Linking GRF and GMRF Through SPDE v1.0.2_20240404

$$\{y(\mathbf{s}_i); \ \mathbf{s}_i \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

♦ Gaussian processes (GP) and Gaussian random fields (GRF) are used interchangeably in two-dimensional space d=2. The mean vector is $\boldsymbol{\mu}:=\left(\mu(\mathbf{s}_i)\right)$, and the covariance matrix is $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}:=\left(C(\mathbf{s}_i,\,\mathbf{s}_j)\right) \succ 0$.

Weak Stationarity

- The mean function $\mu(\cdot)$ is constant.
- The covariance function $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ depends only on the relative position of two locations, not on their absolute positions in the input space. The process is **isotropic** if $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ depends only on the magnitude of distance, regardless of direction.

SpatialDE fits response GP models to the gene expression levels of each gene across a finite set of N spatial locations. The spatial component of $\Sigma = \sigma_s^2 \cdot \mathbf{K} + \tau^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}$ employs the squared exponential kernel function, which is also the **Matérn kernel** with $\nu \to +\infty$. However, it is challenged by computational complexity $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$.

$$K_{ij} = \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu} \delta_{ij}}{l} \right)^{\nu} \cdot K_{\nu} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu} \delta_{ij}}{l} \right) \text{ and denote } \kappa = \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{l}, \nu, l > 0,$$

where $K_{\nu}(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and $\delta_{ij} = ||\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j||$.

nnSVG proposes four modifications:

- 1. It uses the exponential kernel function, which is also the Matérn kernel with $\nu = 1/2$;
- 2. It uses a gene-specific length scale *l* in the kernel;
- 3. It uses a scalable approximation of Ω based on nearest-neighbor Gaussian processes (NNGP) in $\mathcal{O}(Nm^3)$, where m is the number of NNs, resulting in a sparse precision matrix $\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}$;
- 4. The degrees of freedom of the asymptotic chi-squared distribution are 2 instead of 1 for significance testing.
- ♦ Markov random fields (MRF) exhibit the Markov property and can implement the MCMC sampling or fast direct numerical methods, typically in $\mathcal{O}(N^{3/2})$.

$$f\left(y(\mathbf{s}_i) = y_i \mid y(\mathbf{s}_j) = y_j, \ j \neq i\right) = f\left(y(\mathbf{s}_i) = y_i \mid y(\mathbf{s}_j) = y_j, \ j \in \partial i\right) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where ∂i are the indicators of a set of neighbors to location \mathbf{s}_i . Thus, $\Omega_{ij} = 0$ if $j \notin \{\partial i \cup i\}$.

- ♦ The **integrated nested Laplace approximation** (INLA) method has gained popularity in spatial data analysis primarily due to its innovative approach to approximating the posterior distributions of latent Gaussian models:
- 1. The GMRF provides a computationally efficient and flexible framework for modeling spatial dependencies;
- 2. The **stochastic partial differential equation** (SPDE) approach extends this flexibility to continuous spatial processes and enables INLA to handle non-stationary processes.

Hierarchical GP Regression Model

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s}) := (y(\mathbf{s}_1), \dots, y(\mathbf{s}_N))^{\mathsf{T}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{s}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{s})$$
 for each gene

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}) \sim \mathcal{N}_N(\mathbf{0}, \, \sigma_s^2 \cdot \mathbf{K}) \perp \epsilon(\mathbf{s}) \sim \mathcal{N}_N(\mathbf{0}, \, \tau^2 \cdot \mathbf{I})$$

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}) \sim \mathcal{N}_N(\mathbf{0}, \ \sigma_s^2 \cdot \mathbf{K}) \ \perp\!\!\!\perp \ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}) \sim \mathcal{N}_N(\mathbf{0}, \ \tau^2 \cdot \mathbf{I})$$
• Latent GP:
$$\mathcal{N}_N\left(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s}) \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{s}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}), \ \tau^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}\right) \times \mathcal{N}_N\left(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{s}) \mid \mathbf{0}, \ \sigma_s^2 \cdot \mathbf{K}\right) \times \boldsymbol{\pi}(\sigma_s^2, \ l)$$

• Response GP:
$$\mathcal{N}_N(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s}) \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{s}), \, \sigma_s^2 \cdot \mathbf{K} + \tau^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}) \times \boldsymbol{\pi}(\sigma_s^2, \, l)$$

♦ SPDE Representation of a Continuous GRF

A GRF y(s) with the Matérn covariance $\Sigma = \sigma_s^2(\nu, \kappa, d) \cdot \mathbf{K}$ is a solution to the SPDE:

$$\left(\kappa^{2}(\mathbf{s}) - \Delta\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\gamma(\mathbf{s}) \cdot y(\mathbf{s})\right) = \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{s}), \quad \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{s}) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \quad \alpha = \nu + \frac{d}{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \Delta f(\mathbf{s}) := \nabla^{2} f(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s_{i}^{2}} f(\mathbf{s})$$

 κ , α , and γ determine the correlation range, smoothness, and (marginal) variance of v(s), respectively.

 \Diamond Non-stationarity is achieved when $\kappa^2(\mathbf{s})$ and/or $\gamma(\mathbf{s})$ are non-constant for $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^d$.

$$\ln \kappa^2(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_i \beta_i^{(\kappa^2)} \cdot B_i^{(\kappa^2)}(\mathbf{s}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \ln \gamma(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_i \beta_i^{(\gamma)} \cdot B_i^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{s})$$

• As for a GMRF, the result
$$y(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{q=1}^{n \approx N} w_q \cdot \psi_q(\mathbf{s})$$
, given $(w_1, \dots, w_n)^{\mathsf{T}} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{\mathbf{\Omega}}_w^{-1})$, sparse

is a basis function representation

with piecewise linear basis functions $\psi_q(\mathbf{s})$, and Gaussian weights w_q with Markov dependences determined by a general triangulation of the bounded domain \mathcal{D} (finite element methods).

Bolin, D., & Kirchner, K. (2020). The rational SPDE approach for Gaussian random fields with general smoothness. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 29, 274-285. DOI: 10.1080/10618600.2019.1665537 Lindgren, F. (2023, July 18-21). Embedding stochastic PDEs in Bayesian spatial statistics software [Keynote address]. Spatial Statistics, Boulder, Colorado, United States. https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~flindgre/talks/Lindgren Boulder/2023.pdf
Lindgren, F., Rue, H., & Lindström, J. (2011). An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology, 73, 423-498. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.00777.x

Svensson, V., Teichmann, S. A., & Stegle, O. (2018). SpatialDE: Identification of spatially variable genes. Nature Methods, 15, 343-346. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.4636

Weber, L. M., Saha, A., Datta, A., Hansen, K. D., & Hicks, S. C. (2023). nnSVG for the scalable identification of spatially variable genes using nearest-neighbor Gaussian processes. Nature Communications, 14, 1-12. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-023-39748-z.

Zhang, L. (2018). Nearest Neighbor. Gaussian Processes (NNGE). Reset Models in Sens Nature Interval Section 2018, Nearest Neighbor. Gaussian Processes. (NNGE). Reset Models in Sens Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Neighbor. Gaussian Processes. (NNGE). Reset Models in Sens Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Neighbor. Gaussian Processes. (NNGE). Reset Models in Sens Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Neighbor. Gaussian Processes. (NNGE). Reset Models in Sens Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Neighbor. Gaussian Processes. (NNGE). Reset Models in Sens Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Nature Interval Nature Interval Section 2018. Nearest Nature Interval Section 2