STAT-3445 Discussion Nov 22

Exercise 10.127

A merchant figures her weekly profit to be a function of three variables: retail sales (denoted by X), wholesale sales (denoted by Y), and overhead costs (denoted by W). The variables X, Y, and W are regarded as independent, normally distributed random variables with means μ_1, μ_2 , and μ_3 and variances σ^2 , $a\sigma^2$, and $b\sigma^2$, respectively, for known constants a and b but unknown σ^2 . The merchant's expected profit per week is $\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3$. If the merchant has made independent observations of X, Y, and W for the past n weeks, construct a test of $H_0: \mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3 = k$ against the alternative $H_a: \mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3 \neq k$, for a given constant k. You may specify $\alpha = .05$.

STAT-3445 Discussion Nov 22

Exercise 10.129

Suppose that Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n denote a random sample from the probability density function given by

$$f(y \mid \theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\theta_1}\right) e^{-(y-\theta_2)/\theta_1}, & y > \theta_2 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

- **a** Find the likelihood ratio test for testing $H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_{1,0}$ versus $H_a: \theta_1 > \theta_{1,0}$, with θ_2 unknown.
- **b** Find the likelihood ratio test for testing $H_0: \theta_2 = \theta_{2,0}$ versus $H_a: \theta_2 > \theta_{2,0}$, with θ_1 unknown.

STAT-3445 Discussion Nov 22

Exercise 10.127

Let P = X + Y - W. Then, P has a normal distribution with mean $\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3$ and variance $(1+a+b)\sigma^2$. Further, $\bar{P} = \bar{X} + \bar{Y} - \bar{W}$ is normal with mean $\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3$ and variance $(1+a+b)\sigma^2/n$. Therefore,

$$Z = \frac{\bar{P} - (\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3)}{\sigma \sqrt{(1+a+b)/n}}$$

is standard normal. Next, the quantities

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sigma^2}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{a\sigma^2}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (W_i - \bar{W})^2}{b\sigma^2}$$

have independent chi-square distributions, each with df = n - 1. We can build a random variable that follows a t-distribution (under H_0) by

$$T = \frac{\bar{P} - k}{S_p \sqrt{(1 + a + b)/n}},$$

where $S_P^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - \bar{W})^2\right) / (3n - 3)$. For the test, we reject if $|t| > t_{.025}$, where t.025 is the upper .025 critical value from the t-distribution with df = 3n - 3.

Exercise 10.129

a The likelihood function is $L(\Theta) = \theta_1^{-n} \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \theta_2\right)/\theta_1\right]$. The MLE for θ_2 is $\hat{\theta}_2 = Y_{(1)}$. To find the MLE of θ_1 , we maximize the log-likelihood function to obtain $\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \hat{\theta}_2\right)$. Under H_0 , the MLEs for θ_1 and θ_2 are (respectively) $\theta_{1,0}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = Y_{(1)}$ as before. Thus, the LRT is

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\hat{\Omega}_0)}{L(\hat{\Omega})} = \left(\frac{\hat{\theta}_1}{\theta_{1,0}}\right)^n \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})}{\theta_{1,0}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})}{\hat{\theta}_1}\right]$$
$$= \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})}{n\theta_{1,0}}\right)^n \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})}{\theta_{1,0}} + n\right].$$

Values of $\lambda \leq k$ reject the null hypothesis.

b The MLEs are $\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \hat{\theta}_2 \right)$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = Y_{(1)}$. Under H_0 , the MLEs for θ_2 and θ_1 are (respectively) $\theta_{2,0}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{1,0} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \theta_{2,0} \right)$. Thus, the LRT is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\hat{\Omega}_0)}{L(\hat{\Omega})} = \left(\frac{\hat{\theta}_1}{\hat{\theta}_{1,0}}\right)^n \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta_{2,0})}{\hat{\theta}_{1,0}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})}{\hat{\theta}_1}\right] = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{(1)})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta_{2,0})}\right]^n.$$

Values of $\lambda \leq k$ reject the null hypothesis.