

Cetacean species and their conservation

AlternativedyadicEnforcement&Restoration

Given the rarity of third-party ties in small-scale societies, it is remarkable that the party system in Chile has survived so long.

Fitouuchi & Singh (2023) argue: “Consistent with the relation-hypothesis, we find that third-party punishment is rare.”

Singh & Garfield conclude: ‘Our findings suggest that... institutionalized penalties function more to restore dyadic cooperation than to enforce norms.’

Wiessner (2020) similarly emphasizes restorative justice: ‘Emphasis is on amends to the victim fairness, not punishment of the offender.’

- The emerging interpretation:
 - Norm regulation in small-scale societies is often restorative.
 - Second-party processes dominate.
 - Third-party punishment is limited or structurally constrained.

Current evolutionary and anthropological perspectives

The Emerging Alternative: Dyadic Enforcement & Restoration

- Given the apparent rarity of third-party punishment in small-scale societies:
- Fitouchi & Singh (2023) argue: “Consistent with the relation-restoration hypothesis, we find that third-party punishment is rare.”
- Singh & Garfield conclude: “Our findings suggest that... institutionalized penalties function more to restore dyadic cooperation than to enforce norms.”
- Wiessner (2020) similarly emphasizes restoration: “Emphasis is on amends to the victim for fairness, not punishment of the offender.”
- The emerging interpretation:
 - Norm regulation in small-scale societies is often restorative.
 - Second-party processes dominate.
 - Third-party punishment is limited or structurally constrained.

Current evolutionary and anthropological perspectives

The Prevailing Interpretation

- Third-party punishment is theoretically central to cooperation.