Assignment 2

Jana Dunfield

due: Friday, 2022–02–11

If you wish, you may work in a group of up to 3 on this assignment. Only one member needs to submit the assignment (but make sure at least one sof you does), but **each** group member must **also** submit a brief statement, listing all the group members and describing the approximate division of labour between group members, including an estimate of how many hours you spent. For example, if you are in a group of 2, we need one assignment plus two statements, submitted separately.

If you are working alone, you may write an estimate the time you spent, but it is not required (and no separate statement is required).

ID number(s): 20311734

Name(s): Zhimin Zhao

▢ Late policy

Assignments may be submitted up to 24 hours late with no penalty.

▢ Scanning

Submitting a legible scan is acceptable, but please ensure that the total file size is less than 30 MB. It is preferable to combine pages into a single PDF file.

1 Language extension

Consider the following language, defined by a grammar and a big-step evaluation judgment. The big-step evaluation given is incomplete, in the informal sense that it has no rules saying how to evaluate $(\text{SqPlusOne } e)$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text{integers} & n \\
\text{values} & v ::= n \\
\text{expressions} & e ::= n \\
& \quad\quad\ |\ (+\ e_1\ e_2) \\
& \quad\quad\ |\ (\text{SqPlusOne } e)
\end{array}
$$

$\boxed{e \Downarrow v}$ expression e evaluates to value v

$$
\frac{}{n \Downarrow n}\ \text{eval-const}
\qquad\qquad
\frac{e_1 \Downarrow n_1 \qquad e_2 \Downarrow n_2}{(+\ e_1\ e_2) \Downarrow n_1 + n_2}\ \text{eval-add}
$$

Question 1(a). Roughly following the structure of eval-add, design a rule "eval-sqplusone" such that evaluating $(\text{SqPlusOne } e)$ will evaluate e, square the result, and add one. Similar to how we use the standard mathematical notation for addition, $n_1 + n_2$, in eval-add, you may use the notation n^2 for the square of n.

$$
\frac{e \Downarrow n}{(\text{SqPlusOne } e) \Downarrow n^2 + 1}\ \text{eval-sqplusone}
$$

2 Proof techniques

These questions are not about complete proofs. In some of the questions, the conjecture is not even true, or you have not been given enough information to do a complete proof. Instead, they ask you to make progress on several different proof attempts by using a specific proof technique.

In all of these questions, the grammar of expressions is the *extended* grammar (Section 1), and the system of rules deriving $e \Downarrow v$ includes the *three* rules eval-const, eval-add, eval-sqplusone.

Question 2(a). Using the extended grammar of expressions (Section 1), list the cases produced by *case analysis on e_2*. The cases must correspond to the grammar. Please do not attempt to complete the proof.

Conjecture.
For all expressions e_1, e_2 and e_3,
if $(+\ e_1\ e_3) \Downarrow v$ and $(\texttt{SqPlusOne}\ e_2) \Downarrow v + 1$
then $v = 42$.

Proof. Consider cases of e.

- Case $e = n$

- Case $e = (+\ e'\ e'')$

- Case $e = (\texttt{SqPlusOne}\ e')$

$\square$

Question 2(b). Suppose that we want to attempt to prove the above conjecture by induction on e_3. Write the appropriate induction hypothesis.

For all expressions e_1, e_2 and e_3', such that $e_3' \prec e_3$, if $(+\ e_1\ e_3') \Downarrow v$ and $(\texttt{SqPlusOne}\ e_2) \Downarrow v+1$, then $v = 42$.

Question 2(c). In this question, your goal is to derive

$$(+\ (+\ e_{11}\ 7)\ e_2) \Downarrow 7$$

The following lines are given. Use equations, arithmetic, and the rules eval-const, eval-add to derive the goal.

$e_{11} \Downarrow n_{11}$	Given
$e_2 \Downarrow n_2$	Given
$n_{11} = -1$	Given
$n_2 = 1$	Given
$e_{11} \Downarrow -1$	By arithmetic
$(+\ e_{11}\ 7) \Downarrow -1 + 7$	By eval-add
$(+\ e_{11}\ 7) \Downarrow 6$	By arithmetic
$e_2 \Downarrow 1$	By arithmetic
$(+\ (+\ e_{11}\ 7)\ e_2) \Downarrow 6 + 1$	By eval-add
$(+\ (+\ e_{11}\ 7)\ e_2) \Downarrow 7$	By arithmetic

Question 2(d). In this question, use *inversion*: write down all the facts given by inverting on rule eval-sqplusone. (I can't give you a specific goal because what you get depends on your rule, eval-sqplusone.)

$e \Downarrow n$	By assumption
$(\text{SqPlusOne}\ e) \Downarrow n'$	By assumption
$(\text{SqPlusOne}\ e) \Downarrow n^2 + 1$	By eval-sqplusone
$n' = n^2 + 1$	By arithmetic
$n = \pm\sqrt{n' - 1}$	By arithmetic
$e_1 \Downarrow \pm\sqrt{n_1 - 1}$	By arithmetic

3 Typing

$$\text{types} \quad A ::= \text{nat}$$
$$| \text{ int}$$

$\boxed{e : A}$ expression e has type A

$$\frac{n \geq 0}{n : \text{nat}} \text{ type-natconst} \qquad \frac{}{n : \text{int}} \text{ type-const}$$

$$\frac{e : \text{int}}{(\text{SqPlusOne } e) : \text{nat}} \text{ type-sqplusone} \qquad \frac{e_1 : \text{int} \quad e_2 : \text{int}}{(+ \ e_1 \ e_2) : \text{int}} \text{ type-add} \qquad \frac{e : \text{nat}}{e : \text{int}} \text{ type-sub}$$

Prove the following conjecture. **Hint**: Use induction.

Conjecture 3.1.
For all expressions e, it is the case that e : int.

Proof. By induction on e.
induction hypothesis: for all expression e' and e', such that $e' \prec e$, it is the case that e':int
Consider cases of e':

- Case $e = n$
    $e : \text{int}$ By arithmetic

- Case $e = (+ \ e_1 \ e_2)$
$e_1 : \text{int}$	By IH
$e_2 : \text{int}$	By IH
$(+ \ e_1 \ e_2) : \text{int}$	By type-add
$e : \text{int}$	By arithmetic

- Case $e = (\text{SqPlusOne } e')$
$e' : \text{int}$	By IH
$(\text{SqPlusOne } e') : \text{nat}$	By type-sqplusone
$(\text{SqPlusOne } e') : \text{int}$	By type-sub
$e : \text{int}$	By arithmetic

$\square$

4 Natural deduction

A simplified system of natural deduction, omitting both kinds of quantification, is shown in Figure 1. This is the starting point for this question.

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{atomic formulas} & \mathsf{P, Q} \\ \text{formulas} & \mathsf{A, B, C} ::= \mathsf{P} \mid \mathsf{A} \supset \mathsf{B} \mid \mathsf{A} \wedge \mathsf{B} \mid \mathsf{A} \vee \mathsf{B} \mid \mathsf{True} \mid \mathsf{False} \mid \neg \mathsf{A} \end{array}$$

$\boxed{\mathsf{A\ true}}$ A is true

$$\dfrac{\begin{array}{c} x\big[\mathsf{A\ true}\big] \\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{B\ true}\end{array}}{(\mathsf{A} \supset \mathsf{B})\ \mathsf{true}}\supset\!\mathrm{Intro}^{x} \qquad\qquad \dfrac{\mathsf{A} \supset \mathsf{B}\ \mathsf{true} \qquad \mathsf{A\ true}}{\mathsf{B\ true}}\supset\!\mathrm{Elim}$$

$$\dfrac{\ }{\mathsf{True\ true}}\mathrm{TrueIntro} \qquad \text{(no elim. for True)} \qquad \text{(intro. for False: see } \neg\mathrm{Elim}\text{ below)} \qquad \dfrac{\mathsf{False\ true}}{\mathsf{C\ true}}\mathrm{FalseElim}$$

$$\dfrac{\mathsf{A\ true} \qquad \mathsf{B\ true}}{\mathsf{A} \wedge \mathsf{B}\ \mathsf{true}}\wedge\!\mathrm{Intro} \qquad \dfrac{\mathsf{A} \wedge \mathsf{B}\ \mathsf{true}}{\mathsf{A\ true}}\wedge\!\mathrm{Elim1} \qquad \dfrac{\mathsf{A} \wedge \mathsf{B}\ \mathsf{true}}{\mathsf{B\ true}}\wedge\!\mathrm{Elim2}$$

$$\dfrac{\mathsf{A\ true}}{\mathsf{A} \vee \mathsf{B}\ \mathsf{true}}\vee\!\mathrm{Intro1} \quad \dfrac{\mathsf{B\ true}}{\mathsf{A} \vee \mathsf{B}\ \mathsf{true}}\vee\!\mathrm{Intro2} \quad \dfrac{\mathsf{A} \vee \mathsf{B}\ \mathsf{true} \qquad \begin{array}{c}x\big[\mathsf{A\ true}\big]\\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{C\ true}\end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c}y\big[\mathsf{B\ true}\big]\\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{C\ true}\end{array}}{\mathsf{C\ true}}\vee\!\mathrm{Elim}^{x,y}$$

$$\dfrac{\begin{array}{c}x\big[\mathsf{A\ true}\big]\\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{False\ true}\end{array}}{(\neg\mathsf{A})\ \mathsf{true}}\neg\mathrm{Intro}^{x} \qquad\qquad \dfrac{\mathsf{A\ true} \qquad (\neg\mathsf{A})\ \mathsf{true}}{\mathsf{False\ true}}\neg\mathrm{Elim}$$

Figure 1 Natural deduction, without quantifiers

Question 4(a). Derive $\big((\mathsf{Q} \wedge \mathsf{P}) \vee \mathsf{P}\big) \supset (\mathsf{Q} \vee \mathsf{P})$ true.

$$\begin{array}{c} x\big[((\mathsf{Q} \wedge \mathsf{P}) \vee \mathsf{P})\ \mathsf{true}\big] \\ y\big[(\mathsf{Q} \wedge \mathsf{P})\ \mathsf{true}\big] \\[6pt] \end{array}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\ }{(\mathsf{Q} \wedge \mathsf{P})\ \mathsf{true}}\ ^{y}}{\mathsf{P\ true}}\wedge\!\mathrm{Elim2}}{(\mathsf{Q} \vee \mathsf{P})\ \mathsf{true}}\vee\!\mathrm{Intro2}}{\big((\mathsf{Q} \wedge \mathsf{P}) \vee \mathsf{P}\big) \supset (\mathsf{Q} \vee \mathsf{P})\ \mathsf{true}}\supset\!\mathrm{Intro}^{x}$$

Question 4(b). Complete the following derivation of $(\neg\mathsf{P}) \supset (\mathsf{P} \supset \mathsf{False})$ true.

$$\frac{\dfrac{\overline{\text{P true}}\ ^y \qquad \overline{\neg\text{P true}}\ ^x}{\dfrac{\text{False true}}{\dfrac{(P \supset \text{False})\ \text{true}}{(\neg P) \supset (P \supset \text{False})\ \text{true}}\ \supset\text{Intro}^x}\ \supset\text{Intro}^y}\ \neg\text{Elim}}{}$$

$$x\big[\neg\text{P true}\big]$$
$$y\big[\text{P true}\big]$$

Question 4(c). Derive $(P \supset \text{False}) \supset (\neg P)$ true.

$$x\big[(P \supset \text{False})\ \text{true}\big]$$
$$y\big[\text{P true}\big]$$

$$\frac{\dfrac{\overline{(P \supset \text{False})\ \text{true}}\ ^x \qquad \overline{\text{P true}}\ ^y}{\dfrac{\text{False true}}{\dfrac{(\neg P)\ \text{true}}{(P \supset \text{False}) \supset (\neg P)\ \text{true}}\ \supset\text{Intro}^x}\ \neg\text{Intro}^y}\ \supset\text{Elim}}{}$$

Question 4(d). Derive $P \supset (\neg\neg P)$ true.

$$x\big[\text{P true}\big]$$
$$y\big[\neg\text{P true}\big]$$

$$\frac{\dfrac{\overline{\text{P true}}\ ^x \qquad \overline{\neg\text{P true}}\ ^y}{\dfrac{\text{False true}}{\dfrac{(\neg\neg P)\ \text{true}}{P \supset (\neg\neg P)\ \text{true}}\ \supset\text{Intro}^x}\ \supset\text{Intro}^y}\ \neg\text{Elim}}{}$$

Question 4(e). (BONUS) Do we need negation? What could we use instead? **Hint**: Think about some of the previous questions.

No. We can use $P \supset \text{False}$ instead since $\supset$Intro simulates $\neg$Intro while $\supset$Elim simulates $\neg$Elim.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x\big[\text{P true}\big] \\ \vdots \\ \text{False true} \end{array}}{(P \supset \text{False})\ \text{true}}\ \supset\text{Intro}^x \qquad\qquad \frac{(P \supset \text{False})\ \text{true} \qquad \text{P true}}{\text{False true}}\ \supset\text{Elim}$$