Adaptiva robust online portfolio selection

1. 考虑交易成本的投资组合选择问题

m 个资产 n 连续时期投资

资产 i 在时期 t 的收盘价为 $p_{t,i}$

用
$$\mathbf{p}_t = (p_{t,1}, \dots, p_{t,m})^{ op}$$

相对价格变化 $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{p}_t/\mathbf{p}_{t-1}$

在每期搜索投资组合 $\mathbf{b}_t \in \Delta_m$,其中 $\Delta_m = \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbf{b} \geq 0, \mathbf{b}^ op \mathbf{1} = 1 \right\}$

初始投资组合设为 $\mathbf{b}_1 = \left(\frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}\right)^{\top}$

在投资周期 t 执行策略 \mathbf{b}_t ,那么在投资周期 t 的期末,可以观察到每个资产的收盘价格。那么相对价格 \mathbf{x}_t 就已知了,并且期末投资组合各资产的权重为

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}_t} = rac{\mathbf{b}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t}{\mathbf{b}_t^ op \mathbf{x}_t},$$

考虑交易成本

在投资周期 t 的期末,给定将要执行策略 \mathbf{b}_{t+1} ,计入交易成本后剩余的净资金比例 w_t 满足下列方程:

$$w_t + \gamma \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\hat{b}_{t,i} - w_t b_{t+1,i} \right)^+ + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(w_t b_{t+1,i} - \hat{b}_{t,i} \right)^+ \right] = 1,$$
 (1)

简记为:

$$w_t + \gamma \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_t - w_t \mathbf{b}_{t+1} \right\|_1 = 1$$

记 S_t 为在 t 期末的累积财富,则更新过程即为 $S_{t+1} = S_t w_t \left(\mathbf{b}_{t+1}^{ op} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}
ight)$

整个投资过程的框架如下:

Framework 1: A framework for the OLPS problem with consideration of transaction

costs

Initialization: Set $\mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{1}/m$, $\mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and $S_0 = 1$.

- 1 for t = 1, ..., n do
- Obtain the new portfolio weights \mathbf{b}_t based on an OLPS strategy.
- 3 Compute the net proportion w_{t-1} of the rebalancing (from $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1}$) by solving (1).
- 4 Observe the realized return \mathbf{x}_t .
- 5 Update the cumulative wealth as $S_t = S_{t-1} w_{t-1} (\mathbf{b}_t^\top \mathbf{x}_t)$.
- 6 Obtain the current portfolio weights $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_t = \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t / \mathbf{b}_t^{\top} \mathbf{x}_t$.
- 7 end

OLPS策略通常包含预测步骤,即需要在优化步骤中去预测 $ilde{\mathbf{x}}_t$,比如可以采用历史数据的滑动平均值等方法。

Base model is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underset{w \ge 0, \mathbf{b} \ge \mathbf{0}}{\text{maximize}} & w \mathbf{b}^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \lambda \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - w \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} \\
& \text{subject to} & w + \gamma \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - w \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} = 1, \quad \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{1} = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

非线性优化问题需要对问题进行转化,可以证明,该问题与以下优化问题等价:

Theorem 1. Consider the following convex program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{0}}{\text{maximize}} & \mathbf{b}^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \lambda \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{1} + \gamma \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} \leq 1, \end{array}$$

$$(3)$$

and let $\mathbf{b}_{(2)}^*$ be an optimal solution. Then, the optimal value of (2) is the same as that of (3), and $\left(w_{(1)}^*,\mathbf{b}_{(1)}^*\right):=\left(\mathbf{1}^{\top}\mathbf{b}_{(2)}^*,\frac{\mathbf{b}_{(2)}^*}{\mathbf{1}^{\top}\mathbf{b}_{(2)}^*}\right)$ is an optimal solution to (2)

上述的凸优化问题与下列线性规划问题等价,并可使用现有的优化器进行求解。

Corollary 1. The problem (2) is equivalent to the following LP.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0}}{\text{maximize}} & \mathbf{b}^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \lambda (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})^{\top} \mathbf{1} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{1} + \gamma (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})^{\top} \mathbf{1} \leq 1 \\ & \mathbf{u} \geq \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{b} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} \end{array}$$
(4)

直接应用Base model (2) 会出现两个问题:

- 1. 由于算术收益的线性性质,模型可能会只投资单一资产,比如只投资具有最大预测值 $ilde{x_{t,i}}$ 的资产。
- 2. 依赖于对下一期收益率的预测,收益预测具有较大的不确定性,导致模型表现不稳定。

因此,采用 robust optimization 方法,而不使用简单的算术收益。

2. 鲁棒椭球策略 (robust ellipsoidal (RELP) strategy)

考虑以下鲁棒优化模型:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{w \geq 0, \mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{0}}{\text{maximize}} & \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{U}} \left\{ w \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{x} \right\} - \lambda \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - w \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} \\
\text{subject to} & w + \gamma \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - w \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} = 1, \quad \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{1} = 1
\end{array} \tag{5}$$

在此处 \mathcal{U} 是椭球不确定集,如下:

$$\mathcal{U}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t},\kappa\right) = \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \mid \left(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right)^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}\right) \leq \kappa^{2}\right\}$$
(6)

- $ilde{\mathbf{x}_t} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 椭球中心参数,最近 m+1 个样本的滑动平均估计
- $\Sigma \succ \mathbf{0}$ 椭球形状参数,最近 m+1 个样本的协方差估计,捕获市场走势,且满足正定性的要求
- $\kappa \geq 0$ 椭球大小参数。
- (5) 直接求解困难,涉及椭球内部最大化的问题。考虑以下命题:

Proposition 1. Given the ellipsoidal uncertainty (6), we have

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t, \kappa)} \left\{ \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{x} \right\} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\top} \mathbf{b} - \kappa \| \mathbf{U} \mathbf{b} \|_2,$$

where ${f U}$ is the upper triangular matrix of the Cholesky factorization of ${f \Sigma}$, i.e., ${f \Sigma}={f U}^ op{f U}$

由该命题,可以得到与标准差对应的 l_2 norm为:

$$\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{b}\|_2 = \sqrt{(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{b})^{ op}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{b})} = \sqrt{\mathbf{b}^{ op}\mathbf{U}^{ op}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{b}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{b}^{ op}oldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{b}}.$$

考虑了 l_2 norm 也是考虑了预测的风险,即预测的不确定性,会给出更分散的投资组合。

Lemma 1. Let $\sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2}$, where $\{\sigma_i^2\}$ are the diagonal entries of $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{U}^{\top}\mathbf{U}$. Then, for any $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, whe have $\|\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{b}_1\|_2 - \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{b}_2\|_2 \| \leq \sigma \|\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{b}_2\|_2$.

Theorem 2. Assume that $\max_i \{\tilde{x}_{t,i}\} > \kappa \sigma + \lambda$, where $\sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2}$ are $\{\sigma_i^2\}$ are the diagonal entries of Σ . Consider the following program.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{maximize} & \mathbf{b}^{\top} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \lambda \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} - \kappa \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{b}\|_{2} \\
\text{subject to} & \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{1} + \gamma \left\| \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{b} \right\|_{1} \le 1
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

and let $\mathbf{b}_{(3)}^*$ be an optimal solution. Then, $\left(w_{(1)}^*, \mathbf{b}_{(1)}^*\right) := \left(\mathbf{1}^{\top} \mathbf{b}_{(3)}^*, \frac{\mathbf{b}_{(3)}^*}{\mathbf{1}^{\top} \mathbf{b}_{(3)}^*}\right)$ is an optimal solution to (5).

24 end

Algorithm 2: The RELP strategy with the SB and top-K adaptive schemes on parameter $\phi \in \{\lambda, \kappa\}$

```
Initialization: Set \mathbf{b}_1 = 1/m, \mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{0} and S_0 = 1. Pick a range of
                           values of \phi, i.e., \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_R\}. Here, \phi is a
                          generic parameter representing \lambda or \kappa.
 1 Initialization for SB scheme: Choose window size W,
      indifference zone parameter \delta and the initial tracking portfolio
 2 Initialization for Top-K scheme: Choose K and initial \overline{\phi}_0.
 3 for t = 1, ..., n do
         Obtain the new portfolio weights b', for expert by solving
           (9), e.g., using an off-the-shelf optimization solver, with \phi_r
           for r \in \{1, ..., R\}.
         (For t = 1, we use \mathbf{b}_1^r = 1/m; for t \in \{2, ..., m+1\}, we use
 5
           \mathbf{b}_{t}^{r} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1}^{r}.)
         if SB scheme then
              Set \mathbf{b}_t = \mathbf{b}_t^{r'}.
         else if Top-K scheme then
 8
              Obtain the new portfolio weights b_i by solving (9), e.g.,
                 using an off-the-shelf optimization solver, with
                 \phi = \phi_{t-1}.
10
         Compute the net proportion w_{t-1} of the rebalance (from
11
           \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1}), as well as w_{t-1}^r for each expert (from \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1}^r) by solving
          Observe the realized return x_i.
12
          Update the cumulative wealth as S_t = S_{t-1} w_{t-1} (\mathbf{b}_t^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_t).
13
          Update the cumulative wealth for each expert as
           S_t^r = S_{t-1}^r w_{t-1}^r [(\mathbf{b}_t^r)^\top \mathbf{x}_t] \text{ for } r \in \{1, \dots, R\}.
         if SB scheme then
15
              Based on the recent portfolio wealth \{S_{l-W+1}^{r'}, \ldots, S_{l}^{r'}\} of expert r', compute the sample mean \bar{S}_{l}^{r'} and sample
16
                standard deviation \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{r'}.
              if \mathcal{R} = \left\{ r'' \in \{1, \dots, R\} \setminus \{r'\} \mid \bar{S}_t^{r''} > \right\}
17
                 \bar{S}_{t}^{r'} + \max\{\delta, 1.96\hat{\sigma}_{t}^{r'}\}\} \neq \emptyset then
                    Update the tracking expert by setting
18
                      r' \in \operatorname{argmax}_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \{\bar{S}_{t}^{r}\}.
              end
          else if Top-K scheme then
              Compute \overline{\phi}_t as the average value of \phi's of the top-K
                 performing portfolios.
22
         Obtain the current portfolio weights \hat{\mathbf{b}}_t = \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t / \mathbf{b}_t^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_t as well
23
           as b' for each expert.
```