

1 - Type Inference (20 points)

1) Suppose we have the following expressions (we omit some information and we replace it with #n, where n is some positive integer).

```
a : #1 list
b : #2
let c = b :: a      list
let d = (1, true) :: c
               (int * bool)
```

where the last expression type checks without error.

- What is the type #1? $\text{int} * \text{bool}$
- What is the type #2? $\text{int} * \text{bool}$
- What is the type of the expression $([d])$?
 $(\text{int} * \text{bool}) \text{ list list}$

2) Suppose we have the following expressions (we omit some information and we replace it with #n, where n is some positive integer):

```
a : int list
b : #1
c : #2 (int * int) int int list.      int list
let (x, y) = (b) in (x :: a, [x + y] @ c)
```

where the last expression type checks without error.

- What is the type #1? $(\text{int} * \text{int})$.
- What is the type #2? int list .
 int list list
- What is the type of the expression $([[x]], c :: [])$?
 int list list
 $\text{int list list} * \text{int list list}$

3) Suppose x_0 could be matched as below without error

```
match x0 with bool | list.  
| [ ]      -> [true]  
| x::xs    ->  x
```

bool bool list

- What is the type of the variable x_0 ?

bool list list

- Now consider the first match for x_0 , where x_0 is getting pattern matched with `[]`. Let us suppose that we replace `[true]` with `["true"]`. Do you think this replacement will result in some kind of error indicating that the types are no longer consistent? Answer this question either with a YES or a NO and explain why.

No, adding " will change its type from bool to string, but it will still be consistent, because x_0 will change to string list list and ["true"] will become string list.

4) Consider the following program:

```

let foo ls = int list
  let rec aux ls a =
    match ls with
    | hd::tl -> aux tl (hd + a)
  in aux ls 0

```

Handwritten annotations:
 - Above `int list`: `int list`
 - Above `aux`: `int list`
 - Above `ls`: `int list`
 - Above `a`: `int`
 - Above `hd`: `int`
 - Above `tl`: `int list`
 - Above `hd + a`: `int`
 - Below `0`: `int`

- What is the type of `a`? Briefly explain your reasoning.

`int`. Because in `aux ls 0`.
and `0` is an `int`.

- What is the type signature of `foo`? Briefly explain your reasoning.

`int list → int`

Because `a` is `int`, `ls` is `int list`.
Therefore, `hd` is `int`, `tl` is `int list`. ^{so} `hd + a = int + int = int`
aux will keep rec until `tl = [] → a`,
so `foo` is `int list → int`.

2 - Pattern matching (18 points)

1) Consider the following expressions

a: bool *true / false*

b: bool

c: bool

TFF TFT TTT TTF
FTT FTF FFF FFT

(8) types.

Complete the following match so that every possible expression that replaces a, b and c in the matching statement is matched. You must ensure that you have exhausted all possible cases for a, b and c. Please do not use underscore in your match.

match (a,b,c) with

T, F, F →

T, F, T →

T, T, T →

T, T, F →

F, T, T →

F, T, F →

F, F, F →

F, F, T →

2) Consider the following expressions

a: int list * unit (x::xs, ())

b: float list y::ys

Complete the following match so that every possible expression that replaces a and b in the matching statement is matched, distinguishing cases for lists and tuples – no need to distinguish cases for int and float. Please do not use underscore in your match.

match (a,b) with

([], ()), [] →

(x::xs, ()), [] →

([], ()), y::ys →

(x::xs, ()), y::ys →

3) Suppose that l is an expression of type (t list) list, and consider the following pattern matching.

```
match l with
| ([]::xs) → ...
| ((x::xs)::ys) → ...
| [] → ...
```

Is this match exhaustive? That is, does this match explore all the possible forms of l?

☒ Yes

☐ No

4) Suppose l is an expression of type (int, int) list, and consider the following pattern matching.


```
(int,int), list.  
match l with  
| [] -> ...  
| ((x,y)::xs) -> ...
```

Is this match exhaustive? That is, does this match explore all the possible forms of `l`?

☐ Yes

☒ No

3 - Let-binding reduction (12 points)

1) Reduce the following expression to a value. Make sure that you show all the steps:

$$\text{let } x = 2 \text{ in let } z = 2 + x \text{ in let } w = z + z + x \text{ in } w$$

Handwritten steps and annotations:

- $x = 2$ (circled)
- $z = 2 + 2 = 4$ (circled)
- $w = 4 + 4 + 2 = 10$ (circled)
- Final result: 10 (circled)

2) Reduce the following expression to a value. Make sure that you show all the steps:

$$\text{let } x = 7 + 3 \text{ in let } (z, y) = (x + x, 3) \text{ in let } (x, u, w) = (5, y, z + z) \text{ in } u + x$$

Handwritten steps and annotations:

- $x = 10$ (circled)
- $(z, y) = (10 + 10, 3)$ (circled)
- $(x, u, w) = (5, 3, 20)$ (circled)
- Final result: 8 (circled)

Handwritten table:

x	u	w
5	y	z+z
3	(x+x)+(x+x)	
	(7+3) · 4 = 40	

Handwritten calculations:

- $3 + 5 = 8$ (circled)
- $z = 20$
- $y = 3$
- $u + x = 3 + 5 = 8$ (circled)