

→ Core: Existence proofs

- construct a network with human-chosen weights that is able to solve a problem

exhaustive,
so no consideration
of generalization

- does not shine light
on how we should find
out these weights

1.1 1

- a) P: have reading assignment
Q: have HW problems
R: have a test

$$(P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg(Q \wedge R))$$

- b) P: go skiing
Q: there is snow

$$(\neg P) \vee (P \wedge \neg Q)$$

c) $P: \sqrt{7} \leq 2$
 $\neg P$

core: existence proofs

- construct a network with human-chosen weights that is able to solve a problem

exhaustive,
so no consideration
of generalization

- does not shine light
on how we should find
out those weights

1.1

4)

- a) P : Ralph is tall
 Q : Ed is tall
 R : Ralph is handsome
 S : Ed is handsome

$$(P \wedge Q) \vee (R \wedge S)$$

b) $(P \vee R) \wedge (Q \vee S)$

c) $(\neg(P \vee R)) \wedge (\neg(Q \vee S))$

d) $\neg((P \wedge R) \vee (Q \wedge S))$

1.1 6)

a) $\neg(P \wedge \neg S)$ (by De Morgan's law)
 $= \neg P \vee S$

I will not buy pants or I will buy shirts

b) $\neg P \wedge \neg S = \neg(P \vee S)$ (by De Morgan's law)
I will not buy pants or shirt.

c) $\neg P \vee \neg S = \neg(P \wedge S)$ (by De Morgan's law)
I will not buy pants and shirt.

→ every class's decision boundary is a hyperplane

→ core: existence proofs

- construct a network with human-chosen weights that is able to solve a problem

Limitations

- usually exhaustive, so no consideration of generalization
- does not shine light on how we should find out those weights

1.2

1. a) $\neg P \vee Q$

| P | Q | $\neg P$ | $\neg P \vee Q$ |
|---|---|----------|-----------------|
| T | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | F |
| F | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T |

b) $(S \vee G) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg G)$

| S | G | $S \vee G$ | $\neg S$ | $\neg G$ | $\neg S \vee \neg G$ | $(S \vee G) \wedge (\neg S \vee \neg G)$ |
|---|---|------------|----------|----------|----------------------|--|
| T | T | T | F | F | F | F |
| T | F | T | F | T | T | F |
| F | T | T | T | F | T | F |
| F | F | F | T | T | T | F |

1.2 4

PVG

According to De Morgan's law

$$PVG = \neg(P) \vee \neg(G)$$

$$= \neg(P \wedge G)$$

Truth tables

• PVG

| P | G | PVG |
|---|---|-----|
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

• $\neg(P \wedge G)$

| $\neg P$ | $\neg G$ | $\neg(P \wedge G)$ |
|----------|----------|--------------------|
| F | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| T | T | F |

and

| $\neg(P \wedge G)$ |
|--------------------|
| T |
| T |
| T |
| F |

→ convex (see page 81)

→ every class's decision boundary must touch (see page 80) and is a hyperplane

→ core: existence proofs

• construct a network with human-chosen weights that is able to solve a problem

Limitations

- usually exhaustive, so no consideration of generalization
- does not shine light on how we should find out those weights

1.2 8)

a) $(P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$

b) $\neg P \vee Q$

c) $(P \vee \neg Q) \wedge (Q \vee \neg P)$

d) $\neg(P \vee Q)$

e) $(Q \wedge P) \vee \neg P$

| P | Q | $P \wedge Q$ | $\neg P$ | $\neg Q$ | $\neg P \wedge \neg Q$ | $(P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$ |
|---|---|--------------|----------|----------|------------------------|--|
| T | T | T | F | F | F | T |
| T | F | F | F | T | F | F |
| F | T | F | T | F | F | F |
| F | F | F | T | T | T | T |

Using truth tables, we see that b) & e) are equivalent.

| P | Q | $\neg P$ | $\neg P \vee Q$ |
|---|---|----------|-----------------|
| T | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | F |
| F | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T |

$(P \vee \neg Q) \wedge (Q \vee \neg P)$

| P | Q | $\neg Q$ | $P \vee \neg Q$ | $\neg P$ | $Q \vee \neg P$ | $(P \vee \neg Q) \wedge (Q \vee \neg P)$ |
|---|---|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|--|
| T | T | F | T | F | T | T |
| T | F | T | T | F | F | F |
| F | T | F | F | T | T | F |
| F | F | T | T | T | T | T |

| P | Q | $P \vee Q$ | $\neg(P \vee Q)$ |
|---|---|------------|------------------|
| T | T | T | F |
| T | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F |
| F | F | F | T |

| P | Q | $Q \wedge P$ | $\neg P$ | $(Q \wedge P) \vee \neg P$ |
|---|---|--------------|----------|----------------------------|
| T | T | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | F | F |
| F | T | F | T | T |
| F | F | F | T | T |

→ core: existence proofs

- construct a network with human-chosen weights that is able to solve a problem

exhaustive,
so no consideration
of generalization

- does not shine light
on how we should find
out those weights

1.2 #9

a) $(P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$

| P | Q | $P \vee Q$ | $\neg P$ | $\neg Q$ | $\neg P \vee \neg Q$ | $(P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$ |
|---|---|------------|----------|----------|----------------------|--|
| T | T | T | F | F | F | F |
| T | F | T | F | T | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | F | T | T |
| F | F | F | T | T | T | F |

neither

b) $(P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$

(using
truth table
in a))

| $\neg P \wedge \neg Q$ | $(P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$ |
|------------------------|--|
| F | F |
| F | F |
| F | F |
| T | F |

contradiction

c) using truth table from a)

$(P \vee Q) \vee (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$

| |
|---|
| T |
| T |
| T |
| T |

tautology

d) tautology or $[P \wedge (Q \vee R)] \vee [\neg P \wedge \neg R]$

| P | Q | R | $\neg R$ | $Q \vee R$ | $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$ | $\neg P$ | $\neg R$ | $[P \wedge (Q \vee R)] \vee [\neg P \wedge \neg R]$ |
|---|---|---|----------|------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|---|
| T | T | T | F | T | T | F | F | T |
| T | T | F | T | T | T | F | T | T |
| T | F | T | F | F | F | F | F | F |
| T | F | F | T | F | F | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F | T | F | T | F | F |
| F | T | F | T | T | F | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | F | F | F | T | F | F |
| F | F | F | T | F | F | T | T | T |

1.2 #12

• does not shine light
on how we should find
out these weights

$$\begin{aligned} a) & \neg(\neg P \vee Q) \vee (P \wedge \neg R) \\ & \equiv (P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (P \wedge \neg R) \quad (\text{De Morgan's law 2}) \\ & \equiv P \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R) \quad (\text{distributive law}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b) & \neg(\neg P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge \neg R) \\ & \equiv (P \vee \neg Q) \vee (P \wedge \neg R) \quad (\text{De Morgan's law 1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c) & (P \wedge R) \vee [\neg R \wedge (P \vee Q)] \\ & \equiv [(P \wedge R) \vee (\neg R \wedge P)] \vee (\neg R \wedge Q) \quad (\text{distribute}) \\ & \equiv P \wedge (R \vee \neg R) \vee (\neg R \wedge Q) \\ & \quad \text{tautology} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \equiv P \vee (\neg R \wedge Q) \quad (\text{absorp.})$$

construct a network with human-chosen weights that is able to solve a problem

exhaustive,
so no consideration
of generalization

• does not shine light
on how we should find
out those weights

1.5 #1

- a) P: gas has unpleasant smell
Q: gas is explosive
R: gas is hydrogen

$$P \vee \neg Q \rightarrow \neg R$$

- b) P: George has fever
Q: George has headache
R: George should go to doctor

$$P \wedge Q \rightarrow R$$

- c) using the same propositions defined
in part b)

$$P \vee Q \rightarrow R$$

- d) P: $x = 2$
Q: x is odd
R: x is prime

$$\neg P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$$

For question 4, see
the end.

1.5 #7

a) $(P \rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R)$

$$\cong (\neg P \vee R) \wedge (\neg Q \vee R) \quad (\text{conditional law 1})$$

$$\cong (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee R \quad (\text{distributive law})$$

$$\cong \neg(P \vee Q) \vee R \quad (\text{De Morgan's law 2})$$

$$\cong (P \vee Q) \rightarrow R \quad (\text{conditional law 1})$$

b) Show that $(P \rightarrow R) \vee (Q \rightarrow R) \cong (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$.

$$(P \rightarrow R) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)$$

$$\cong (\neg P \vee R) \vee (\neg Q \vee R) \quad (\text{conditional law 1})$$

$$\cong \neg P \vee \neg Q \vee R \vee R \quad (\text{commutative law})$$

$$\cong (\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee R \quad (\text{idempotent law})$$

$$\cong \neg(P \wedge Q) \vee R \quad (\text{De Morgan's law 2})$$

$$\cong (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R \quad (\text{conditional law 1})$$

for question 8, see
the end

1.5 #9

$$P \wedge Q$$

$$\equiv \neg(\neg P) \wedge \neg(\neg Q)$$

$$\equiv \neg(\neg P \vee \neg Q) \quad (\text{De Morgan's law 2})$$

$$\equiv \neg(P \rightarrow \neg Q) \quad (\text{conditional law 1})$$

Homework for Math Structures

Monday, Week 1

Zhihan Yang

April 7, 2020

1 1.5 Question 4a

Propositions:

- P: Sales go up.
- Q: Expenses go up.
- R: Boss is happy.

Premises:

- Either sales or expenses will go up: $P \vee Q$.
- If sales go up, then the boss will be happy: $P \rightarrow R$.
- If expenses go up, then the boss will be unhappy: $Q \rightarrow \neg R$.

Argument: Sales and expenses will not both go up: $\neg(P \wedge Q)$.

| p | q | r | (((p \vee q) & (p \rightarrow r)) & (q \rightarrow \sim r)) | \sim q |
|---------|---------|---------|---|----------|
| T | T | T | \perp | \perp |
| T | T | \perp | \perp | \perp |
| T | \perp | T | T | T |
| T | \perp | \perp | \perp | T |
| \perp | T | T | \perp | T |
| \perp | T | \perp | T | T |
| \perp | \perp | T | \perp | T |
| \perp | \perp | \perp | \perp | T |

The 4th column shows the truth values for the 3 premises to be right at the same time. As we can see, when all premises are true (row 3 and 6), the argument is always true. Therefore, the argument is valid.

2 1.5 Question 4b

Propositions:

- P: Tax rate goes up.
- Q: Unemployment rate goes up.
- R: There is a recession.
- S: GDP goes up.

Premises:

- If the tax rate and the employment rate both go up, then there will be a recession:
 $P \wedge Q \rightarrow R$.
- If the GDP goes up, then there will not be a recession: $S \rightarrow \neg R$.
- The GDP and taxes are both going up: $S \wedge P$.

Argument: The employment rate is not going up: $\neg Q$.

| P | Q | R | S | ((P & Q) → R) | (S → ~ R) | (S & P) | ~ Q |
|---|---|---|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-----|
| T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | T | T | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ |
| T | T | ⊥ | T | T | T | T | ⊥ |
| T | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | T | T | ⊥ | ⊥ |
| T | ⊥ | T | T | T | ⊥ | T | T |
| T | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | T |
| T | ⊥ | ⊥ | T | T | T | T | ⊥ |
| T | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | T | T | ⊥ | ⊥ |
| ⊥ | T | T | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | T |
| ⊥ | T | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | T |
| ⊥ | T | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | ⊥ |
| ⊥ | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | ⊥ |
| ⊥ | ⊥ | T | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | T |
| ⊥ | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ |
| ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | T |
| ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | ⊥ | T | ⊥ | ⊥ |

The 7 seventh row shows that the argument $\neg Q$ is always true when all premises are true. Therefore, $\neg Q$ is a valid argument. (The truth values of the premises are shown in red.)

3 1.5 Question 4c

Propositions:

- P: Warning light comes.
- Q: The pressure is too high.
- R: The relief valve is clogged.

Premises:

- The warning light will come if and only if the pressure is too high and the relief valve is clogged: $P \iff Q \wedge R$.
- The relief valve is not clogged: $\neg R$.

Argument: The warning light will come on if and only if the pressure is too high: $P \iff Q$.

| P | Q | R | (| P | \leftrightarrow | (| Q | & | R |) |) | \sim | R | (| P | \leftrightarrow | Q |) |
|---------|---------|---------|---|---------|-------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---|---|---------|---------|---|---------|-------------------|---------|---|
| T | T | T | | T | T | | T | T | T | | | \perp | T | | T | T | T | |
| T | T | \perp | | T | \perp | | T | \perp | \perp | | | T | \perp | | T | T | T | |
| T | \perp | T | | T | \perp | | \perp | \perp | T | | | \perp | T | | T | \perp | \perp | |
| T | \perp | \perp | | T | \perp | | \perp | \perp | \perp | | | T | \perp | | T | \perp | \perp | |
| \perp | T | T | | \perp | \perp | | T | T | T | | | \perp | T | | \perp | \perp | T | |
| \perp | T | \perp | | \perp | T | | T | \perp | \perp | | | T | \perp | | \perp | \perp | T | |
| \perp | \perp | T | | \perp | T | | \perp | \perp | T | | | \perp | T | | \perp | T | \perp | |
| \perp | \perp | \perp | | \perp | T | | \perp | \perp | \perp | | | T | \perp | | \perp | T | \perp | |

The 6th row shows that, even when both premises are truth, it is possible for the argument to be false. Therefore, the argument $P \iff Q$ is invalid.

4 1.5 Question 8a

The truth table for $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R)$ looks like:

| P | Q | R | (| (| P | \rightarrow | Q |) | & | (| Q | \rightarrow | R |) |) |
|---------|---------|---------|---|---|---------|---------------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---------------|---------|---|---|
| T | T | T | | | T | T | T | | T | | T | T | T | | |
| T | T | \perp | | | T | T | T | | \perp | | T | \perp | \perp | | |
| T | \perp | T | | | T | \perp | \perp | | \perp | | \perp | T | T | | |
| T | \perp | \perp | | | T | \perp | \perp | | \perp | | \perp | T | \perp | | |
| \perp | T | T | | | \perp | T | T | | T | | T | T | T | | |
| \perp | T | \perp | | | \perp | T | T | | \perp | | T | \perp | \perp | | |
| \perp | \perp | T | | | \perp | T | \perp | | T | | \perp | T | T | | |
| \perp | \perp | \perp | | | \perp | T | \perp | | T | | \perp | T | \perp | | |

The truth table for $(P \rightarrow R) \wedge [(P \iff Q) \vee (R \iff Q)]$ looks like:

| P | Q | R | (| (| P | \rightarrow | R |) | & | (| (| P | \rightarrow | Q |) | \vee | (| R | \rightarrow | Q |) |) |) |
|---------|---------|---------|---|---|---------|---------------|---------|---|---------|---|---|---------|---------------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---------------|---------|---|---|---|
| T | T | T | | | T | T | T | | T | | | T | T | T | | T | | T | T | T | | | |
| T | T | \perp | | | T | \perp | \perp | | \perp | | | T | T | T | | T | | \perp | T | T | | | |
| T | \perp | T | | | T | T | T | | \perp | | | T | \perp | \perp | | \perp | | T | \perp | \perp | | | |
| T | \perp | \perp | | | T | \perp | \perp | | \perp | | | T | \perp | \perp | | T | | \perp | T | \perp | | | |
| \perp | T | T | | | \perp | T | T | | T | | | \perp | T | T | | T | | T | T | T | | | |
| \perp | T | \perp | | | \perp | T | \perp | | T | | | \perp | T | T | | T | | \perp | T | T | | | |
| \perp | \perp | T | | | \perp | T | T | | T | | | \perp | T | \perp | | T | | T | \perp | \perp | | | |
| \perp | \perp | \perp | | | \perp | T | \perp | | T | | | \perp | T | \perp | | T | | \perp | T | \perp | | | |

Since their truth tables look identical, we have shown that the two logical expressions are equivalent.

5 1.5 Question 8b

The truth table for $(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)$ looks like:

| P | Q | R | ((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)) |
|---------|---------|---------|--|
| T | T | T | T |
| T | T | \perp | T |
| T | \perp | T | \perp |
| T | \perp | \perp | \perp |
| \perp | T | T | T |
| \perp | T | \perp | T |
| \perp | \perp | T | T |
| \perp | \perp | \perp | T |

Since all truth values are true, we have shown that $(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)$ is a tautology.