

# Team Contributions: Rev 0

## Software Engineering

Team #5, Money Making Mauraders  
Zhenia Sigayev  
Justin Ho  
Thomas Wang  
Michael Shi  
Johnny Qu

This document summarizes the contributions of each team member for the Rev 0 Demo. The time period of interest is the time between the PoC demo and the Rev 0 demo; the contributions prior to the PoC are NOT included.

## 1 Demo Plans

[What will you be demonstrating —SS]

## 2 Team Meeting Attendance

[For each team member how many team meetings have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the meeting issues in the team's repo. The first entry in the table should be the total number of team meetings held by the team. —SS]

Student	Meetings
Total	3
Justin	3
Zhenia	2
Thomas	3
Johnny	3
Michael	3

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

### 3 Supervisor/Stakeholder Meeting Attendance

[For each team member how many supervisor/stakeholder team meetings have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the supervisor meeting issues in the team's repo. The first entry in the table should be the total number of supervisor and team meetings held by the team. If there is no supervisor, there will usually be meetings with stakeholders (potential users) that can serve a similar purpose. —SS]

**Supervisor's Name:** [fill in this information]

Student	Meetings
Total	4
Justin	4
Zhenia	4
Thomas	4
Johnny	4
Michael	4

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

### 4 Lecture Attendance

[For each team member how many lectures have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the lecture issues in the team's repo. You can find the number of lectures in the time period of interest by looking at the [Google calendar](#) for the capstone course. —SS]

[NOTE: There will be approximately 1 lecture between the POC and Rev0 demos —SS]

Student	Lectures
Total	16
Justin	5
Zhenia	4
Thomas	4
Johnny	4
Michael	4

[If needed, an explanation for the lecture attendance can be provided here. —SS]

## 5 TA Document Discussion Attendance

[For each team member how many of the informal document discussion meetings with the TA were attended over the time period of interest. —SS]

**TA's Name:** [fill in this information]

Student	Lectures
Total	5
Justin	5
Zhenia	4
Thomas	4
Johnny	5
Michael	5

[If needed, an explanation for the attendance can be provided here. —SS]

## 6 Commits

[For each team member how many commits to the main branch have been made over the time period of interest. The total is the total number of commits for the entire team since the beginning of the term. The percentage is the percentage of the total commits made by each team member. —SS]

Student	Commits	Percent
Total	125	100%
Justin	27	20%
Zhenia	46	37%
Thomas	20	16%
Michael	19	15%
Johnny	13	10%

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. For instance, if a team member has more commits to unmerged branches, these numbers can be provided here. If multiple people contribute to a commit, git allows for multi-author commits. —SS]

## 7 Issue Tracker

[For each team member how many issues have they authored (including open and closed issues (O+C)) and how many have they been assigned (only counting closed issues (C only)) over the time period of interest. —SS]

Student	Authored (O+C)	Assigned (C only)
Justin	8	4
Zhenia	16	6
Thomas	3	4
Johnny	2	1
Michael	3	4

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS] Johnny undertook more development work over resolving issues during the time leading up to Rev0.

## 8 CICD

CICD has been used in the following ways in our project:

- automatic updates to out pdfs

[Say how CICD is used in your project —SS]

## 9 Team Charter Trigger Items

Our triggers were mainly based around communication, responsibilities, and scheduling.

- communication
  - use pull request for code changes
  - discord of quick coordination
  - email for formal stakeholder communication
  - use github issues for task management
- responsibilities
  - each member is responsible for their assigned issues
  - members are responsible for attending meetings
  - team member roles (PM, Dev lead, etc.) are responsible are constantly being rotated and shared
- scheduling
  - issues are assigned with realistic deadlines
  - deadlines are met

Violated triggers

- communication
  - Github issues were not always used
- responsibilities
  - N/A
- scheduling
  - N/A

To address the violation of not always using github issues, we will make a reminder to use github issues for task management in our next team meeting.

[Provide a summary of the quantified triggers identified in the team’s charter. —SS]

[Provide a list of any violations of the triggers. If the team wishes, the violations can be summarized on aggregate, instead of naming specific team members. —SS]

[Provide a plan to address the violations. This could include revising the triggers, if they are found to be too weak, strong or ambiguous. —SS]

## 10 Additional Productivity Metrics

[If your team has additional metrics of productivity, please feel free to add them to this report. —SS]