BI 1	query	BI / read / 1
BI 2	title	Posting summary
BI 3		morrage: Morrage
BI 4		message: Message  creationDate < \$dateTime
BI 5	pattern	length
BI 6		year(creationDate)
BI 7		Given a datetime, find all Messages created before that moment. Group them by a 3-level group-
BI 8		ing:
BI 10		
BI 11		1. by year of creation
BI 12		2. for each year, group into Message types: is Comment or not
BI 13	desc.	3. for each year-type group, split into four groups based on length of their content
BI 14		• 0: 0 ≤ length < 40 (short)
BI 15		• 1: 40 ≤ length < 80 (one liner)
BI 16		• 2: 80 ≤ length < 160 (tweet)
BI 17		• 3: 160 ≤ length (long)
BI 18		
BI 19		For later microbatches, later datetime parameters are
BI 20	params	datetime DateTime DateTime Selected keep the variance low (<0.5%)
		solved help the variables for ( total to)
		1 year 32-bit Integer R year(message.creationDate)
		2 isComment Boolean M True for Comments, False for Posts
		3 lengthCategory 32-bit Integer C 0 for short, 1 for one-liner, 2 for tweet, 3 for
		J2-bit integer c long
		4 messageCount 32-bit Integer A Total number of Messages in that group
	result	averageMessageLength 32-bit Float A Average length of the Message content in
		that group
		6 sumMessageLength 32-bit Integer A Sum of all Message content lengths
		Number of Messages in group as a
		7 percentageOfMessages 32-bit Float A percentage of all messages created before
		the given date
		1 year
	sort	2 isComment ↑ False < True, i.e. Posts come first and Comments second
		3 lengthCategory \ \ \ \ order based on the lengthCategory value
	limit	n/a
	CPs	1.2, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 8.5
ı	·	

BI 1	query	BI / read / 2
BI 2	title	Tag evolution
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12	pattern	TagClass  name = \$tagClass  hasType  tag: Tag  countWindow1 = count(message)  message: Message  creationDate in (\$date, \$date+100 days)  TagClass  name = \$tagClass  hasType  tag: Tag  countWindow2 = count(message)  message: Message  creationDate in (\$date, \$date+100 days)
BI 13		
BI 14 BI 15 BI 16	desc.	Find the Tags under a given TagClass that were used in Messages during in the 100-day period starting at date and compare it with the 100-day period that follows. For the Tags and for both months, compute the count of Messages.
BI 17 BI 18 BI 19	params	1 date Date 2 tagClass Long String TagClasses with a similar amount of Messages are selected
BI 20	result	1 tag.name Long String R 2 countWindow1 32-bit Integer A Occurrences of the tagClass during the first time window 3 countWindow2 32-bit Integer A Occurrences of the tagClass during the second time window 4 diff 32-bit Integer A Absolute difference of countWindow1 and countWindow2
	sort	1 diff ↓ 2 tag.name ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	2.4, 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.3, 6.1, 8.2, 8.5

BI 1	query	BI / read / 3
BI 2	title	Popular topics in a country
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13	pattern	Country  name = \$country  isPartOf  City  Tag  hasType  City  Tag  hasTag  count(message)  id  message: Message  forum: Forum  id title creationDate  TagClass  name = \$tagClass  name = \$tagCla
BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18	desc.	Given a TagClass and a Country, find all the Forums created in the given Country, containing at least one Message with Tags belonging directly to the given TagClass, and count the Messages by the Person who created it and by the Forum which contains them.  The location of a Forum is identified by the location of the Forum's moderator.
BI 19 BI 20	params	1 tagClass Long String TagClasses with a similar amount of Messages are selected 2 country Long String Big Countries are selected
	result	1 forum.id ID R 2 forum.title Long String R 3 forum.creationDate DateTime R 4 person.id ID R 5 messageCount 32-bit Integer A
	sort	1 messageCount ↓ 2 forum.id ↑
	limit	20
	CPs	1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 3.3, 8.2

BI 1	query	BI / read / 4
BI 2	title	Top message creators by country
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15	pattern	1. select top 100 forums based on memberCount in country    Country
BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	desc.	Find the most popular Forums by Country, where the popularity of a Forum is measured by the number of members that Forum has from a given Country.  Calculate the top 100 most popular Forums. If a Forum is popular in multiple countries, it should only be calculated once with its largest membership. In case of a tie, the Forum(s) with the smaller id value(s) should be selected.  For each member Person of the 100 most popular Forums, count the number of Messages (messageCount) they made in any of those (most popular) Forums. Also include those member Persons who have not posted any Messages (have a messageCount of 0).
	params	1 date Date Selected from the first 30 days of the network
	result	1 person.id ID R 2 person.firstName String R 3 person.lastName String R 4 person.creationDate DateTime R 5 messageCount 32-bit Integer A
	sort	1 messageCount ↓ 2 person.id ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 3.3, 5.3, 6.1, 8.2, 8.4

BI 1	query	BI / read / 5
BI 2	title	Most active posters of a given topic
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11	pattern	Tag person: Person id hasCreator person.score = 1×messageCount + 2×replyCount + 10×likeCount
BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19	desc.	Get each Person (person) who has created a Message (message) with a given Tag (direct relation, not transitive). Considering only these Messages, for each Person node:  • Count its messages (messageCount). • Count likes (likeCount) to its messages. • Count Comments (replyCount) in reply to it messages.  The score is calculated according to the following formula: 1 × messageCount + 2 × replyCount + 10 × likeCount.
BI 20	params	1 tag Long String Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected
	result	1 person.id ID R 2 replyCount 32-bit Integer A 3 likeCount 32-bit Integer A 4 messageCount 32-bit Integer A 5 score 32-bit Integer A
	sort	1 score ↓ 2 person.id ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	1.2, 2.3, 8.2

BI 1	query	BI / read / 6
BI 2	title	Most authoritative users on a given topic
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11	pattern	Tag person: Person id p2: Person hasCreator message1:Message message2:Message message2:Message
BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19	desc.	<ul> <li>Given a Tag (tag), find all Persons (person) that ever created a Message with the Tag. For each of these Persons (person) compute their "authority score" as follows:</li> <li>The "authority score" is the sum of "popularity scores" of the Persons (p2) that liked any of that Person's Messages with the given Tag (same criterion as for message1).</li> <li>A Person's (p2) "popularity score" is defined as the total number of likes on all of their Messages (message2).</li> </ul>
BI 20	params	1 tag Long String Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected
	result	1 person.id ID R 2 authorityScore 32-bit Integer A
	sort	1 authorityScore ↓ 2 person1.id ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	1.2, 2.3, 3.3, 6.1, 8.2
	relevance	Computing the authority scores might involve computing the popularity score for the same Person multiple times. Implementations are advised to avoid such redundant computations.

BI 1	query	BI / read / 7
BI 2	title	Related topics
BI 3		
BI 4		tag: Tag
BI 5	pattern	name = \$tag
BI 6	pattern	ThasTag count name
BI 7		Message comment: Comment
BI 8		
BI 9		Find all Messages that have a given Tag. Find the related Tags attached to (direct) reply Comments
BI 10	desc.	of these Messages, but only of those reply Comments that do not have the given Tag.
BI 11		Group the Tags by name, and get the count of replies in each group.
BI 12	narame	1 tag Long String Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected
BI 13	params	23 Long of the Tago with a similar anto are of messages are selected
BI 14		1 relatedTag.name Long String R
BI 15	result	2 count 32-bit Integer A
BI 16		Z Count Sz-bit integer A
BI 17		1 count
BI 18	sort	
BI 19		2 relatedTag.name
BI 20	limit	100
	CPs	1.4, 3.3, 5.2, 8.1

BI 1	query	BI / read / 8
BI 2	title	Central person for a tag
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	pattern	For each person with a matching hasInterest and/or hasCreator edge, compute person.score = (if hasInterest edge exists then 100 else 0) + count(message)  Tag
	desc.	Given a Tag, find all Persons that are interested in the Tag and/or have written a Message (Post or Comment) with a creationDate after a given date and that has a given Tag. For each Person, compute the score as the sum of the following two aspects:  • 100, if the Person has this Tag as their interest, or 0 otherwise  • number of Messages by this Person with the given Tag  Also, for each Person, compute the sum of the score of the Person's friends (friendsScore).
	params	Dates from around the same day are selected. (TODO - how exactly? what distribution?)
	result	1 person.id ID R 2 score 32-bit Integer A 3 friendsScore 32-bit Integer A The sum of the score of the person's friends
	sort	1 score + friendsScore ↓ 2 person.id ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	1.2, 2.1, 2.3, 3.2, 5.3, 8.2, 8.4, 8.5
	relevance	Similarly to BI 16, there are two major ways to compute this query: (1) creating an induced subgraph of the interested Persons and their friends and performing the scoring on this graph or (2) performing the scoring without creating an induced subgraph and scoring the friends of a Person on-the-fly. The first approach is more efficient as it avoids redundant computations, however, specifying it needs support for composable graph queries.

BI 1	query	BI / read / 9
BI 2	title	Top thread initiators
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6	pattern	
BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13	desc.	For each Person, count the number of Posts they created in the time interval [startDate, endDate] (equivalent to the number of threads they initiated) and the number of Messages in each of their (transitive) reply trees, including the root Post of each tree. When calculating Message counts only consider Messages created within the given time interval.  Return each Person, number of Posts they created, and the count of all Messages that appeared in the reply trees (including the Post at the root of tree) they created.
BI 14 BI 15 BI 16	params	1 startDate Date TODO 2 endDate Date 8-10 days after the startDate
BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	result	1 person.id ID R 2 person.firstName String R 3 person.lastName String R 4 threadCount 32-bit Integer A The number of Posts created by that Person (the number of threads initiated) 5 messageCount 32-bit Integer A The number of Messages created in all the threads this Person initiated
	sort	1 messageCount ↓ 2 person.id ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	1.2, 2.2, 2.3, 3.2, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 8.1, 8.5

BI 1	query	BI / read / 10
BI 2	title	Experts in social circle
BI 3		Country
BI 4		name = \$country
BI 6		isPartOf
BI 7		City
BI 8		isLocatedIn
BI 9	pattern	startPerson: Person knows* \$minPathDistance.  expertCandidatePerson: Person  TagClass
BI 10		id = \$personId   \$maxPathDistance   id   name = \$tagClass
BI 11		hasCreator
BI 12		count for each (tag, person)
BI 13		tag: Tag hasTag hasTag Tag
BI 14		name
BI 15 BI 16		Given a Person (startPerson), find all other Persons (expertCandidatePerson) that live in a given
BI 17		Country and are connected to given Person by a shortest path with length in range [minPathDis-
BI 18		tance, maxPathDistance] through the knows relation.
BI 19	desc.	For each of these expertCandidatePerson nodes, retrieve all of their Messages that contain at least
BI 20	4 35 5.	one Tag belonging to a given TagClass (direct relation not transitive). For each Message, retrieve
		all of its Tags.
		Group the results by Persons and Tags, then count the Messages by a certain Person having a certain Tag.
		The ID of the startPerson. Persons with a similar
		degree of knows edges are selected
		Country String Countries with a similar number of Persons are
	params	selected
		TagClasses with a similar degree of hasType edges are selected
		4 minPathDistance 32-bit Integer 1 or 2
		5 maxPathDistance 32-bit Integer 2 or 3
		illaxi activistance   52-bit integer   2 of 5
		1 expertCandidatePerson.id ID R
		2 tag.name Long String R
	result	3 messageCount 32-bit Integer A Number of Messages created by that
		Person containing that Tag
		1 messageCount ↓
	sort	2 tag.name ↑
		3 expertCandidatePerson.id ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	1.2, 1.3, 2.3, 2.4, 3.3, 5.3, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 8.1, 8.6

BI 1	query	BI / read / 11
BI 2	title	Friend triangles
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12	pattern	Country  name = \$country  isPartOf  isPartOf  isPartOf  City  City  City  City  isLocatedIn  isL
BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	desc.	For a given country, count all the distinct triples of Persons such that:  • a is friend of b, • b is friend of c, • c is friend of a,  and these friendships were created after a given startDate.  Distinct means that given a triple $t_1$ in the result set $R$ of all qualified triples, there is no triple $t_2$ in $R$ such that $t_1$ and $t_2$ have the same set of elements.
	params	1 country Long String 2 startDate Date
	result	1 count 32-bit Integer A
	limit	n/a
	CPs	1.1, 2.3, 2.5

BI 1	query	BI / read / 12
BI 2	title	How many persons have a given number of messages
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	pattern	2. personCount = count  Person  Message  content not empty and length < \$length Threshold and \$date < creationDate  1. messageCount = count  replyOf+0  Post  language in \$languages
	desc.	For each Person, count the number of Messages they made (messageCount). Only count Messages with the following attributes:  • Its content is not empty (and consequently, the imageFile attribute is empty for Posts).  • Its length is below the lengthThreshold (exclusive, equality is not allowed).  • Its creationDate is after date (exclusive, equality is not allowed).  • It is written in any of the given languages.  — The language of a Post is defined by its language attribute.  — The language of a Comment is that of the Post that initiates the thread where the Comment replies to.  The Post and Comments in the reply tree's path (from the Message to the Post) do not have to satisfy the constraints for content, length and creationDate.  For each messageCount value, count the number of Persons with exactly messageCount Messages (with the required attributes).
	params	1 date Date  2 lengthThreshold 32-bit Integer Selected as balanced against date to filter around 30% of the Messages within a language and keep the variance low  3 languages {String} Only the most frequently used languages are selected
	result	1 messageCount 32-bit Integer A Number of Messages created 2 personCount 32-bit Integer A Number of Persons with messageCount Messages
	sort	1 personCount ↓ 2 messageCount ↓
	limit	n/a
	CPs	1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 3.2, 4.2, 4.3, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5

BI 1	query	BI / read / 13
BI 2	title	Zombies in a country
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18	pattern	Country  name = \$country  isPartOf  City  zombie: Person  creationDate < \$endDate and (messageCount / months) < 1  2. For each zombie IN zombies, calculate: zombieScore = zombieLikeCount / totalLikeCount  zombie: Person  totalLikeCount = count(likerPerson) likerPerson: Person  creationDate < \$endDate and ikerZombie IN zombies  likes  li
BI 19 BI 20	desc.	Find zombies within the given country, and return their zombie scores. A zombie is a Person created before the given endDate, which has created an average of [0, 1) Messages per month, during the time range between profile's creationDate and the given endDate. The number of months spans the time range from the creationDate of the profile to the endDate with partial months on both end counting as one month (e.g. a creationDate of Jan 31 and an endDate of Mar 1 result in 3 months).  For each zombie, calculate the following:  • zombieLikeCount: the number of likes received from other zombies.  • totalLikeCount: the total number of likes received.  • zombieScore: zombieLikeCount / totalLikeCount. If the value of totalLikeCount is 0, the zombieScore of the zombie should be 0.0.  For both zombieLikeCount and totalLikeCount, only consider likes received from profiles that were created before the given endDate.
	params	1 country Long String Only the largest Countries are selected 2 endDate Date Selected from the last days of the initial data set
	result	1 zombie.id ID R 2 zombieLikeCount 32-bit Integer A 3 totalLikeCount 32-bit Integer A 4 zombieScore 64-bit Float A Determined as zombieLikeCount / totalLikeCount
	sort	1 zombieScore ↓ 2 zombie.id ↑
	limit	100
	CPs	1.2, 2.1, 2.3, 2.4, 3.2, 3.3, 4.2, 5.1, 5.3, 8.2, 8.4, 8.5

BI 2 BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 19 BI 19 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI	
BI 4  BI 4  BI 5  BI 6  BI 7  BI 8  BI 9  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 19  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 18	
BI 17 BI 18  person1: Person person2: Person	
Consider all pairs of people (person1, person2) such that one is located in a City of Country try1 and the other is located in a City of Country country2. For each City of Country country1, the highest scoring pair. The score of a pair is defined as the sum of the subscores award the following kinds of interaction. The initial value is score = 0.  1. person1 has created a reply Comment to at least one Message by person2: score += 4 2. person1 has created at least one Message that person2 has created a reply to: score += 3. person1 and person2 know each other: score += 15 4. person1 liked at least one Message by person2: score += 10 5. person1 has created at least one Message that was liked by person2: score += 1  Consequently, the maximum score a pair can obtain is: 4 + 1 + 15 + 10 + 1 = 31.  This query has two variants based on whether the input parameters are selected as corr (close countries) or uncorrelated (far countries).	return ded for = 1
params  A: correlated with parameter country2, i.e. the countries close and there are many Persons visiting both Countries.  B: uncorrelated with parameter country2, i.e. the countries are afar and there are few Persons visiting both Countries.  Country2 Long String	ries
result	
sort  1 score  2 person1.id ↑ 3 person2.id ↑	
limit n/a	
CPs 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 3.1, 3.3, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 8.3, 8.4	

between person1 to person2.  BI 5  BI 6  BI 7  BI 8  BI 9  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 15  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 10  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 12  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 10  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 10  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 10  BI 10  BI 10  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 10  BI 11  BI 12  BI 13  BI 14  BI 15  BI 16  BI 17  BI 18  BI 19  BI 20	BI 1	query	BI / read / 15
BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 19 BI 10 BI 19 BI 10		title	Trusted connection paths through forums created in a given timeframe
Given two Persons, find all (unweighted) shortest paths between these two Persons, in the subginduced by the knows relationship.  Then, for each path calculate a weight. The nodes in the path are Persons, and the weight path is the sum of weights between every pair of consecutive Person nodes in the path.  The weight for a pair of Persons is calculated based on their interactions:  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1  • Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other P	BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17	pattern	between person1 to person2.    based on interactions between the pair of Persons of the edge, calculated as a sum of cases #1 and #2 for the Persons (both ways), and the sum of these weights determine the total weight of each path.    case 1: Replies on Posts, weight += 1.0 × count(c)
params params	BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	desc.	<ul> <li>Then, for each path calculate a weight. The nodes in the path are Persons, and the weight of a path is the sum of weights between every pair of consecutive Person nodes in the path. The weight for a pair of Persons is calculated based on their interactions:</li> <li>Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Post (by the other Person) contributes 1.0.</li> <li>Every direct reply (by one of the Persons) to a Comment (by the other Person) contributes 0.5.</li> <li>Only consider Messages that were created in a Forum that was created within the timeframe (interval) [startDate, endDate]. Note that for Comments, the containing Forum is that of the Post that the comment (transitively) replies to. Also note that interactions are counted both ways.</li> </ul>
4 endDate Date		params	2 person2Id ID 3 startDate Date
result   1 person.id [ID]   C   Ordered sequence of the Person IDs in the path   2 weight   64-bit Float   C		result	
sort		sort	
limit n/a		limit	
		CPs	1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 3.3, 5.1, 5.3, 7.2, 7.3, 7.5, 7.7, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6

BI 1	query	BI / read / 16
BI 2	title	Fake news detection
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12	pattern	For \$tagX/\$dayX in [tagA/dateA, tagB/dateB], compute scoreX = count(messageX)  1. Create an induced subgraph of Persons who created a Message with Tag \$tagX on \$dateX  tag: Tag    Message     day(creationDate) = \$dateX      Lag: Tag     messageX: Message     day(creationDate) = \$dateX      count \leq \$\text{maxKnowsLimit} \text{count} \text{count} \leq \$\text{maxKnowsLimit} \text{count} \text{count} \leq \$\text{maxKnowsLimit} \text{count} \text{count} \leq \$\text{maxKnowsLimit} \text{count} count
BI 13 BI 14 BI 15		Given two Tag/date pairs (tagA/dateA and tagB/dateB), for each pair tagX/dateX:
BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	desc.	<ul> <li>Create an induced subgraph between Persons where for each pair of Persons person1/person2, both have created a Message on the day of dateX with Tag tagX.</li> <li>In the induced subgraph, only keep pairs of Persons who have at most maxKnowsLimit friends (in the induced subgraph).</li> <li>For these Persons, count the number of Messages created on dateX with Tag tagX.</li> <li>Return Persons who had at least one Messages for both tagA/dateA and tagB/dateB ranked by their total number of Messages (descending).</li> </ul>
	params	1 tagA Long String 2 dateA Date 3 tagB Long String 4 dateB Date 5 maxKnowsLimit 32-bit Integer Selected between 3 and 6
	result	1 person.id ID R 2 messageCountA 32-bit Integer A Message count for tagA/dateA 3 messageCountB 32-bit Integer A Message count for tagB/dateB
	sort	<pre>1  messageCountA +   messageCountB     person.id</pre>
	limit	20
	CPs	5.3, 8.4, 8.5
	relevance	There are two major ways to compute this query: (1) create the induced subgraph as suggested by the specification (either as a view or in materialized form), or (2) skip creating the induced subgraph and perform on-the-fly check for the number of friends (who also posted at least one Message with the given Tag on the given date). The latter approach is easier to express in systems which do not provide graph views but might result in redundant computations (the query engine will might repeatedly check whether a Person has at least one Message that satisfies the conditions).

BI 2 BI 3 BI 4 BI 5  title Information propagation analysis  person1: Person    condition   condition	
BI 4 enegy forum2:	
BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12  message1: Message creationDate  post1: Post name = \$tag message1: replyOf*0.  tag: Tag name = \$tag message1: replyOf*0.  forum1: Forum	containerOf  Post  replyOf*0  count  Message eationDate eationDate replyOf
This query aims to identify instances of "information propagation" when a Post mits a Message (message1) with a given Tag (tag) to a Forum (forum1). This is read of forum1, Persons person2 and person3. Some time later (specified by the delt persons have a discussion with the same tag in a different Forum (forum2) who member. The discussion consists of a Message (message2) by person2 and a discussion to member of interactions their Messages (might).  Return IDs of person1 with the number of interactions their Messages (might).	d by other members ca parameter), these here person1 is not a irect reply Comment
params  1 tag Long String Tags with a similar amount of Messages are sel 2 delta 32-bit Integer Measured in hours, selected to be between 8 a	
result 1 person1.id ID R 2 messageCount 32-bit Integer A	
sort 1 person1.id ↑	
limit n/a	
CPs 2.1, 2.3, 8.1	

BI 1	query	BI / read / 18
BI 2	title	Friend recommendation
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9	pattern	For each person1 compute top-k(person2) based on mutualFriendCount  tag: Tag  name = \$tag  hasInterest  person1: Person  id = \$person1Id
BI 11		«neg» knows
BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18	desc.	For a given Person (person1) and a Tag (tag), recommend new friends (person2) who  • do not yet know person1  • have many mutual friends with person1  • are interested in tag.  Rank Persons person2 based on the number of mutual friends.
BI 19 BI 20	params	person1Id ID Persons with a similar amount of friends are selected
		2 tag Long String Tags with a similar amount of Messages are selected
	result	1 person2.id ID R 2 mutualFriendCount 32-bit Integer A
	sort	1 mutualFriendCount ↓ 2 person2.id ↑
	limit	20
	CPs	2.5, 8.1

BI 1	query	BI / read / 19
BI 2	title	Interaction path between cities
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9 BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15 BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19 BI 20	pattern	Find the shortest paths between all pairs of Persons in city1 and city2  city1: City  id = \$city1id  isLocatedIn  shortest paths on  person1: Person  knows.weight  person2: Person  Case i1: Reply from personA to Person B's Message  personA: Person  hasCreator  c: Comment  replyOf  m: Message  The weight of a knows edge is based on the number of interactions between its Persons: knows.weight = 1 / (count(i1)+count(i2))  p1 knows pX knows pY  m: Message  replyOf  c: Comment  c: Comment  replyOf  m: Message  replyOf  c: Comment  c: Comment  replyOf  m: Message
	desc.	Given two Cities city1, city2, find Persons person1, person2 living in these Cities (respectively) with the shortest <i>interaction path</i> between them. If there are multiple pairs of people with shortest paths having the same total weight, return all of them.  The shortest path is computed using a weight between two Persons defined as the reciprocal of the number of interactions (direct reply Comments to a Message by the other Person). Therefore, more interactions imply a smaller weight. <i>Note:</i> Interactions are counted both ways, i.e. if Alice writes 2 reply Comments to Bob's Messages and Bob writes 3 reply Comments to Alice's Messages, their total number of interactions is 5.
	params	1 city1Id ID Small Cities within the same Country are selected 2 city2Id ID
	result	1 person1.id ID R 2 person2.id ID R 3 totalWeight 64-bit Float C
	sort	1 totalWeight ↑ 2 person1.id ↑ 3 person2.id ↑
	limit	20
	CPs	3.3, 7.6, 7.7, 8.4, 8.6
	relevance	Finding shortest paths between pairs of Persons in Cities can be implemented in theory with an <i>all-pairs shortest paths</i> algorithm. However, this needs to be executed on the whole Person-knows-Person graph (with edge weights derived from the number of interactions) so it is expected to be prohibitively expensive. A better approach is using multiple <i>single-source shortest path algorithms</i> (e.g. from the City with fewer inhibitants).

BI 1	query	BI / read / 20
BI 2	title	Recruitment
BI 3 BI 4 BI 5 BI 6 BI 7 BI 8 BI 9	pattern	company: Company  name = \$company  workAt  person1: Person  shortest path on knows.weight  id = \$person2!d
BI 10 BI 11 BI 12 BI 13 BI 14 BI 15	desc.	Given a Company company and a Person person2 (who is known to be working at another Company), find a Person (person1) working the in the company who have the top-20 shortest path to person2 through people who have studied together. On this path, we only consider edges between Persons who know each other and attended the same university and set the weight of the edge to the absolute difference between the year of enrolment plus 1 (studyAt.classYear + 1). If there are multiple Person person1 nodes with the same shortest path, return all of them.
BI 16 BI 17 BI 18 BI 19	params	1 company Long String Companies with a similar number of employees (former or current) are selected 2 person2Id ID
BI 20	result	1 person1.id ID R 2 totalWeight 64-bit Integer C
	sort	1 person1.id ↑
	limit	20
	CPs	3.3, 7.6, 7.7, 8.4, 8.6
	relevance	Implementations can either pre-compute edge weights or compute them on-the-fly. To find a weighted shortest path efficiently, implementations can use e.g. a bidirectional Dijkstra algorithm.