Lecture 2 Part 1: Derivatives in Higher Dimensions: Jacobians and Matrix Functions

MIT 18.S096 Matrix Calculus For Machine Learning And Beyond

March 20, 2024

All definitions and theorems follow from Chapter 5 of Magnus and Neudecker (1988).

1 Vector-to-vector functions

Differentiability. Let f be a function defined on an open subset¹ $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ mapping to \mathbb{R}^m . Let x be an interior point of S and B(x,r) be an n-ball inside S (r>0). Let $dx \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $x + dx \in B(x,r)$. If there exists a real $m \times n$ matrix A, depending on x but not dx, such that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + A(\boldsymbol{x})(d\boldsymbol{x}) + r_{\boldsymbol{x}}(d\boldsymbol{x})$$

for all $d\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}, r)$ and

$$\lim_{d\boldsymbol{x}\to 0}\frac{r_{\boldsymbol{x}}(d\boldsymbol{x})}{\|d\boldsymbol{x}\|}=0,$$

then f is said to be differentiable at x.

Derivative. The matrix $A(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is called the (first) *derivative* of f at x. The *gradient* is simply the transpose of the derivative.

Magnus and Neudecker (1988) goes on to prove several important facts about differentiable functions:

- The derivative A(x) is unique.
- If f is differentiable at x, then f is continuous at x.
- If f is differentiable at x, then all partial derivatives

$$D_j f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \triangleq \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f_i(\boldsymbol{x} + t e_j) - f_i(\boldsymbol{x})}{t}$$

exist at \mathbf{x}^2 . Let $Df(\mathbf{x})$ be a matrix where the ij-entry is $D_j f_i(\mathbf{x})$, i.e., the Jacobian. One can show that $A(\mathbf{x}) = Df(\mathbf{x})$. This "reveals that the elements $a_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$ of the matrix $A(\mathbf{x})$ are, in fact, precisely the partial derivatives $D_j f_i(\mathbf{x})$ ".

2 A very simple example from the lecture

Too simple to be included.

^{1.} While a lot of functions are defined on the entire \mathbb{R}^n , a lot of functions aren't (e.g., $f(x) = 1 \oslash x$).

^{2.} The converse is not true. For the converse to be true, we must add the assumption that the partial derivatives are continuous at x. This result can be found in college-level calculus textbooks. See, for example, Chapter 14 Partial Derivatives in $Calculus - Early \ Transcendentals$ (8th edition) by James Stewart. I must admit that I didn't pay attention to this in college.

3 My first example

Function:

$$f(x) = x \odot x$$

Difference:

$$f(x+dx) - f(x) = (x+dx) \odot (x+dx) - x \odot x$$

$$= x \odot x + 2x \odot (dx) + (dx) \odot (dx) - x \odot x$$

$$= 2x \odot (dx) + (dx) \odot (dx)$$

$$= \operatorname{diag}(2x)(dx) + (dx) \odot (dx)$$

Differential:

$$df(\boldsymbol{x}; d\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{diag}(2\boldsymbol{x})(d\boldsymbol{x})$$

Gradient:

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{diag}(2\boldsymbol{x})$$

Verification:

derivative of
$$\begin{bmatrix} x & * & x \end{bmatrix}$$
 w.r.t. $\begin{bmatrix} x & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}$

$$rac{\partial}{\partial x}(x\odot x)=2\cdot \mathrm{diag}(x)$$

4 My second example

Function:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}$$

Difference:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x})(\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x})^T(\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x})(\boldsymbol{x}^T + (d\boldsymbol{x})^T)(\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T + \boldsymbol{x}(d\boldsymbol{x})^T + (d\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{x}^T + (d\boldsymbol{x})(d\boldsymbol{x})^T)(\boldsymbol{x} + d\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{x}(d\boldsymbol{x})^T\boldsymbol{x} + (d\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x} + (d\boldsymbol{x})(d\boldsymbol{x})^T\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T(d\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{x}(d\boldsymbol{x})^T(d\boldsymbol{x}) + (d\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{x}^T(d\boldsymbol{x}) + (d\boldsymbol{x})(d\boldsymbol{x})^T(d\boldsymbol{x})$$

Differential (the first line is actually what the product rule says):

$$\begin{aligned} df &= & \boldsymbol{x} (d\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{x} + (d\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T (d\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &= & \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T (d\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbb{I}(d\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T (d\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &= & (2\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T + (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}) \mathbb{I}) (d\boldsymbol{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Gradient:

$$\nabla f = 2\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T + (\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x})\mathbb{I}$$

Verification:

derivative of $\begin{bmatrix} x * x' * x \end{bmatrix}$ w.r.t. $\begin{bmatrix} x & \checkmark \end{bmatrix}$

$$rac{\partial}{\partial x}ig(x\cdot x^ op\cdot xig) = x^ op\cdot x\cdot \mathbb{I} + 2\cdot x\cdot x^ op$$

5 Chain rule: derivative of a composition of vector-to-vector functions

Theorem (chain rule). Let $f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ be differentiable. Then the composition function $h: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) is differentiable. Further, the Jacobian of h is

$$Dh(\boldsymbol{x}) = (Dg(\boldsymbol{y}))(Df(\boldsymbol{x})),$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Practical note. Suppose we need to multiply two Jacobians together. Their shapes are $(m \times q)$ and $(q \times p)$. There would be mp dot products of length q, which involves about mpq scalar operations in total. Now consider multiplying three Jacobians together. Their shapes are $(1 \times n)$, $(n \times n)$ and $(n \times n)$. This can be done in two opposite orders, each offering a different cost:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\left(1\times n\right),\left(n\times n\right)}{\left(1,n\right) \text{ with cost } n^2}}_{\left(1,p\right) \text{ with cost } n^2} \quad \text{vs.} \quad \underbrace{\frac{\left(1\times n\right),\left(n\times n\right),\left(n\times n\right)}{\left(n,n\right) \text{ with cost } n^3}}_{\left(1,p\right) \text{ with cost } n^2}$$

Clearly, the first way is cheaper, so order does matter here.

Theorem (Cauchy's rule of invariance). (Using the setup from the theorem above)

$$dh(\mathbf{x}; d\mathbf{x}) = dq(\mathbf{y}; df(\mathbf{x}; d\mathbf{x})).$$

Proof. From the theorem above, it follows that

$$dh(\mathbf{x}; d\mathbf{x}) = Dh(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= (Dg(\mathbf{y}))(Df(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= (Dg(\mathbf{y})) \underbrace{df(\mathbf{x}; d\mathbf{x})}_{d\mathbf{y}}$$

$$= dg(\mathbf{y}; df(\mathbf{x}; d\mathbf{x})).$$