ST346: Assessed coursework 1

u1801116

1(a)

Load data courseworkData1.rda, then fit a null Poisson regression model with number of claims as the outcome and name it glm.out1.

```
load("courseworkData1.rda")
glm.out1 = glm(formula = y ~ 1 + offset(log(n)), family = "poisson", data = insurance)
glm.out1
##
## Call: glm(formula = y ~ 1 + offset(log(n)), family = "poisson", data = insurance)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
##
        -2.003
##
## Degrees of Freedom: 31 Total (i.e. Null); 31 Residual
## Null Deviance:
                         207.8
## Residual Deviance: 207.8
                                 AIC: 378.2
As we can see from the summary of glm.out1, the intercept of the model is -2.00326, now check it with
intercept = log(sum(insurance$y)/sum(insurance$n))
intercept
## [1] -2.003262
The equation also equals to -2.00326.
```

1(b)

Fit a Poisson regression model with predictor variables car, age, district.

```
glm.out2 = glm(formula = y ~ factor(car) + factor(age) + district + offset(log(n)), family = "poisson",
modelsum <- summary(glm.out2)
exp(modelsum$coefficients["district","Estimate"])</pre>
```

[1] 1.244203

An estimate of the rate ratio is 1.2442031, the rate of insurance claims is higher in urban areas than rural areas.

1(c)

```
glm.max = glm(formula = y ~ (factor(car) + factor(age) + district + offset(log(n)))^2, family = "poisson
step(glm.max, direction = "both")
```

As we shown from the results, AIC is minimal at 208.07 when model is the same as the one in 1(b), therefore the maximal model is the one shown in 1(b).

1(d)

```
glm.out3 = glm(formula = y ~ factor(car) + age + district + offset(log(n)), family = "poisson", data =
anovatable = anova(glm.out2, glm.out3, test = "LRT")
anovatable

## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model 1: y ~ factor(car) + factor(age) + district + offset(log(n))
## Model 2: y ~ factor(car) + age + district + offset(log(n))
## Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance Pr(>Chi)
## 1 24 23.709
## 2 26 23.832 -2 -0.1234 0.9402
```

As Pr(>Chi) is 0.9401661, according to 0.05 level of significance, there are no significant differences between the two model.

1(e)

The used model is glm.out3.

```
summary(glm.out3)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = y ~ factor(car) + age + district + offset(log(n)),
      family = "poisson", data = insurance)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                1Q
                     Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -1.8383 -0.5899 -0.1651
                              0.3733
                                       1.7783
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.63733
                           0.07499 -21.833 < 2e-16 ***
## factor(car)2 0.16260
                           0.05048 3.221 0.001276 **
## factor(car)3 0.39389
                           0.05491
                                   7.174 7.31e-13 ***
                                   7.842 4.44e-15 ***
## factor(car)4 0.56585
                           0.07216
                           0.01850 -9.523 < 2e-16 ***
               -0.17616
## age
## district
                0.21860
                           0.05853 3.735 0.000188 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
```

```
Null deviance: 207.833 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 23.832 on 26 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 204.19
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
exp(summary(glm.out3)$coefficients["factor(car)4", "Estimate"])
## [1] 1.760939
Therefore, the new insurance premium is 1.7609386.
2(a)
library(dplyr)
glm.out4 = glm(deaths ~ smoking + offset(log(personyears)),
               family = "poisson", data = doctors)
summary(glm.out4)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = deaths ~ smoking + offset(log(personyears)), family = "poisson",
##
       data = doctors)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                 1Q
                    Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
                    4.612
## -16.535
           -6.031
                                8.162
                                        13.644
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -5.9618
                           0.0995 -59.916 < 2e-16 ***
## smoking
                 0.5422
                            0.1072
                                   5.059 4.22e-07 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 935.07 on 9 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 905.98 on 8 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 965.04
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
smoker = filter(doctors, smoking == 1)
nosmoker = filter(doctors, smoking == 0)
lambda1 = sum(smoker$deaths)/sum(smoker$personyears)
```

[1] 0.5422211

log(lambda1/lambda0)

As we can see, the value is the same as the estimate of coefficient for smoking.

lambda0 = sum(nosmoker\$deaths)/sum(nosmoker\$personyears)

2(b)

[1] 0.3545356

The estimate of β drops to 0.3545356.

2(c)

Shown from the two diagrams, the model in 2b is clearly not appropriate as there is a relationship between age and smoking. Using stratified parameterization for the new model.

```
##
      family = "poisson", data = doctors)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
   [1] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
##
## Coefficients:
                                       Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept)
                                        -9.1479
                                                    0.7071 -12.937 < 2e-16 ***
                                                             3.087 0.00203 **
## factor(age)45 to 54
                                         2.3574
                                                    0.7638
## factor(age)55 to 64
                                         3.8302
                                                    0.7319
                                                             5.233 1.67e-07 ***
## factor(age)65 to 74
                                                    0.7319
                                                             6.316 2.69e-10 ***
                                         4.6227
## factor(age)75 to 84
                                         5.2944
                                                    0.7296
                                                             7.257 3.96e-13 ***
                                                             2.397 0.01654 *
## factor(age)35 to 44:factor(smoking)1
                                         1.7469
                                                    0.7289
## factor(age)45 to 54:factor(smoking)1
                                                    0.3049
                                         0.7603
                                                             2.494 0.01264 *
## factor(age)55 to 64:factor(smoking)1
                                         0.3841
                                                    0.2014
                                                             1.907 0.05654 .
## factor(age)65 to 74:factor(smoking)1
                                         0.3046
                                                    0.2027
                                                             1.503 0.13295
## factor(age)75 to 84:factor(smoking)1
                                                    0.2051 -0.488 0.62543
                                       -0.1001
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 9.3507e+02 on 9 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 4.4409e-16 on 0 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 75.068
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
exp(summary(glm.out6)$coefficients["factor(age)65 to 74:factor(smoking)1","Estimate"])
```

[1] 1.35606

The mortality rate ratio is 1.3560598 and p-value is 0.13295.