}_{t,k,n^{\mathrm{D}}}^{\mathrm{D}} - 1|^2, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathbf{h}_{t,k,n^{\mathrm{D}}}^{\mathrm{D}} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, the expectations and variances of independent but non-identically distributed random variables $\{X_{t,k,n^{\mathrm{D}}}\}$ are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{t,k,n^{D}}] = \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{b}_{t}^{H}\mathbf{h}_{t,k,n^{D}}^{D}|^{2}] + 1$$

$$= \|\mathbf{b}_{t}\|^{2} + 1$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} 2, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \qquad (42)$$

$$\mathbb{D}[X_{t,k,n^{D}}] = \mathbb{E}[(|\mathbf{b}_{t}^{H}\mathbf{h}_{t,k,n^{D}}^{D}|^{2} - \|\mathbf{b}_{t}\|^{2} - 2\operatorname{Re}\{\mathbf{b}_{t}^{H}\mathbf{h}_{t,k,n^{D}}^{D}\})^{2}]$$

$$= \|\mathbf{b}_{t}\|^{2}(\|\mathbf{b}_{t}\|^{2} + 2)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{=} 3, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \qquad (43)$$

where (a) and (b) are because $\|\mathbf{b}_t\|=1$. By defining $\bar{s}_{t,n^{\mathrm{D}}}^2=\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{D}[X_{t,k,n^{\mathrm{D}}}]=3K$, we have $(\sum_{k=1}^K (X_{t,k,n^{\mathrm{D}}}-\mathbb{E}[X_{t,k,n^{\mathrm{D}}}]))/\bar{s}_{t,n^{\mathrm{D}}}\sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ according to Lyapunov's central limit theorem [1]. As a result, it can be obtained that

$$Pr\{MSE_{t}^{D} \leq \gamma^{D}\}$$

$$=Pr\left\{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} (X_{t,k,n^{D}} - \mathbb{E}[X_{t,k,n^{D}}])}{\bar{s}_{t,n^{D}}} \leq \frac{K^{2}\gamma^{D} - 2K - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}}{\zeta_{t}}}{\sqrt{3K}}\right\}$$

$$\approx \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3K}} \left(K^{2}\gamma^{D} - 2K - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^{2}}{\zeta_{t}}\right)\right). \tag{44}$$

The proof is complete.

APPENDIX B PROOF OF LEMMA 2

For two consecutive FL iterations of the k-th device in the t-th round, by substituting (2) into (23), we have

$$\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{L}{2}\hat{\eta}_t^2 - \hat{\eta}_t\right) \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^2$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} -\frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^2,$$
(45)

where (a) comes from setting $\hat{\eta}_t = 1/L$. Based on Assumption 2, one can derive the celebrated PL inequality, given by [2]

$$\|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^{2} \ge 2\mu[\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*})], \tag{46}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*$ denotes the optimal model regarding the loss function $\hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w})$. After applying (46) to (45), while subtracting $\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)$ from both sides of the result, we have

$$\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right) [\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)].$$
(47)

Recursively applying (47) for i times, while taking the expectation of both sides, it is obtained that

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)]
\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^i \mathbb{E}[\hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_t) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)], \tag{48}$$

where (b) is because $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,0} = \mathbf{w}_t$. Given a local target accuracy $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$, the convergence requirement is mathematically described as

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)]$$

$$\leq \hat{e}_t \mathbb{E}[\hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_t) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)]. \tag{49}$$

Based on (48), meeting the requirement in (49) is equivalent to ensuring the condition $(1 - \mu/L)^i \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_t$. Considering the inequality $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, the aforementioned condition holds if $e^{-(\mu/L)} \leq \hat{\varepsilon}_t$, i.e.,

$$i \ge \frac{L}{\mu} \log \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_t}\right).$$
 (50)

Replacing i with \hat{I}_t , (29) can be obtained.

As for CL, after plugging (5) into (23), while taking the expectation on both sides of the resultant inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i}) - \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})] \\
\leq -\tilde{\eta}_{t}\nabla\tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbb{E}[\nabla\bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})] \\
+ \frac{L}{2}\tilde{\eta}_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla\bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}] \\
\leq -\tilde{\eta}_{t}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla\tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}] \\
+ \frac{L}{2}\tilde{\eta}_{t}^{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla\bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}], \tag{51}$$

where (c) is because $\nabla \bar{F}_t(\mathbf{w})$ provides an unbiased estimation of $\nabla \tilde{F}_t(\mathbf{w})$, as presented in Assumption 3. Besides, it is noticed that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) - \nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})\|^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}] + \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})\|^{2}]$$

$$- 2\mathbb{E}[\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})]^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbb{E}[\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})]$$

$$\stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}] + \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})\|^{2}],$$
 (52)

where $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*$ denotes the optimal model of the loss function $\tilde{F}_t(\mathbf{w})$ and (d) is because $\mathbb{E}[\nabla \bar{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)] = \nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*) = \mathbf{0}$. Since $\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)\|^2] \geq 0$, we derive the following inequality from (52):

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) - \nabla \bar{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})\|^{2}]$$

$$\stackrel{(e)}{\leq} L^{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2}]$$

$$\stackrel{(f)}{\leq} \frac{\tilde{D}_{t}^{\mathrm{BS}} L^{2}}{\bar{D}_{t}} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2}],$$
(53)

where (e) stems from Assumption 1 and (f) comes from $\tilde{D}_t^{\mathrm{BS}}/\bar{D}_t \geq 1$. Based on Assumption 2, one can have

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*\|^2 \le \frac{2}{\mu} [\tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) - \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)]. \tag{54}$$

By plugging (54) into (53), we bound $\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^2]$ by

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \bar{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^2] \le \frac{2\tilde{D}_t^{\mathrm{BS}} L^2}{\bar{D}_t \mu} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) - \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)].$$
(55)

Applying (55) and the PL inequality in (46) to (51), we have $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i}) - \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})]$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\tilde{D}_{t}^{\mathrm{BS}}L^{3}}{\bar{D}_{t}\mu}\tilde{\eta}_{t}^{2} - 2\mu\tilde{\eta}_{t}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) - \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})\right]$$

$$\leq -\frac{\bar{D}_{t}\mu^{3}}{\tilde{D}_{t}^{\mathrm{BS}}L^{3}}\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) - \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})\right],$$
(56)

where (g) is achieved by setting $\tilde{\eta}_t = (\bar{D}_t \mu^2)/(\tilde{D}_t^{\rm BS} L^3)$. By subtracting $\tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)$ from both sides of (56) and recursively applying the result for i times, one can find that

$$\mathbb{E}[F_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i}) - F_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)]$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{\bar{D}_t \mu^3}{\tilde{D}_t^{\mathrm{BS}} L^3}\right)^i \mathbb{E}[\tilde{F}_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)].$$
(57)

Given a local target accuracy $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t$, while applying $1 - x \leq e^x$, one can ensure

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i}) - \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)] \le \tilde{\varepsilon}_t \mathbb{E}[\tilde{F}_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)],$$
 (58) if the following condition is met:

$$i \ge \frac{\tilde{D}_t^{\mathrm{BS}} L^3}{\bar{D}_t \mu^3} \log \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_t} \right).$$
 (59)

Substituting i with \tilde{I}_t , we reach (30). The proof is complete.

APPENDIX C PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Based on Assumption 1, by plugging $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_{t+1}$ and $\mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{w}_t$ into (23), we have

$$F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_t) \le (\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_t)^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_t\|^2.$$
(60)

Based on (7), one can derive that

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t} = \hat{\rho}_{t} \Delta \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t} + \tilde{\rho}_{t} \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}$$

$$= -\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t} \hat{\eta}_{t}}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}_{t}} \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k} (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})$$

$$- \tilde{\rho}_{t} \tilde{\eta}_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \nabla \bar{F}_{t} (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}). \tag{61}$$

Plugging (61) into the first term on the right-hand side of (60), while taking the expectation on both sides, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_{t})] \\
\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}_{t}} \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})^{T} \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1}) \\
- \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})^{T} \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}] \\
\stackrel{(b)}{=} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\hat{I}_{t} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\tilde{I}_{t}}{2} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} \\
- \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}_{t}} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*})\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})\|^{2} \\
- \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}^{*})\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}] \\
\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} \\
- \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*})\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}_{t}} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^{2} \\
- \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}_{t}} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}], \tag{62}$$

where (a) comes from applying the unbiased estimation in Assumption 3, (b) is because $-\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t)^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1}) =$

 $\begin{array}{lll} -(1/2)(\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t)\|^2 + \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^2 - \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t) - \\ \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^2) & \text{and} & -\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t)^\mathrm{T} \nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) & = \\ -(1/2)(\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t)\|^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^2 - \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_t) - \\ \nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^2), & \text{and} & (c) & \text{stems} & \text{from} & \text{removing} \\ -(\hat{\rho}_t\hat{\eta}_t)/(2K) \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=2}^{\hat{I}_t} \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)\|^2 \\ & \text{and} & -(\tilde{\rho}_t\tilde{\eta}_t/2) \sum_{i=2}^{\tilde{I}_t} \|\nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)\|^2 & \text{from} & \text{the} \\ & \text{right-hand side}. \end{array}$

Based on Assumption 2, we have

$$\|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_t) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*)\|^2 \ge \mu^2 \|\mathbf{w}_t - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^*\|^2$$
 (63)

$$\|\nabla \tilde{F}_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)\|^2 \ge \mu^2 \|\mathbf{w}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*\|^2.$$
 (64)

Applying (63) and (64) to (62), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_{t})] \\
\leq -\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\hat{I}_{t} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\tilde{I}_{t}}{2} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} + \frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}] \\
-\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}_{t}} (\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} \\
+ \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^{2}) \\
+ \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} (\|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} \\
+ \|\nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}) \\
\leq -\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\hat{I}_{t} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\tilde{I}_{t}}{2} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} + \frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}] \\
-\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2} \\
+ \hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\hat{I}_{t}\hat{\delta}^{2} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\tilde{I}_{t}\tilde{\delta}^{2} \\
+ \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}_{t}} \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^{2} \\
+ \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{I}_{t}} \|\nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}, \tag{65}$$

where (d) is because of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (e) applies the inequalities in Assumption 4.

Based on Assumption 1, we now bound $\|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_t) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^2$ and $\|\nabla \tilde{F}_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \nabla \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^2$ by

$$\|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1})\|^{2}$$

$$\leq L^{2} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,i-1}\|^{2}$$

$$= L^{2} \hat{\eta}_{t}^{2} \|\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,j-1})\|^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(f)}{\leq} L^{2} \hat{\eta}_{t}^{2} (i-1) \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \|\nabla \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,j-1})\|^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(g)}{\leq} L^{2} \hat{\eta}_{t}^{2} (i-1)^{2} \hat{G}^{2}, \qquad (66)$$

$$\|\nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1})\|^{2}$$

$$\leq L^{2} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,i-1}\|^{2}$$

$$= L^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{t}^{2} \|\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \nabla \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,j-1})\|^{2}$$

$$\leq L^{2} \tilde{\eta}_{t}^{2} (i-1)^{2} \tilde{G}^{2}, \qquad (67)$$

where (f) comes from using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (g) is because of Assumption 5. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} j^2 = i(i-1)(2i-1)/6$, by substituting (66) and (67) into (65), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_{t})]$$

$$\leq -\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\hat{I}_{t} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\tilde{I}_{t}}{2} \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}$$

$$-\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2}$$

$$+ \hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\hat{I}_{t} \left[\hat{\delta}^{2} + L^{2}\hat{\eta}_{t}^{2}\hat{G}^{2}\frac{(\hat{I}_{t} - 1)(2\hat{I}_{t} - 1)}{6}\right]$$

$$+ \tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\tilde{I}_{t} \left[\tilde{\delta}^{2} + L^{2}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\tilde{G}^{2}\frac{(\tilde{I}_{t} - 1)(2\tilde{I}_{t} - 1)}{6}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}].$$
(68)

Then, we expand and bound $\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_t\|^2$, given by

$$\|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_{t}\|^{2}$$

$$= \|\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,\hat{I}_{t}} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}) + \tilde{\rho}_{t} (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,\tilde{I}} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}) + \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*} - \mathbf{w}_{t}) + \tilde{\rho}_{t} (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*} - \mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2}$$

$$\leq 2 \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}^{2}}{K} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,\hat{I}_{t}} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,\tilde{I}} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} + \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2}\right), \tag{69}$$

where (h) is because of the triangle inequality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Plugging (69) into (68), while setting $\hat{\eta}_t = 1/L$ and $\tilde{\eta}_t = \bar{D}_t \mu^2 / (\tilde{D}_t^{\rm BS} L^3)$, it is obtained that

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_{t})]$$

$$\leq -\left(\frac{\mu\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{I}_{t}}{L} + \frac{\mu^{3}\bar{D}_{t}\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{I}_{t}}{L^{3}\tilde{D}_{t}^{\mathrm{BS}}}\right) \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} + \hat{\xi}_{t} + \tilde{\xi}_{t}$$

$$+L\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}^{2}}{K} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,\hat{I}_{t}} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} + \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,\tilde{I}_{t}} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2}\right)$$

$$+\left[L\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}^{2}}{K} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}^{2}\right) - \frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}\hat{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2K}\right] \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2}$$

$$+\left[L\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_{t}^{2}}{K} + \tilde{\rho}_{t}^{2}\right) - \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{t}\tilde{\eta}_{t}\mu^{2}}{2}\right] \|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2}, \tag{70}$$

where the definitions of $\hat{\xi}_t$ and $\tilde{\xi}_t$ are presented in Theorem 1. Based on Assumption 2, we have

$$\|\mathbf{w}_{t} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} \le \frac{2}{\mu} [\hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*})], \tag{71}$$

$$\|\mathbf{w}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*\|^2 \le \frac{2}{\mu} [\tilde{F}_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \tilde{F}_t(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_t^*)]. \tag{72}$$

Again, by invoking Lemma 2, one can have the following inequalities based on Assumption 2:

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,\hat{I}_{t}} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*}\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\mu} [\hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k,\hat{I}_{t}}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*})]$$

$$\leq \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon}_{t}}{\mu} [\hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \hat{F}_{t,k}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{t,k}^{*})], \quad (73)$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,\tilde{I}_{t}} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*}\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\mu} [\tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t,\tilde{I}_{t}}) - \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})]$$

$$\leq \frac{2\tilde{\varepsilon}_{t}}{\mu} [\tilde{F}_{t}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) - \tilde{F}_{t}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}^{*})]. \tag{74}$$

Plugging (71)–(74) into (70), while applying the notations defined in Theorem 1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}_{t})]$$

$$\leq -\left(\frac{\mu \hat{\rho}_{t} \hat{I}_{t}}{L} + \frac{\mu^{3} \bar{D}_{t} \tilde{\rho}_{t} \tilde{I}_{t}}{L^{3} \tilde{D}_{t}^{\mathrm{BS}}}\right) \|\nabla F(\mathbf{w}_{t})\|^{2} + \hat{\xi}_{t} + \tilde{\xi}_{t}$$

$$+ \hat{\phi}_{t} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Delta \hat{F}_{t,k}(\mathbf{w}_{t}) + \tilde{\phi}_{t} \Delta \tilde{F}_{t}(\mathbf{w}_{t}). \tag{75}$$

Based on (46), after applying the PL inequality regarding $F(\mathbf{w})$ to (75), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - F(\mathbf{w}^*)] \le \Lambda_{1,t} \mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{w}_t) - F(\mathbf{w}^*)] + \Lambda_{2,t}.$$
(76)

Recursively applying (76) for t times and setting t = T, we have (31) eventually. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- A. Kammoun, M. Kharouf, W. Hachem, and J. Najim, "A central limit theorem for the SINR at the LMMSE estimator output for largedimensional signals," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 11, pp. 5048– 5063, Nov. 2009.
- [2] W. Ni, Y. Liu, Y. C. Eldar, Z. Yang, and H. Tian, "STAR-RIS integrated nonorthogonal multiple access and over-the-air federated learning: Framework, analysis, and optimization," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 18, pp. 17136–17156, Sep. 2022.