Chapter 5: Deriving Efficient Programs

Two examples are used to show how one can reason about programs in a non-operational way.

Integer Division

Design efficient divmod meeting the specification:

```
con A, B : int \{A \ge 0 \land B > 0\}

var q, r : int

divmod

\{q = A \text{ div } B \land r = A \text{ mod } B\}

]
```

Note that according to the definitions of div and mod, the post-condition R is

$$R: \ A = q * B + r \wedge 0 \le r \wedge r < B.$$

We have seen (Lecture 4) that by choosing as invariant

$$P: A = q * B + r \wedge 0 \le r$$

we can obtain the following solution to *divmod*:

$$q, r, := 0, A;$$
 {invariant: $A = q * B + r \land 0 \le r$, bound: r } do $r \ge B \rightarrow q, r := q + 1, r - B$ od $\{R\}$

This program takes $\mathcal{O}(A \operatorname{\mathbf{div}} B)$ steps.

Could we do better?

Yes! We can have a program using about half of the steps by doubling B.

$$S_1$$
;
 $\{R_1: A = q * 2 * B + r \land 0 \le r \land r < 2 * B\}$
 S_2 ;
 $\{R: A = q * B + r \land 0 \le r \land r < B\}$

What are S_1 and S_2 ?

For S_1 , just replace B by 2 * B in the previous program:

$$q, r, := 0, A;$$

{invariant: $A = p * 2 * B + r \land 0 \le r$, bound: r }
do $r \ge 2 * B \rightarrow q, r := q + 1, r - 2 * B od$
{ $R_1: A = q * 2 * B + r \land 0 \le r \land r \le 2 * B$ }

For S_2 , we simply have

$$q:=2*q;$$
 if $B\leq r o q, r:=q+1, r-B$
$$[] \ r< B o skip$$
 fi
$$\{R: \ A=q*B+r\wedge 0\leq r\wedge r< B\}$$

Could we do much better?

Yes! Repeat the better method, by replacing constant B by variable b.

So our invariants are:

$$P_0: A = q * b + r \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r < b$$

$$P_1: b=2^k*B \land 0 \le k$$

which are established by the following repetition:

$$q, r, b, k := 0, A, B, 0;$$

do
$$r \ge b \to b, k := b * 2, k + 1$$
 od.

Next, we investigate the effect of $b := b \operatorname{\mathbf{div}} 2$ on the invariants.

```
P_{0} \wedge P_{1}
= { definitions of P_{0} and P_{1}, substitution }
A = q * b + r \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r < b
\wedge b = 2^{k} * B \wedge 0 \leq k
= { heading for b : b \text{ div } 2 }
A = (q * 2) * (b \text{ div } 2) + r \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r < 2 * (b \text{ div } 2)
\wedge (b \text{ div } 2) = 2^{k-1} * B \wedge 0 \leq k
= { assume b \neq B }
A = (q * 2) * (b \text{ div } 2) + r \wedge 0 \leq r \wedge r < 2 * (b \text{ div } 2)
\wedge (b \text{ div } 2) = 2^{k-1} * B \wedge 0 \leq k - 1
```

Hence,

$$\{P_0 \land P_1 \land b \neq B\}
 q, b, k := q * 2, b div 2, k - 1;
 \{A = q * b + r \land 0 \le r \land r < 2 * b \land b = 2^k * B \land 0 \le k\}$$

It is easy to establish $P_0 \wedge P_1$ by

$$\{A = q * b + r \land 0 \le r \land r < \underline{2 * b} \land b = 2^k * B \land 0 \le k\}$$

if
$$b \le r \to q, r := q + 1, r - b$$

$$[] r < b \rightarrow skip$$

 \mathbf{fi}

$$\{A = q * b + r \land 0 \le r \land r < \underline{b} \land b = 2^k * B \land 0 \le k\}$$

Final program:

```
\mathbf{var}\ b, k: int;
q, r, b, k := 0, A, B, 0;
do r \ge b \to b, k := b * 2, k + 1 od;
do b \neq B \rightarrow
     q, b, k := q * 2, b  div 2, k - 1;
     if b \le r \to q, r := q + 1, r - b
     fi
od
```

What is its time complexity? What is k for?

We could not need to introduce k if we change the invariants to

 $P_0: A = q * b + r \wedge 0 \le r \wedge r < b$

 $P_1: (\exists k: 0 \le k: b = 2^k * B)$

Can you calculate your efficient program according to these invariants?

Fibonacci

Derive an $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$ program for fibonacci specified by

```
con N: int \{N \geq 0\};
var x: int;
fibonacci
\{x = fib.N\}
```

where fib is defined by

```
fib.0 = 0

fib.1 = 1

fib.(n+2) = fib.n + fib.(n+1)
```

We have shown that by choosing

$$P_0$$
 $x = fib.n$
 P_1 $0 \le n \le N$
 Q $y = fib.(n+1)$

as invariants, we can arrive at the program

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{var } n, y: int; \{N \geq 0\} \\ &n, x, y:=0,0,1; \\ &\{\text{invariant: } P_0 \wedge P_1 \wedge Q, \text{ bound: } N-n\} \\ &\textbf{do } n \neq N \to x, y, n:=y, x+y, n+1 \text{ od} \\ &\{x=fib.N \ \wedge \ y=fib.(N+1)\} \\ &] \end{aligned}
```

which has the complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N)$.

In fact, we can obtain the following $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$ program:

```
{N>0}
\mathbf{var}\ a, b, n, y : int;
a, b, x, y, n := 0, 1, 0, 1, N;
do n \neq 0 \rightarrow
      if n \mod 2 = 0 \to a, b, n := a * a + b * b, a * b + b * a + b * b, n \operatorname{div} 2
      [] n \mod 2 = 1 \rightarrow x, y, n := a * x + b * y, b * x + a * y + b * y, n - 1
      fi
od
\{x = fib.N\}
```

Can you understand it, and say it is correct?

Recall that we have obtained:

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{var } n, y: int; \{N \geq 0\} \\ &n, x, y:=0,0,1; \\ &\textbf{do } n \neq N \rightarrow x, y, n:=y, x+y, n+1 \textbf{ od} \\ &\{x=fib.N \ \land \ y=fib.(N+1)\} \\ &]| \end{aligned}
```

and observe that x, y := y, x + y is a linear combination of x and y:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right) := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right)$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{var} \ n, y : int; \{N \geq 0\} \\ & n, x, y := 0, 0, 1; \\ & \mathbf{do} \ n \neq N \rightarrow \\ & \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) := \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right); \\ & n := n+1 \\ & \mathbf{od} \\ & \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)^N \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ & \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Following our derivation for computing exponentiation, we have

```
var n, y : int; \{N \ge 0\}
n, x, y := N, 0, 1;
A := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right);
do n \neq 0 \rightarrow
        if n \mod 2 = 0 \to A := A * A; n := n \text{ div } 2
        [] n \mod 2 = 1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} := A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}; n := n-1;
        fi
od
```

We can go further by eliminating matrix operations, with the fact that A is always in the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a+b \end{pmatrix}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a+b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a+b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ q & p+q \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$p = a^2 + b^2$$

$$q = ab + ba + b^2$$

So A := A * A corresponds to

$$a, b := a^2 + b^2, ab + ba + b^2$$

and
$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} := A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$
 corresponds to

$$x, y := a * x + b * y, b * x + a * y + b * y.$$

And we thus abtain the program shown before.

Exercises

[Problem 6-1] Derive a program that has time complexity $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$ for

```
 \begin{aligned} & \text{con } N: int \ \{N \geq 1\}; f: \mathbf{array} \ [0..N] \ \mathbf{of} \ int \ \{f.0 < f.N\}; \\ & \mathbf{var} \ x: int; \\ & S \\ & \{0 \leq x < N \land f.x < f.(x+1)\} \\ & ] \end{aligned}
```

by introducing variable y and invariants

```
P_0: f.x < f.y
P_1: 0 \le x < y \le N
```

[Problem 6-2] Derive an $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$ algorithm for square root:

```
con N: int \{N \ge 0\};

var x: int;

square\ root

\{x^2 \le N \land (x+1)^2 > N\}

]
```

by introducing variables y and k and invariants:

$$P_0: x^2 \le N \land (x+y)^2 > N$$

 $P_1: y = 2^k \land 0 \le k$

[Problem 6-3] Solve

```
[[
\mathbf{con}\ A, B, N: int\ \{N\geq 0\};
\mathbf{var}\ x: int;
S
\{x=(\Sigma i: 0\leq i\leq N: A^{N-i}*B^i)\}
]|
```

[Problem 6-4] Solve

```
 \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{con} \ N: int \ \{N \geq 0\}; \\ & \mathbf{var} \ x: int; \\ & Fibolucci \\ & \{x = (\Sigma i: 0 \leq i \leq N: fib.i*fib.(N-i)\} \\ \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}
```

where fib is defined by

$$fib.0 = 0$$

 $fib.1 = 1$
 $fib.(n+2) = fib.n + fib.(n+1).$