Look for a general wordinate map?

$$9 \rightarrow Q(9,p)$$

$$P \rightarrow P(9,p)$$

$$H \rightarrow H(Q,P)$$

 $9 \rightarrow Q(9,P)$  We want a change of variable to have the same form of EOM  $P \rightarrow P(9,P)$   $\dot{Q} = \frac{2H}{2P}$   $\dot{P} = -\frac{2H}{2Q}$ 

Let :
$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 9^1 \\ 9^2 \\ 1 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} \angle 2xn \text{ dimension}.$$

then?

$$\frac{dz^{c}}{dt} = \int_{-1}^{10} \frac{\partial H}{\partial z^{i}} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dq}{dt} \\ \frac{dp}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2H}{2q} \\ \frac{2H}{2p} \end{pmatrix}$$

Need to do transformation:

where 
$$\gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ P_1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$
  $\Rightarrow \frac{d\gamma^2}{dt} = \frac{d\gamma^2}{dz^2} \frac{dz^2}{dt} = M_{\tilde{1}\tilde{1}} \frac{dz^2}{dt}$ 

We know 
$$\frac{1}{4t} = J^{2t} = \frac{2}{32}$$

then 
$$\frac{dY_{i}}{dt} = M_{i}^{2} J^{i} \frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial z_{i}}$$

$$= M_{i}^{2} J^{i} \frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial z_{i}} \frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial z_{k}}$$

$$= (M^{T})^{k} \frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial y_{k}} = (M^{T})^{k} \frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial y_{k}}$$

but for canonical transformation?

$$\frac{dy'}{dt} = J^{i} \frac{k J_{i}^{H}}{\partial y^{k}}$$
So 
$$\int (MJM^{T})^{ik} = J^{ik} \int For canonical transformation$$

Therefore, we want zi > zi, and zi to satisfy the some Hamiltonian form:

$$\frac{2\gamma^{i}}{2t} = \mathcal{T}^{ij}\frac{2\mathcal{H}}{2\gamma^{j}} = (M\mathcal{T}M^{T})^{ij}\frac{2\mathcal{H}}{2\gamma^{j}}$$

So a transform is canonical iff:

Transformed

## J=MJMT where M= 22 Konighal vanlable

## Consider Infinitesimal Transform:

$$9 \Rightarrow Q(9,P) = 9 + \dot{Q}(9,P) \lambda$$

$$P \rightarrow P(q,p) = P + \dot{P}(q,p) \lambda$$

$$9 \Rightarrow Q(9,P) = 9 + \dot{Q}(9,P)\lambda$$
 for  $\dot{Q} = \frac{dQ(9,P)}{d\lambda} = \Delta 9$ 

$$P \Rightarrow P(9,P) = P + \dot{P}(9,P)\lambda$$
 for  $\dot{P} = \frac{dP}{d\lambda} = \Delta P$ 

then the Jacobson: 
$$M_{\tilde{\gamma}} = \frac{\lambda(Q, P)}{\lambda(Q, P)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda Q}{2Q} & \frac{\lambda Q}{2P} \\ \frac{\lambda P}{2Q} & \frac{\lambda P}{2P} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{2Q}{2q} & \frac{2Q}{2P} \\
\frac{2P}{2q} & \frac{2P}{2P}
\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 + \frac{2Q}{2q} \\
\frac{2P}{2q}
\right) \\
1 + \frac{2P}{2P}
\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{2Q}{2P} \\
\frac{2P}{2P}
\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{2Q}{2P} \\
\frac{2P}{2P}
\right)$$

$$J=\left(l+M^{U}\right)J\left(l+M^{U})^{T}\right)$$

$$J = (I+M^{U}) J(I+M^{U})^{T}$$
 for  $M^{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 2G & 2G \\ 2G & 2P \\ 2P & 2P \end{pmatrix}$ 

To Zenoth order

To First order?

$$M^{(i)}J + JM^{(i)}T = 0$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{2(\Delta q)}{2\eta} & \frac{2(\Delta q)}{2P} \\
\frac{2(\Delta P)}{2\eta} & \frac{2(\Delta P)}{2P}
\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{2(\Delta q)}{2q} & \frac{2(\Delta p)}{2q} & \frac{2(\Delta P)}{2} & \frac{2(\Delta P)}{2P} &$$

$$\frac{1}{2P} - \frac{2(\Delta q)}{2P} + \left(\frac{2(\Delta q)}{2P}\right)^{T}$$

$$\frac{2(\Delta q)}{2q} + \left(\frac{2(\Delta p)}{2P}\right)^{T}$$

$$\frac{2(\Delta p)}{2P} + \left(\frac{2(\Delta q)}{2q}\right)^{T}$$

$$\frac{2(\Delta p)}{2q} - \left(\frac{2(\Delta p)}{2q}\right)^{T}$$

With a constraint?

$$\frac{\partial(\Delta \gamma)}{\partial \gamma} + \frac{\partial(\Delta p)}{\partial p} = 0 \quad \text{free.}$$
or  $\frac{\partial (\lambda \gamma)}{\partial \gamma} - \frac{\partial (\lambda \gamma)}{\partial x} = 0$ 

Suppose we have 
$$G(q,p)$$

Such that  $\Delta q = \frac{2G}{2p}$  and  $\Delta p = \frac{2G}{2q}$ 

Then 
$$\frac{2(\Delta_f)}{2g} + \frac{2(\Delta_f)}{2p}$$

$$= \frac{3^2G}{3g^2} - \frac{3^2G}{3g^2} = 0$$

Hence G(9,P) is a generator of transformation

Now, all transformation:

$$P \rightarrow P(9,P) = 9 + \frac{26}{3P} \lambda$$

$$P \rightarrow P(9,P) = 2 - \frac{26}{39} \lambda$$

Noether Theorem:

$$H(q,p) \rightarrow H(q+sq, p+sp) = H(Q, P)$$

$$SH = \frac{2H}{2q} \frac{2G}{2p} \lambda - \frac{2H}{2p} \frac{2G}{2g} \lambda$$

$$= \frac{2H}{2q} \frac{2G}{2p} \lambda - \frac{2H}{2p} \frac{2G}{2g} \lambda$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} = 0$$

La G 18 conserved if the canonical transformation from G leaved H invariant.

Note: 
$$H(Q,P) = H(q,p) \leftarrow always + une$$

but for invariant?  

$$H(a,R) = H(a,R) = H(a,p)$$
  
&  $H(a,R) - H(a,p) = 0$ 

Example: For 
$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m}$$

clearly, H is invariant under:

$$9 \rightarrow Q = 9 + \lambda$$
 } since H doesn't depend on  $P \rightarrow P = P$  9.

then 
$$H(9,p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} = H(0,p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} = \frac{p^2}{2m}$$

Then 
$$\Delta q = \frac{3G}{2p} = 1$$
 and  $\Delta p = \frac{3G}{2q} = 0$   
So  $G(q, p) = 1$ 

Since H is invariant

Ex 2: Consider influttesimal rotation.

A First find whether transformation is consnical,

$$\frac{31}{9(180)} + \frac{318}{9(180)} = 20 - 10 = 0$$

$$\frac{31}{9(180)} + \frac{318}{9(180)} = 20$$

$$\frac{31}{3(180)} + \frac{318}{9(180)} = 0$$

Then find the generator, G(9,7)?

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial R_X} = \Delta X = Y \qquad -\frac{\partial G}{\partial X} = \Delta P = R_Y$$

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial R_Y} = \Delta Y = -X \qquad -\frac{\partial G}{\partial Y} = \Delta P = -R_X$$

$$C = -R_1 X + P_X Y = -L_2$$

$$= -(XP_1 - YP_X)$$