

Chapter 2: The Guarded Command Language (Part 2)

Skip

- Execution of skip does not have any effect.

$\{P\}\text{skip}\{Q\}$ is equivalent to $[P \Rightarrow Q]$

- Example

```
[[  
  var  $x, y$  : int;  
  { $x \geq 1$ }  
  skip  
  { $x \geq 0$ }  
  ]]
```

- Weakest precondition

$$wp.\text{skip}.Q \equiv Q$$

Assignment

- Any change of state is due to the execution of an assignment statement.

$$x := E$$

replaces the value of x by the value of E .

$$\{P\}x := E\{Q\} \text{ is equivalent to } [P \Rightarrow \text{def}.E \wedge Q(x := E)]$$

Here $\text{def}.E$ is defined for which values of its variables in E is defined.

$$\text{def}.(a \bmod b) = b \neq 0$$

- Weakest precondition

$$[wp.(x := E).Q \equiv Q(x := E)]$$

- Example

follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{x \geq 3\} x := x + 1 \{x \geq 0\} \\
 & \equiv \text{def.}(x + 1) \wedge (x \geq 0)(x := x + 1) \\
 & \equiv \{ \text{def} \} \\
 & \equiv \text{true} \wedge (x \geq 0)(x := x + 1) \\
 & \equiv \{ \wedge, \text{substitution} \} \\
 & \equiv x + 1 \geq 0 \\
 & \equiv \{ \text{arithmetic} \} \\
 & \equiv x \geq -1 \\
 & \Leftarrow \{ \text{arithmetic} \} \\
 & \equiv x \geq 3
 \end{aligned}$$

Catenation

- Catenation allows us to describe sequence of actions.

$$S;T$$

S is executed after which T is executed.

$\{P\}S;T\{Q\}$ is equivalent to $\exists R. \{P\}S\{R\}$ and $\{R\}T\{Q\}$

- Weakest precondition

$$[wp.(S;T).Q \equiv wp.S.(wp.T.Q)]$$

i.e., semi-colon corresponds to function composition.

- Prove

$$\begin{array}{l} \parallel \\ \text{var } a, b : \text{bool}; \\ \{(a \equiv A) \wedge (b \equiv B)\} \\ a := a \equiv b; \\ b := a \equiv b; \\ a := a \equiv b \\ \{(a \equiv B) \wedge (b \equiv A)\} \\ \parallel \end{array}$$

- Hint: Compute the weakest preconditions in a bottom-up way.

Selection

$$\text{if } B.0 \rightarrow S.0 \ \square \ \dots \ \square \ B.n \rightarrow S.n \ \text{fi}$$

where

- $B.i$: a boolean expression (a guard)
- $S.i$: a statement
- $B.i \rightarrow S.i$: a guarded command

1. All guards B_i are evaluated.
2. If none of the guards evaluates to true then execution *aborts*, otherwise one of the guards that has the value true is chosen *non-deterministically* and the corresponding statement is executed.

An Example

Derive a statement S that satisfies

```
[[  
  var  $x, y, z$  : int;  
  {true}  
   $S$   
  { $z = x \text{ max } y$ }  
]]
```

where **max** is defined by

$$z = x \text{ max } y \equiv (z = x \vee z = y) \wedge z \geq x \wedge z \geq y$$

We conclude that $z := x$ is a candidate for S . As a precondition we can have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((z = x \vee z = y) \wedge z \geq x \wedge z \geq y)(z := x) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{substitution} \} \\
 & (x = x \vee x = y) \wedge x \geq x \wedge x \geq y \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{calculus} \} \\
 & x \geq y
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$x \geq y \rightarrow z := x$$

Symmetrically,

$$y \geq x \rightarrow z := y$$

So, the definition of S is

$$\text{if } x \geq y \rightarrow z := x \quad \square \quad y \geq x \rightarrow z := y \quad \text{fi}$$

Formulation of Selection Statement

$$\{P\}\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \square B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi}\{Q\}$$

is equivalent to

1. $[P \rightarrow B_0 \vee B_1]$ and
2. $\{P \wedge B_0\}S_0\{Q\}$ and $\{P \wedge B_1\}S_1\{Q\}$

- Examples

- Prove $\{x = 0\}\text{if } true \rightarrow x := x + 1 \square true \rightarrow x := x + 1 \text{ fi}\{x = 1\}$.
- Prove $\{x = 0\}\text{if } true \rightarrow x := 1 \square true \rightarrow x := -1 \text{ fi}\{x = 1 \vee x = -1\}$.

Weakest Precondition for Selection

$$\begin{aligned} & [wp.\text{if } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \square B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{ fi}.Q \\ & \quad \equiv (B_0 \vee B_1) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad (B_0 \rightarrow wp.S_0.Q) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad (B_1 \rightarrow wp.S_1.Q) \\ &] \end{aligned}$$

Repetition

do $B.0 \rightarrow S.0$ **□** \dots **□** $B.n \rightarrow S.n$ **od**

1. All guards B_i are evaluated.
2. If none of the guards evaluates to true then execution *skip*, otherwise one of the guards that has the value true is chosen *non-deterministically* and the corresponding statement is executed, after which the repetition is executed again.

Formulation of Repetition Statement

$$\{P\} \mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ \square \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od} \{Q\}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{l} \{P\} \\ \mathbf{if} \ (\neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{skip} \\ \quad \square \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0; \ \mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ \square \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od} \\ \quad \square \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1; \ \mathbf{do} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ \square \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{od} \\ \mathbf{fi} \\ \{Q\} \end{array}$$

That is

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \{P\} \\
 \text{if } (\neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1) \rightarrow \frac{\{P \wedge (\neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1)\} \text{skip} \{Q\}}{\{P \wedge (\neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1) \rightarrow \text{skip} \{Q\}\}} \\
 \quad \Box B_0 \rightarrow \frac{\{P \wedge B_0\} S_0 \{P\}; \{P\} \text{do } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \Box B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{od} \{Q\}}{\{P \wedge B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \Box B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{od} \{Q\}\}} \\
 \quad \Box B_1 \rightarrow \frac{\{P \wedge B_1\} S_1 \{P\}; \{P\} \text{do } B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \Box B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{od} \{Q\}}{\{P \wedge B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \text{od} \{Q\}\}} \\
 \text{fi} \\
 \{Q\}
 \end{array}$$

So

- (i) $[P \wedge (\neg B_0 \wedge \neg B_1) \Rightarrow Q]$ and
- (ii) $\{P \wedge B_0\}S_0\{P\}$ and $\{P \wedge B_1\}S_1\{P\}$

implies

$$\{P\}\mathbf{do} B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \parallel B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \mathbf{od}\{Q\}$$

provided that this repetition terminates.

Note: A predicate P that satisfies (ii) is called an **invariant** of $\mathbf{do} B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \parallel B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \mathbf{od}$.

An Example

Prove that

```
[[  
  var  $x, y$  : int;  
  { $x = X \wedge y = Y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0$ }  
  do  $x > y \rightarrow x := x - y$  []  $y > x \rightarrow y := y - x$  od  
  { $x = X \text{ gcd } Y$ }  
  ]]
```

where $X \text{ gcd } Y$ denotes the greatest common divisor of X and Y .

Proof Sketch.

- Define an invariant P as

$$P : x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \wedge x \text{ gcd } y = X \text{ gcd } Y$$

satisfying $x = X \wedge y = Y \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \Rightarrow P$.

- Prove:
 - $P \wedge \neg(x > y) \wedge \neg(y > x) \Rightarrow x = X \text{ gcd } Y$
 - $\{P \wedge (x > y)\}x := x - y\{P\}$
 - $\{P \wedge (y > x)\}y := y - x\{P\}$
- Show the termination of the repetition.
 - Let $t = x + y$. $t \geq 0$ and t decreases in each step of repetition.

Exercises

Problem 2

The following problem may be used to compute (non-deterministically) natural numbers x and y such that $x * y = N$. Prove:

```
||  
var p, x, y, N : int;  
{N ≥ 1}p, x, y := N - 1, 1, 1  
{N = x * y + p}  
;do p ≠ 0  
  → if p mod x = 0 → p, y := p - x, y + 1  
    [] p mod y = 0 → x, p := x + 1, p - y  
  fi  
od  
{x * y = N}  
||.
```