Lecture 2: Paths, Cycles, Connectivity

Dr. George Mertzios

george.mertzios@durham.ac.uk

1/17

2/17

- A graph G is a pair (V(G), E(G)), where
 - V(G) is a nonempty set of vertices (or nodes),
 - E(G) is a set of unordered pairs uv with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $u \neq v$, called the edges of G.

- A graph G is a pair (V(G), E(G)), where
 - V(G) is a nonempty set of vertices (or nodes),
 - E(G) is a set of unordered pairs uv with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $u \neq v$, called the edges of G.
- When G is clear from the context, we write simply V and E.

- A graph G is a pair (V(G), E(G)), where
 - V(G) is a nonempty set of vertices (or nodes),
 - E(G) is a set of unordered pairs uv with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $u \neq v$, called the edges of G.
- When G is clear from the context, we write simply V and E.
- Graphs can be directed, multi-, pseudo-, weighted. By default they are not.

- A graph G is a pair (V(G), E(G)), where
 - V(G) is a nonempty set of vertices (or nodes),
 - E(G) is a set of unordered pairs uv with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $u \neq v$, called the edges of G.
- When G is clear from the context, we write simply V and E.
- Graphs can be directed, multi-, pseudo-, weighted. By default they are not.
- Many problems can be modelled using graphs.

- A graph G is a pair (V(G), E(G)), where
 - V(G) is a nonempty set of vertices (or nodes),
 - E(G) is a set of unordered pairs uv with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $u \neq v$, called the edges of G.
- When G is clear from the context, we write simply V and E.
- Graphs can be directed, multi-, pseudo-, weighted. By default they are not.
- Many problems can be modelled using graphs.
- We can draw graphs:
 - represent each vertex u by a point, and
 - each edge uv by a line or curve connecting u and v.

- A graph G is a pair (V(G), E(G)), where
 - V(G) is a nonempty set of vertices (or nodes),
 - E(G) is a set of unordered pairs uv with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $u \neq v$, called the edges of G.
- When G is clear from the context, we write simply V and E.
- Graphs can be directed, multi-, pseudo-, weighted. By default they are not.
- Many problems can be modelled using graphs.
- We can draw graphs:
 - represent each vertex u by a point, and
 - each edge uv by a line or curve connecting u and v.
- Paths, cycles, bipartite graphs, complete graphs, hypercubes

Contents for today's lecture


- Paths and directed paths;
- The shortest path problem;
- Connectivity and connected components;
- Eulerian and Hamiltonian cycles;
- Examples and exercises.

- A walk in a graph G is a sequence of edges $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a walk in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n in G is a path if all v_i 's are distinct. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a path in G.

- A walk in a graph G is a sequence of edges $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a walk in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n in G is a path if all v_i 's are distinct. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a path in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n with $v_0 = v_n$ is called a circuit or closed walk.

- A walk in a graph G is a sequence of edges $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a walk in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n in G is a path if all v_i 's are distinct. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a path in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n with $v_0 = v_n$ is called a circuit or closed walk.
- A closed walk is a cycle (or simple circuit) if all v_i 's in it are distinct except $v_0 = v_n$.


- A walk in a graph G is a sequence of edges $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a walk in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n in G is a path if all v_i 's are distinct. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a path in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n with $v_0 = v_n$ is called a circuit or closed walk.
- A closed walk is a cycle (or simple circuit) if all v_i 's in it are distinct except $v_0 = v_a$.
- If G is a directed graph then the directed paths and directed cycles are defined in a natural way, with each edge being directed from v_i to v_{i+1}.




- A walk in a graph G is a sequence of edges $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a walk in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n in G is a path if all v_i 's are distinct. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a path in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n with $v_0 = v_n$ is called a circuit or closed walk.
- A closed walk is a cycle (or simple circuit) if all v_i 's in it are distinct except $v_0 = v_n$.
- If G is a directed graph then the directed paths and directed cycles are defined in a natural way, with each edge being directed from v_i to v_{i+1} .
- The length of a path or a cycle is the number of edges in it.

- A walk in a graph G is a sequence of edges $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a walk in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n in G is a path if all v_i 's are distinct. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a path in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n with $v_0 = v_n$ is called a circuit or closed walk.
- A closed walk is a cycle (or simple circuit) if all v_i 's in it are distinct except $v_0 = v_n$.
- If G is a directed graph then the directed paths and directed cycles are defined in a natural way, with each edge being directed from v_i to v_{i+1} .
- The length of a path or a cycle is the number of edges in it.
- The distance between vertices u and v in a graph, denoted dist(u, v), is the length of a shortest path from u to v if such a path exists, and ∞ otherwise.


- A walk in a graph G is a sequence of edges $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a walk in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n in G is a path if all v_i 's are distinct. In this case we also say that v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n is a path in G.
- A walk v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n with $v_0 = v_n$ is called a circuit or closed walk.
- A closed walk is a cycle (or simple circuit) if all v_i 's in it are distinct except $v_0 = v_n$.
- If G is a directed graph then the directed paths and directed cycles are defined in a natural way, with each edge being directed from v_i to v_{i+1}.
- The length of a path or a cycle is the number of edges in it.
- The distance between vertices u and v in a graph, denoted dist(u, v), is the length of a shortest path from u to v if such a path exists, and ∞ otherwise.
- The diameter of a graph is the largest distance between two vertices in it




• Does this graph contain a path of length 7?




• Does this graph contain a path of length 7?




- Does this graph contain a path of length 7?
- Does it contain a cycle of length 7?



- Does this graph contain a path of length 7?
- Does it contain a cycle of length 7?




- Does this graph contain a path of length 7?
- Does it contain a cycle of length 7?
- What is the distance from 2 to 6?



- Does this graph contain a path of length 7?
- Does it contain a cycle of length 7?
- What is the distance from 2 to 6?

5/17



- Does this graph contain a path of length 7?
- Does it contain a cycle of length 7?
- What is the distance from 2 to 6?
- What is the diameter of this graph?

The acquaintance graph:

- The vertices are all people
- There is an edge between two of them if they are acquainted





6/17

The acquaintance graph:

- The vertices are all people
- There is an edge between two of them if they are acquainted





 Many sociologists conjectured/observed that this graph has diameter 6, after removing a tiny fraction of vertices.

The acquaintance graph:

- The vertices are all people
- There is an edge between two of them if they are acquainted





 Many sociologists conjectured/observed that this graph has diameter 6, after removing a tiny fraction of vertices. (Can you put this in plain words?)

The acquaintance graph:

- The vertices are all people
- There is an edge between two of them if they are acquainted





- Many sociologists conjectured/observed that this graph has diameter 6, after removing a tiny fraction of vertices. (Can you put this in plain words?)
- This is known as the "small world phenomenon".

The acquaintance graph:

- The vertices are all people
- There is an edge between two of them if they are acquainted





- Many sociologists conjectured/observed that this graph has diameter 6, after removing a tiny fraction of vertices. (Can you put this in plain words?)
- This is known as the "small world phenomenon".
- There is a popular play (and a film) based on this, called "Six degrees of separation".

Algorithms and Data Structures

The collaboration graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only scientists)
- There is an edge between two of them if they have written a joint paper

7/17

The collaboration graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only scientists)
- There is an edge between two of them if they have written a joint paper



• Paul Erdös (1913-1996) was a great and extremely prolific mathematician.

The collaboration graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only scientists)
- There is an edge between two of them if they have written a joint paper



- Paul Erdös (1913-1996) was a great and extremely prolific mathematician.
- The Erdös number of a person is the distance to Paul Erdös in the collaboration graph.

The collaboration graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only scientists)
- There is an edge between two of them if they have written a joint paper



- Paul Erdös (1913-1996) was a great and extremely prolific mathematician.
- The Erdös number of a person is the distance to Paul Erdös in the collaboration graph.
- Btw, my Erdös number is 2. (Can you put this in plain words?)

The Hollywood graph and the Bacon number

The Hollywood graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only actors).
- There is an edge between two of them if they appeared in the same film.

The Hollywood graph and the Bacon number

The Hollywood graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only actors).
- There is an edge between two of them if they appeared in the same film.



Kevin Bacon is a famous Hollywood actor.

The Hollywood graph and the Bacon number

The Hollywood graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only actors).
- There is an edge between two of them if they appeared in the same film.



- Kevin Bacon is a famous Hollywood actor.
- The Bacon number of a person is the distance to Kevin Bacon in the Hollywood graph.

The Hollywood graph and the Bacon number

The Hollywood graph:

- The vertices are all people (or only actors).
- There is an edge between two of them if they appeared in the same film.



- Kevin Bacon is a famous Hollywood actor.
- The Bacon number of a person is the distance to Kevin Bacon in the Hollywood graph.
- ullet About 90% of actors have a Bacon number (i.e. the distance is not ∞)

Definition

For any person, their Erdös-Bacon number is the sum of their Erdös number and their Bacon number.

9/17

Definition

For any person, their Erdös-Bacon number is the sum of their Erdös number and their Bacon number.

There are not many people with a small Erdös-Bacon number.

9/17

Definition

For any person, their Erdös-Bacon number is the sum of their Erdös number and their Bacon number.

There are not many people with a small Erdös-Bacon number.

For example, the following people have Erdös-Bacon number 7 or less.

Definition

For any person, their Erdös-Bacon number is the sum of their Erdös number and their Bacon number.

There are not many people with a small Erdös-Bacon number.

For example, the following people have Erdös-Bacon number 7 or less.



Definition

For any person, their Erdös-Bacon number is the sum of their Erdös number and their Bacon number.

There are not many people with a small Erdös-Bacon number.

For example, the following people have Erdös-Bacon number 7 or less.





Definition

For any person, their Erdös-Bacon number is the sum of their Erdös number and their Bacon number.

There are not many people with a small Erdös-Bacon number.

For example, the following people have Erdös-Bacon number 7 or less.







• Btw, my Erdös-Bacon number is ∞ , but I have a colleague who has a co-author (Hubie Chen) with Erdös-Bacon number 5 (3+2)


In a graph (possibly with edge weights, the problem of computing a path from a given vertex u ("source") to a given vertex v ("target") with the smallest total length (or weight) is known as the shortest-path problem.

In a graph (possibly with edge weights, the problem of computing a path from a given vertex u ("source") to a given vertex v ("target") with the smallest total length (or weight) is known as the shortest-path problem.

We all often (use software applications that) compute such paths. Example?


In a graph (possibly with edge weights, the problem of computing a path from a given vertex u ("source") to a given vertex v ("target") with the smallest total length (or weight) is known as the shortest-path problem.

We all often (use software applications that) compute such paths. Example?



In a graph (possibly with edge weights, the problem of computing a path from a given vertex u ("source") to a given vertex v ("target") with the smallest total length (or weight) is known as the shortest-path problem.

We all often (use software applications that) compute such paths. Example?




We will learn about algorithms for the (unweighted) problem in a few lectures.

10 / 17

Definition

A graph G = (V, E) is called connected if, between every pair of vertices u, v, there exists at least one path in G.

A connected component of G is a maximal connected subgraph of G.




Is this graph connected?

Definition

A graph G = (V, E) is called connected if, between every pair of vertices u, v, there exists at least one path in G.

A connected component of G is a maximal connected subgraph of G.




What about this graph?

Definition

A graph G = (V, E) is called connected if, between every pair of vertices u, v, there exists at least one path in G.

A connected component of G is a maximal connected subgraph of G.




What about this graph?

Definition

A graph G = (V, E) is called connected if, between every pair of vertices u, v, there exists at least one path in G.

A connected component of G is a maximal connected subgraph of G.



How many connected components does this graph have?

Theorem

Every graph G = (V, E) contains at least |V| - |E| connected components.

Theorem

Every graph G = (V, E) contains at least |V| - |E| connected components.

Proof.

By induction over m = |E|.



Theorem

Every graph G = (V, E) contains at least |V| - |E| connected components.

Proof.

By induction over m = |E|.

Induction basis: m=0. No edges, so only isolated vertices, i.e. |V| connected components.

Theorem

Every graph G = (V, E) contains at least |V| - |E| connected components.

Proof.

By induction over m = |E|.

Induction basis: m = 0. No edges, so only isolated vertices, i.e. |V| connected components.

Induction step: Let G=(V,E) with |E|=m+1. Consider an arbitrary $e\in E$, and define $E'=E\setminus \{e\}$. By induction hypothesis: G'=(V,E') has at least |V|-|E'|=|V|-m connected components. Two cases:

- With e, the number of connected components does not change.
- With e, the number of connected components ???

Theorem

Every graph G = (V, E) contains at least |V| - |E| connected components.

Proof.

By induction over m = |E|.

Induction basis: m = 0. No edges, so only isolated vertices, i.e. |V| connected components.

Induction step: Let G=(V,E) with |E|=m+1. Consider an arbitrary $e\in E$, and define $E'=E\setminus \{e\}$. By induction hypothesis: G'=(V,E') has at least |V|-|E'|=|V|-m connected components. Two cases:

- With e, the number of connected components does not change.
- ② With e, the number of connected components decreases by 1.

Theorem

Every graph G = (V, E) contains at least |V| - |E| connected components.

Proof.

By induction over m = |E|.

Induction basis: m=0. No edges, so only isolated vertices, i.e. |V| connected components.

Induction step: Let G=(V,E) with |E|=m+1. Consider an arbitrary $e\in E$, and define $E'=E\setminus \{e\}$. By induction hypothesis: G'=(V,E') has at least |V|-|E'|=|V|-m connected components. Two cases:

- With e, the number of connected components does not change.
- ② With e, the number of connected components decreases by 1.

In both cases, G has at least |V| - m - 1 = |V| - |E| connected components.

Theorem

Every graph G = (V, E) contains at least |V| - |E| connected components.

Proof.

By induction over m = |E|.

Induction basis: m=0. No edges, so only isolated vertices, i.e. |V| connected components.

Induction step: Let G=(V,E) with |E|=m+1. Consider an arbitrary $e\in E$, and define $E'=E\setminus \{e\}$. By induction hypothesis: G'=(V,E') has at least |V|-|E'|=|V|-m connected components. Two cases:

- With e, the number of connected components does not change.
- ② With e, the number of connected components decreases by 1.

In both cases, G has at least |V| - m - 1 = |V| - |E| connected components.

Corollary (useful in various algorithmic proofs)

If G = (V, E) is connected then $|E| \ge |V| - 1$.

Exercise 1: Prove that if G is a graph on n vertices and $\delta(G) \geq (n-1)/2$ then G is connected.

• Proof by contradiction: Assume G is not connected, derive a contradiction.

- Proof by contradiction: Assume G is not connected, derive a contradiction.
- Take two vertices u and v in different connected components.

- ullet Proof by contradiction: Assume G is not connected, derive a contradiction.
- Take two vertices *u* and *v* in different connected components.
- We have that $deg(u) \ge (n-1)/2$ and $deg(v) \ge (n-1)/2$.

- ullet Proof by contradiction: Assume G is not connected, derive a contradiction.
- Take two vertices *u* and *v* in different connected components.
- We have that $deg(u) \ge (n-1)/2$ and $deg(v) \ge (n-1)/2$.
- The set N(u) contains none of the neighbours of v nor v itself.

- Proof by contradiction: Assume G is not connected, derive a contradiction.
- Take two vertices *u* and *v* in different connected components.
- We have that $deg(u) \ge (n-1)/2$ and $deg(v) \ge (n-1)/2$.
- The set N(u) contains none of the neighbours of v nor v itself.
- Similarly, N(v) contains none of the neighbours of u nor u itself.

- Proof by contradiction: Assume G is not connected, derive a contradiction.
- Take two vertices u and v in different connected components.
- We have that $deg(u) \ge (n-1)/2$ and $deg(v) \ge (n-1)/2$.
- The set N(u) contains none of the neighbours of v nor v itself.
- Similarly, N(v) contains none of the neighbours of u nor u itself.
- Hence G contains at least $\frac{n-1}{2} + 1 + \frac{n-1}{2} + 1 = n+1$ vertices, a contradiction.

- Proof by contradiction: Assume *G* is not connected, derive a contradiction.
- Take two vertices *u* and *v* in different connected components.
- We have that $deg(u) \ge (n-1)/2$ and $deg(v) \ge (n-1)/2$.
- The set N(u) contains none of the neighbours of v nor v itself.
- Similarly, N(v) contains none of the neighbours of u nor u itself.
- Hence G contains at least $\frac{n-1}{2} + 1 + \frac{n-1}{2} + 1 = n+1$ vertices, a contradiction.
- In fact, we even proved more: any two vertices in G are at distance at most 2 (so the diameter of G is at most 2).

Exercise 2: Let G be a connected graph. Let v a vertex of degree 1 in G. Prove that the graph G - v (obtained from G by deleting v and its incident edge) is also connected.

Exercise 3: Let G = (V, E) be graph on n vertices. The complement graph \overline{G} is obtained from K_n by deleting all the edges that belong to E. Prove that at least one G and \overline{G} must be connected.


Strong connectivity

Definition

A directed graph G is called (weakly) connected if the graph obtained from G by forgetting directions is connected.

A directed graph is called strongly connected if any two distinct vertices are connected by directed paths in both directions.

A strongly connected component (or simply strong component) of a digraph G is a maximal strongly connected subgraph of G.



Is this graph strongly connected?


Strong connectivity

Definition

A directed graph G is called (weakly) connected if the graph obtained from G by forgetting directions is connected.

A directed graph is called strongly connected if any two distinct vertices are connected by directed paths in both directions.

A strongly connected component (or simply strong component) of a digraph G is a maximal strongly connected subgraph of G.



Is this graph strongly connected?

15 / 17

Important large graphs tend to have similar connectivity properties:

See the Stanford Large Networks Dataset Collection:

https://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html

Important large graphs tend to have similar connectivity properties:

See the Stanford Large Networks Dataset Collection: https://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html

 $\mbox{Key: WCC} = \mbox{weakly connected component, SCC} = \mbox{strongly} \ ...$

Important large graphs tend to have similar connectivity properties:

See the Stanford Large Networks Dataset Collection: https://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html

 $\label{eq:Key:WCC} \text{Key: WCC} = \text{weakly connected component, SCC} = \text{strongly } \dots$

• Web graph: 50% SCC, 91% WCC

Important large graphs tend to have similar connectivity properties:

See the Stanford Large Networks Dataset Collection: https://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html

 $\label{eq:Key:WCC} \text{Key: WCC} = \text{weakly connected component, SCC} = \text{strongly } \dots$

- Web graph: 50% SCC, 91% WCC
- Amazon co-purchase graph: 91% SCC, 100% WCC

Important large graphs tend to have similar connectivity properties:

See the Stanford Large Networks Dataset Collection: https://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html

Key: WCC = weakly connected component, SCC = strongly ...

- Web graph: 50% SCC, 91% WCC
- Amazon co-purchase graph: 91% SCC, 100% WCC
- Wikipedia talk graph: 48% SCC, 100% WCC

Important large graphs tend to have similar connectivity properties:

See the Stanford Large Networks Dataset Collection: https://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html

Key: WCC = weakly connected component, SCC = strongly ...

- Web graph: 50% SCC, 91% WCC
- Amazon co-purchase graph: 91% SCC, 100% WCC
- Wikipedia talk graph: 48% SCC, 100% WCC
- Facebook graph: 100% WCC

Important large graphs tend to have similar connectivity properties:

See the Stanford Large Networks Dataset Collection: https://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html

 $\mbox{Key: WCC} = \mbox{weakly connected component, SCC} = \mbox{strongly} \ ...$

- Web graph: 50% SCC, 91% WCC
- Amazon co-purchase graph: 91% SCC, 100% WCC
- Wikipedia talk graph: 48% SCC, 100% WCC
- Facebook graph: 100% WCC
- Google+ graph: 61% SCC, 100% WCC
- ...

• Can we travel along the edges of a given graph *G* so that we start and finish at the same vertex and traverse each edge exactly once?

- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph *G* so that we start and finish at the same vertex and traverse each edge exactly once?
 - Such a circuit in G is called a Eulerian circuit, after Leonhard Euler (1707-83).

- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph *G* so that we start and finish at the same vertex and traverse each edge exactly once?
 - Such a circuit in G is called a Eulerian circuit, after Leonhard Euler (1707-83).



- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph *G* so that we start and finish at the same vertex and traverse each edge exactly once?
 - Such a circuit in G is called a Eulerian circuit, after Leonhard Euler (1707-83).




• Can we travel along the edges of a given graph so that we start and finish at the same vertex and visit each vertex exactly once?

- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph *G* so that we start and finish at the same vertex and traverse each edge exactly once?
 - Such a circuit in G is called a Eulerian circuit, after Leonhard Euler (1707-83).



- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph so that we start and finish at the same vertex and visit each vertex exactly once?
 - Such a cycle is called a Hamiltonian cycle, after William Hamilton (1805-65).


- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph *G* so that we start and finish at the same vertex and traverse each edge exactly once?
 - Such a circuit in G is called a Eulerian circuit, after Leonhard Euler (1707-83).



- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph so that we start and finish at the same vertex and visit each vertex exactly once?
 - Such a cycle is called a Hamiltonian cycle, after William Hamilton (1805-65).



- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph *G* so that we start and finish at the same vertex and traverse each edge exactly once?
 - Such a circuit in G is called a Eulerian circuit, after Leonhard Euler (1707-83).



- Can we travel along the edges of a given graph so that we start and finish at the same vertex and visit each vertex exactly once?
 - Such a cycle is called a Hamiltonian cycle, after William Hamilton (1805-65).



 Detecting one of these two types of circuits is easy, while detecting the other is not easy at all. Which is which?