Game Theory with Computer Science Applications Lecture 3: Existence of a Nash Equilibrium

Zhenzhe Zheng

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Shanghai Jiao Tong University

zhengzhenzhe@sjtu.edu.cn

March 17, 2021

Game Setting and related Concepts

- Pure Strategy for agent $i: x_i \in X_i$ (discrete, finite set).
- Mixed Strategy for agent i: $p_i(x_i) = Pr(agent i plays action x_i)$.
- Utility to *i*: $U_i(x_i, \mathbf{x}_{-i})$ and $U_i(p_i, \mathbf{p}_{-i})$.
- Some concepts: closed set, bounded set, convex set, continuous functions.

The Nash's Theorem

The Nash's Theorem

Any finite strategic game has a mixed strategy Nash Equilibrium.

Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem

Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a **compact (closed and bounded)** and **convex** set. Let $f: C \to C$ be a continuous function. Then f has a fixed pointed in C, *i.e.*, $x \in C$, s.t., x = f(x).

Proof.

For the one-dimensional case. When n=1, the convex and compact sets are closed intervals [a,b]. Let $f:[a,b]\leftarrow [a,b]$. If f(a)=a or f(b)=b we are done. Suppose f(a)>a and f(b)<b. Consider g(x)=f(x)-x. Then g(a)>0, g(b)<0. g is continuous because f is continuous. The *intermediate value theorem* tells us that there is some $a< x^* < b$, such that $g(x^*)=0$.

Proof for Nash Theorem using Brouwer FP Theorem

- Let (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) be a set of strategies.
- Define $r_i(x_i) = (U_i(x_i, p_{-i}) U_i(p_i, p_{-i}))^+$, i.e., $r_i(x_i)$ is the amount by which the expected utility to i can be increased by changing strategy from p_i to x_i .
- Define

$$f_i(p_i(x_i)) = \frac{p_i(x_i) + r_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x} (p_i(x) + r_i(x))}.$$

- (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) is a convex and compact set. $f(p) = (f_1(p_1), f_2(p_2), \dots, f_n(p_n))$ is a continuous function. Homework.
- We then have a fixed point p:

$$p_i(x_i) = \frac{p_i(x_i) + r_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x} (p_i(x) + r_i(x))}.$$

Proof for Nash Theorem using Brouwer (continued)

We will now show that for such a fixed point,

$$r_i(x_i) = 0 \quad \forall i, x_i.$$

i.e., no increase in utility is possible by changing strategy from p_i to x_i . Thus, such a fixed point is a NE.

• First, we claim that **for each** i, $\exists x_i$, **s.t.**, $r_i(x_i) = 0$. We will prove this by contradiction. Suppose for some i, $r_i(x_i) > 0$, $\forall x_i$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &U_i(x_i,p_{-i}) > U_i(p_i,p_{-i}), \quad \forall x_i. \\ \Rightarrow & \sum_{x_i} p_i(x_i) U_i(x_i,p_{-i}) > U_i(p_i,p_{-i}), \\ \Rightarrow & U_i(p_i,p_{-i}) > U_i(p_i,p_{-i}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Proof for Nash Theorem using Brouwer (continued)

• Fix i, let x_i be s.t., $r_i(x_i) = 0$. Then,

$$p_i(x_i) = \frac{p_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x} (p_i(x) + r_i(x))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{x} p_i(x) + \sum_{x} r_i(x) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{x} r_i(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow r_i(x) = 0, \forall x \in A_i.$$

This completes the proof.

Kakutani Fixed-point Theorem

Kakutani Fixed-point Theorem

Let C be a convex and compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Let f be a correspondence mapping each point in C to a subset of a C, i.e., $f: C \to 2^C$. Suppose the following three conditions hold:

- $f(x) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall x$,
- f(x) is a convex set, $\forall x$,
- f has a closed graph: if $\{x_n, y_n\} \to \{x, y\}$ with $y_n \in f(x_n)$, then $y \in f(x)$.

Then f has a fixed point in C.

Weierstrass's Theorem

Let A be a non-empty, compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, there exists an optimal solution to the optimization problem $Min\ f(x), \quad x \in A$.

Proof for Nash Theorem using Kakutani

 We will apply Kakutani's fixed point theorem to establish the existence of a solution to

$$p \in BP(p)$$
,

where
$$p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$$
 and $BP(p) = (BP(p_{-1}), \dots, BP(p_{-n}))$.

- We will verify the mapping *BP* satisfies the conditions required in the Kakutani's fixed-point theorem.
- (1) BP(p) is a non-empty set for each p. This is because $\max_{p_i \in \Delta X_i} U_i(p_i, p_{-i})$ is a maximization problem of a continuous function over the set of the probability distribution over X_i , which is a compact set. The result follows from **Weierstrass'** extreme value theorem.

Proof for Nash Theorem using Kakutani (continued)

• (2) For each p, BP(p) is a convex set. We recall that

$$U_i(p_i, p_{-i}) = \sum_{x} p_1(x_1) \times \cdots \times p_n(x_n) \times U_i(x_i, x_{-i}).$$

So if $p_i^*, \tilde{p}_i \in BP(p_{-i})$, as $U_i(p_i^*, p_{-i}) = U_i(\tilde{p}_i, p_{-i})$, we can verify that

$$U_i(\alpha \times p_i^* + (1 - \alpha) \times \tilde{p}_i, p_{-i}) = U_i(p_i^*, p_{-i}), \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Hence, we have $\alpha \times p_i^* + (1 - \alpha) \times \tilde{p}_i \in BP(p_{-i})$

Proof for Nash Theorem using Kakutani (continued)

• (3) We will now show that BP has a closed graph. Let $(p_i^n, p_{-i}^n) \to (p_i, p_{-i})$ with $p_i^n \in BP(p_{-i}^n)$. Suppose that $p_i \notin BP(p_{-i})$. Then $\exists \tilde{p}_i$ and $\epsilon > 0$ s.t.,

$$U_i(\tilde{p}_i, p_{-i}) \geq U_i(p_i, p_{-i}) + \epsilon.$$

- We next show that \tilde{p}_i is a better response for p_{-i}^n (for some n) than p_i^n , and thus contradicts $p_i^n \in BP(p_{-i}^n)$.
- For sufficiently large n,

$$U_i(\tilde{p}_i, p_{-i}^n) \geq U_i(\tilde{p}_i, p_{-i}) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 (1)

$$\geq U_i(p_i, p_{-i}) + \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 (2)

$$\geq U_i(p_i^n, p_{-i}^n) - \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{3}$$

$$= U_i(p_i^n, p_{-i}^n) + \frac{\epsilon}{4}. \tag{4}$$

Proof for Nash Theorem using Kakutani (continued)

- (1) comes from that $p_{-i}^n \to p_{-i}$ and U_i is continuous. (3) comes from that for sufficiently large n, $(p_i^n, p_{-i}^n) \to (p_i, p_{-i})$ and U_i is continuous.
- The above result contradicts $p_i^n \in BP(p_{-i}^n)$. Thus, BP has a closed graph.
- Nash's Theorem follows from the Kakutani fixed point theorem.