



**Current evolutionary and anthropological perspectives**

# Theoretical Centrality of Third-Party Punishment

**Claim: Human cooperation depends on third-party punishment.**

- Strong reciprocity models argue that humans punish norm violators ‘even when it is costly and yields no direct material benefit’ (Fehr & Gächter, 2002).

- Third-party punishment extends to ‘sanctioning behaviour of ‘unaffected’ third parties’ (Fehr & Fischbacher, 2004).

- Cultural group selection models suggest punishment can stabilize cooperation at scale (Boyd et al., 2003).

• From Fitchi & Singh (2023), reviewing this tradition: “A widespread version argues that humans have evolved predispositions to enforce group norms through costly, third-party punishment.”



**The theoretical picture: Third-party punishment is central to norm enforcement and large-scale cooperation.**

# Current evolutionary and anthropological perspectives

## The Theoretical Centrality of Third-Party Punishment

- **Claim: Human cooperation depends on third-party punishment.**
- Strong reciprocity models argue that humans punish norm violators “even when it is costly and yields no direct material benefit” (Fehr & Gächter, 2002).
- Third-party punishment extends to “sanctioning behaviour of ‘unaffected’ third parties” (Fehr & Fischbacher, 2004).
- Cultural group selection models suggest punishment can stabilize cooperation at scale (Boyd et al., 2003).
- From Fitouchi & Singh (2023), reviewing this tradition: “A widespread version argues that humans have evolved predispositions to enforce group norms through costly, third-party punishment.”
- The theoretical picture: **Third-party punishment is central to norm enforcement and large-scale cooperation.**

**Current evolutionary and anthropological perspectives**  
**But Cross-Cultural Evidence Suggests Variation**